



SPECIAL EDUCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Wednesday, June 12, 2019, 7:00 pm

Trustees' Committee Room

133 Greenbank Road

Ottawa, Ontario

			Pages
1.	Call to Order		
2.	Approval of the Agenda		
3.	Delegations		
4.	Member Update	5 mins	
5.	Review of Special Education Advisory Committee Report	5 mins	
5.1	15 May 2019		1
5.2	Review of Long Range Agenda		13
5.3	Motion/Action Tracking Report, Business Arising		15
6.	Action/Discussion/Information Items		
6.1	Report 19-062, Student Suspension Report for 2017-2018 School Year (B. Reynolds, ext. 8252)	25 mins	17
6.2	Memo 19-072, Draft Mental Health Strategy (P. Symmonds, ext. 8254)	25 mins	31
6.3	Integration and Support for Special Education Students	80 mins	
	<i>Facilitated group exercise.</i>		
6.4	Section J (oral report)	15 mins	
6.5	Report 19-064, Special Education Plan 2019 and Special Education Report 2019 (P. Symmonds ext. 8254)	15 mins	47

6.6	Report 19-063, Learning Disabilities Specialized Intervention Program (LD SIP) Program Monitoring Results	15 mins	209
7.	Department Update	20 mins	
8.	Committee Reports	10 mins	
8.1	Advisory Committee on Equity		
8.2	Parent Involvement Committee		
8.3	Board		
8.4	Committee of the Whole		
9.	New Business		
10.	Adjournment		



SPECIAL EDUCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT

Wednesday, May 15, 2019, 7:00 pm
Trustees' Committee Room
133 Greenbank Road
Ottawa, Ontario

- Members:** Rob Kirwan (Community Representative), Christine Boothby (Trustee), Rob Campbell (Trustee), Cathy Miedema (Association for Bright Children), Katie Ralph (Autism Ontario, Ottawa Chapter), Mark Wylie (Down Syndrome Association), Ian Morris (Ontario Associations for Families of Children with Communication Disorders), Jim Harris (VOICE for deaf and hard of hearing children), Lisa Paterick (VIEWS for the Visually Impaired), Donna Owen (Ottawa-Carleton Assembly of School Councils), Safina Dewshi (Ottawa-Carleton Assembly of School Councils, Alternate), Susan Cowin (Community Representative), Sonia Nadon-Campbell (Community Representative), Susan Gardner (Ottawa-Carleton Elementary Teachers' Federation), Nancy McLaren Kennedy (Professional Student Services Personnel), Nancy Dlouhy (Ottawa-Carleton Elementary Operations Committee), Jean Trant (Ontario Secondary School Teachers' Federation, SSP), Catherine Houlden (Ontario Secondary School Teachers' Federation, Teachers)
- Staff and Guests:** Lynn Scott (Trustee), Donna Blackburn (Trustee), Dorothy Baker (Superintendent of Curriculum Services), Peter Symmonds (Superintendent of Learning Support Services), Stacey Kay (Manager of Learning Support Services), Amy Hannah (System Principal of Learning Support Services), Christine Kessler (System Principal of Learning Support Services), Jennifer Offord (System Principal, Curriculum Services), Nicole Guthrie (Board/Committee Coordinator).

1. Call to Order

Chair Kirwan called the meeting to order at 7:04 p.m.

2. Approval of the Agenda

Moved by Christine Boothby,
 THAT the agenda be approved.

Superintendent Symmonds noted that item 5.5, the Role of the Early Childhood Educator, would be moved to the 12 June 2019 meeting.

Moved by Christine Boothby,

THAT the agenda be approved, as amended.

Carried

3. Delegations

Janet Bowen, Chair of the Crystal Bay Centre for Special Education School Council, expressed concern over the class sizes and staff to student ratios at the school. She expressed the view that the teacher to student ratio should be altered to 1:6 to match that of the Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) program to support the breadth of need these students require.

System Principal Kessler noted there are generally 2.5 FTE Educational Assistants (EAs) assigned per class, however in instances where students have higher medical needs, are deaf or hard of hearing or blind/low vision the allocation of EAs is higher.

Ms. Bowen noted that there are generally three to four adults per eight students.

In response to a query from Mr. Morris, Ms. Bowen expressed the view that the addition of more adults can overwhelm the students and recommended six students per class with similar staffing ratios.

Superintendent Symmonds advised that the support models for specialized program classes differ. The Education Act sets the limit for the Developmental Disability (DD) class size at ten. He added that Learning Support Services (LSS) had noticed a change in the complex profile of DD students at the Crystal Bay Centre for Special Education. LSS staff carefully monitor and review the support staff requirements and allocations for the site.

In response to a query from Trustee Campbell, Superintendent Symmonds advised that the support staff are a critical component of the DD program as is the collaboration with other professionals that help inform the programming. System Principal Kessler added that it can be difficult to implement instructional practice. The teacher is responsible for the instruction of the students but owing to the varying needs of the students; much of the instruction is individualized.

In response to a query from Ms. Houlden, System Principal Kessler responded that each class is a different configuration of students with special needs and noted that efforts are underway to address issues with transitioning support and liaising with families. In 2018-2019 there was an increase in Learning Support Teachers (LSTs) assigned to both Crystal Bay Centre for Special Education and Clifford Bowey Public School. Additional Vice-Principal time has also been approved. LSS cares about all students, no matter the learning profile and is continually investigating ways to improve service delivery.

Chair Kirwan requested that student staff ratios for Crystal Bay Centre for Special Education and Clifford Bowey PS be added to the long-range agenda.

Superintendent Symmonds noted that he had received an email from the chairs of the School Councils of Crystal Bay Centre for Special Education and Clifford Bowey PS expressing their concerns about class sizes ratios. The message was shared with all senior staff and trustees.

Trustee Campbell queried whether or not the matter should be raised as part of the 2019-2020 Budget process. Chair Kirwan noted that Ms. Bowen could make a delegation to the Committee of the Whole Budget Committee on 3 June 2019.

4. Review of Special Education Advisory Committee Report

4.1 10 April 2019

Moved by Christine Boothby,

THAT the report from the 10 April 2019 meeting of the Special Education Advisory Committee be received.

Trustee Boothby requested that the word "while" be removed from the second bullet on page 5.

Ms. McLaren Kennedy requested a correction to the spelling of her last name on page 7.

Moved by Christine Boothby,

THAT the report from the 10 April 2019 meeting of the Special Education Advisory Committee be received, as amended.

Carried

4.2 Review of Long Range Agenda

The long range agenda was provided for information.

Chair Kirwan noted that completed items will be removed.

Trustee Boothby requested the addition of "Learning Resource Teachers (LRTs) and LSTs days lost and exclusions" to item fourteen.

Ms. Owen requested the addition of the changes and impact of e-learning to special education students at the secondary level as a possible discussion item in December 2019.

4.3 Motion/Action Tracking Report, Business Arising

The Motion/Action tracking report was provided for information.

Superintendent Symmonds advised that the Research, Evaluation, Analytics Division (READ) undertook a fulsome evaluation of data,

including EQAO data during the Gifted Review. He added that the READ team will take the request under advisement. Ms. Miedema indicated she would follow up with Superintendent Symmonds on the matter.

Superintendent Symmonds noted that he discussed the matter of the inclusion of locally developed statistics in the Annual Student Achievement Report (ASAR) and the Board Improvement Plan for Student Achievement and Well-being (BIPSAW), the READ team have questions of clarification. Ms. Houlden advised that she would speak with Superintendent Symmonds independently.

Manager Kay noted that she may have further information on the use of Communication Disorder Assistants at the 12 June 2019 meeting.

Ms. Miedema noted that the map of the specialized program classes does not indicate the location of the classes per grade and noted it is difficult to spot geographic holes that may occur within exceptionalities. Superintendent Symmonds advised that a follow-up memorandum will be provided at the October 2019 meeting.

5. Action/Discussion/Information Items

5.1 Report 19-053, Report on the 2019-2023 Draft Strategic Plan

Your committee had before it Report 19-053, seeking feedback on the draft 2019-2023 Strategic Plan.

During the discussion and in response to questions, the following points were noted:

- Mr. Warner stated that it would be helpful for parents if the strategic plan had a broad goal to work toward. Staff noted that the draft strategic plan links to the mission statement and the exit outcomes. The strategic plan helps further actions toward reaching the exit outcomes when students graduate;
- Ms. Gardner noted the absence of improved staff safety under the culture of caring and that its addition may help reduce the number of violent incident reports;
- Ms. McLaren Kennedy noted that a mechanism to track bullying incidents would help inform the key performance indicators under a culture of caring. She also noted a lack of reference to support for mental health which was an element discussed at the focus group sessions;
- The key performance indicators are not intended to be comprehensive;
- Ms. Owen expressed the view that the relationship between the goals and the key performance indicators under the culture of innovation are inconsistent;

- Ms. McLaren-Kennedy clarified that the use of the term well-being perpetuates the stigma of mental health by not specifically noting mental health. She expressed the view that the strategic plan should contain a reference to mental health and well-being;
- Mr. Morris expressed the view that it is the job of SEAC to ensure that the special education lens does not get lost and that special education students see themselves reflected in the classroom; and
- Superintendent Symmonds advised that the strategic plan is one element of a four-year plan which will be further broken down into department action plans. Mr. Morris expressed the view that the sub-goals related to special education will be of interest to SEAC.

5.2 Memo 19-066, 2019-2020 Specialized Program Class Locations

Your committee had before it Memo 19-066, 2019-2020 Specialized Program Class locations, providing information regarding changes to specialized program classes based on information available from the specialized program class referral process.

During discussion, and in response to questions the following points were noted:

- Mr. Warner expressed the view that the map could be more precise;
- In response to a query from Ms. Owen, Manager Kay noted that the Learning Disabilities Specialized Intervention Program (LD SIP) at A. Lorne Cassidy Elementary School was closed in 2017-2018. The programs at both D. Roy Kennedy Public School and Broadview Public School are full and staff continues to see significant referrals justifying two new classes. LD SIP requires a kindergarten to grade eight configuration with options for both English and French Immersion and only certain schools can accommodate the classes;
- LD SIP is officially an English program, but LSS do provide for opportunities to accommodate the program in schools with French immersion programs where possible;
- French immersion has never been exclusionary criteria for the LD SIP program. The integration portion of the student's day will be French immersion. Literacy and math are taught in low ratio environments and students return to the regular classroom, either English or French immersion for other subjects;
- Superintendent Baker noted that there are different time allocations for different subject areas and mathematics and literacy are significantly larger; and
- Ms. Gardner queried whether or not the Behaviour Intervention Program (BIP) classes are fully contained or are students integrated for a portion of the day. Staff noted that there are a variety of models depending on the student. Integration opportunities are explored,

but often the needs are profound and the student requires a full day of learning to develop compensatory strategies and self-regulation skills in order to progress to the regular classroom. The BIP classes are staffed as a full-time program.

5.3 Memo 19-063, OCDSB Guide to Supporting Gifted Students in the Regular Classroom Pilot and OCDSB Interest Academy Pilot

Your committee had before it Memo 19-063, OCDSB Guide to Supporting Gifted Students in the Regular Classroom Pilot and OCDSB Interest Academy Pilot,

During the discussion and in response to queries the following points were noted:

- The OCDSB Guide to Supporting Gifted Students is directed at gifted learners. The OCDSB Interest Academy Pilot is intended to support all learners, including those with Giftedness;
- The OCDSB Guide to Supporting Gifted Students is intended to be a useful and fulsome resource to remove barriers to effective programming for students with Giftedness;
- The OCDSB Interest Academy Pilot is an inquiry-based learning experience where teachers and students co-create learning goals and success criteria contributing to a shared learning opportunity;
- Initial orientation and training will be conducted with staff to prepare for the launch of the program in the fall of 2019;
- Data collection tools are still in development to ensure staff can monitor the effectiveness;
- The District is trialing the use of the Renzulli scales to assist educators in developing learner profiles. The learner profiles will serve as an aid to improve the learning experience for students;
- Staff intend to report on the pilot by the end of the 2019-2020 school year;
- Trustee Boothby queried the whether or not the OCDSB Guide to Supporting Gifted Students would be available in every classroom across the District. She expressed the view that the gifted students in the regular classroom cannot wait years in order to receive supports. Superintendent Symmonds advised that the intent of the pilot is to ensure the OCDSB Guide to Supporting Gifted Students and the OCDSB Interest Academy Pilot function as intended. LSS aim to ensure the resources are as effective as possible;
- Three schools have confirmed they will participate in the pilot;
- The intent of the student self-reflection assessment is to disaggregate the data for gifted students to measure the impact on gifted learners;

- The District is cautious about making decisions on a single point of data and will use a variety of data sources to analyze the effectiveness of the resources;
- In response to a query from Trustee Campbell, Superintendent Symmonds indicated he would speak to the Program Evaluator about the survey being provided to all participants;
- In response to a query from Trustee Campbell regarding the effect of the intervention and whether or not there is a control group, Superintendent Symmonds noted that the research is exploratory in nature and LSS is still working on the program evaluation;
- LSS will ensure there is balanced representation between the use of the OCDSB Guide to Supporting Gifted Students and the OCDSB Interest Academy Pilot;
- Staff indicated that the self-assessment may not be a part of the data as staff were unsure of the reliability and accuracy of the self-assessment of the teacher resource;
- Ms. Miedema expressed the importance of student data and voice in the analysis of the program's effectiveness. Superintendent Symmonds committed to working with the program evaluator to investigate the use of student input;
- LSS approached the principals of the three schools that were selected to participate in the pilot based on the knowledge that there were gifted students within the school;
- Ms. Owen expressed the view that if the goal of the pilot is to examine effectiveness in the support of students with giftedness then the focus of the evaluation and data collection should be on students with Giftedness;
- Ms. Miedema suggested that a parent and guardian survey also be administered with the OCDSB Guide to Supporting Gifted Students;
- Staff noted that the OCDSB Interest Academy Pilot is intended to operate in 6-week cycles and the OCDSB Guide to Supporting Gifted Students will support teachers throughout the school year. A preliminary report on effectiveness should be available by the end of March 2020.

5.4 Memo 19-062, Empower Reading Update

Your committee had before it Memo 19-062, providing an update regarding the status of the Empower Reading program.

During the discussion, and in response to questions the following points were noted:

- Teachers are asked to record pre-Empower and post-Empower assessment data in a tracking form developed by LSS;

however, gaps in collective data gathering exist due to the voluntary nature of the request. At this point it is not mandated;

- For those students who do not respond to Empower or are not able to be placed in Empower, there are other resources available to those students through Multi-Disciplinary teams, and Psycho-Educational assessments for the diagnosis of other needs. Empower is a tier three intervention at the school level and the Multi-Disciplinary team would look at different strategies to support those learners;
- Empower is currently being piloted at the secondary level at Gloucester High School and Sir Guy Carleton Secondary School and students may achieve credit for their participation at the discretion of the school principal;
- There can be up to eight students per Empower class or grouping, on average the District is reaching 800 students with the Grade 2 to 5 decoding and spelling;
- Mr. Morris commented that having seen Empower in action it is a powerful, tier three intervention. He expressed the view that while the budget for the program may be large, it is a critical investment for student success;
- Empower is noted the student's Individual Education Plan (IEP);
- Mr. Morris expressed the view that offering Empower at the secondary level is important as many high school students cannot read. He hoped the program would be offered in more high schools across the District to ensure students can access the program in their community school;
- Ms. Dlouhy shared the success Richmond Public School has had with Empower reading. In grade one, students have additional LRT support and small group reading and at the end of the year the Multi-Disciplinary team will establish a list of candidates for Grade 2 Decoding and Spelling. She noted that Richmond PS wants all students reading by the end of grade two. In the 2018-2019 school year Richmond PS offered three classes of decoding and spelling and one class of comprehension and vocabulary. Empower enables her school to capture the students before they fall behind. The fidelity of the program is an issue as the other teachers want to know how to support the student when they are outside Empower and her suggestion for overcoming the issue is team communication;
- Ms. Dlouhy expressed the view that Empower is the single most significant intervention in a student's life for those meeting the eligibility criteria and the expense is a bargain. She noted that the evidence of its impact is profound and often changes attitudes and behaviour;
- Superintendent Symmonds advised that reading is the single biggest indicator of student success;
- In response to a query from Ms. Owen, Superintendent Symmonds advised that students in schools without Empower will receive

assistance and support through the Multi-Disciplinary team and the program continues to expand;

- Empower reading is available to students in French immersion;
- Trustee Boothby queried the statement "not all trained teachers are delivering Empower this school year". System-Principal Kessler noted that the trained teacher is still within the school but the school may not have a cohort;
- The Empower program is highly regimented and students move through 110 lessons. Students must begin at the beginning and that may require a delay in participation until the next school year. Students are placed in Empower as quickly as possible. In the interim, the Multi-Disciplinary team is working to provide supports to the students. Students may re-enter Empower at a strategic point to reinforce the learning;
- The District still uses Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI) as a reading intervention;
- The content for Empower is presented visually and a large part of the program is rooted in meta-cognition. For students accessing assistive technology, the program may need to be presented differently to make it accessible;
- Ms. Paterick noted that if the material is in a printed format it can be translated to Braille;
- Ms. Gardner queried the logistics of the program and the timetabling. System-Principal Kessler advised that the majority of Empower teachers are LRTs and LSTs and the school must be intentional in scheduling the time and space for the program;
- Ms. Houlden noted that, at the secondary level, there are no LRTs. With planned increases to class sizes, she queried how Empower can continue. System Principal Kessler noted Empower is currently being piloted at Sir Guy Carleton SS and Gloucester HS and is in the early stages of introduction. She advised that she will be meeting with the secondary school teams to review the results and discuss next steps;
- Chair Kirwan requested the addition of a Secondary Empower Update to the long range agenda; and
- Ms. Miedema expressed the view that early intervention is key and queried whether or not the data from the program could be used to understand missed opportunities. Staff noted that SickKids has data and that staff will communicate with them about its use.

6. Department Update

Superintendent Symmonds advised that LSS and the Mental Health Team are hosting information nights for parents on the topic of Self-Regulation in Childhood and Adolescence. He noted that the next session would be held on 28 May 2019 from 6:30 to 8:30 p.m. at Summerside Elementary School.

Superintendent Symmonds noted that Dr. Jonathan A. Weiss provided a presentation on Addressing Mental Health in Autism at the Autism Parent Information Night held on 23 April 2019. He noted that the event, hosted by the ASD team, was well attended and that the team provided workshops to parents on a variety of topics.

Storefront

System Principal Kessler noted that the Storefront program is a transition program for those in the General Learning Program (GLP) and an important pathway to employment in the community and other learning opportunities. Storefront is available to twelve students. She noted that of the six students graduating at the end of 2019, four have been able to find various degrees of paid employment. The majority of the students are referred from Sir Guy Carleton Secondary School and Ottawa Technical Secondary School. The students had many successes during the 2018-2019 school year with a highlight being a positive collaboration with the Algonquin College Kitchen Steward program.

System Principal Kessler advised that the Storefront program will remain at its current location in a commercial building near the St. Laurent Shopping Centre and has an ongoing commitment with the landlord. Trustee Boothby expressed concern over the lack of a sustained commitment. System Principal Kessler noted that she will confirm location details and arrangement and will report back to SEAC.

Chair Kirwan expressed concern about the instability of the present location and suggested the Merivale Road corridor as an alternative that could be investigated. Superintendent Symmonds advised that other GLP initiatives are offered in the Woodroffe High School area and, at present, LSS is not contemplating expanding Storefront.

Superintendent Symmonds advised that the Ministry has recently announced its Priorities and Partnership Fund which may grant the District additional funding to explore successful practices in transitioning students with developmental disabilities to work, community or post-secondary education.

System Principal Hannah advised that Storefront is an extension of GLP and focuses on the exit outcomes of its students with an emphasis on independence. The GLP criteria, along with input from teachers and family members regarding readiness and independence, are factors in student placement. Storefront students must be able to travel independently to and from the program utilizing OC Transpo and have some necessary social skills.

There is currently only one site for the program. Staff noted that Storefront is one opportunity, and there are other options within Secondary General Learning Programs that students can explore. The transition funding would allow the District to explore other possibilities to fill gaps, but it is premature to speculate.

Six students will graduate from Storefront this year. The program is offered to students between the ages of 19 and 21. Students may attend Storefront for one or two years but must leave the program the year in which they turn 21.

Integration and Support for Special Education Students

Principal Symmonds requested the item be added to the 12 June 2019 SEAC agenda.

External Consultation Qualifications

Superintendent Symmonds advised that the Ministry has implemented new procurement rules and the District must now first investigate whether or not vendors of record can undertake the work. The vendors of record provided by the Ministry are often large scale organizations, and it is difficult to assess whether or not they have experience in the work of school boards. He noted that LSS has conducted a preliminary review of the recommended vendors and have identified six that may suit the project.

Chair Kirwan noted that Superintendent Symmonds has agreed to a meeting with a select number of members to discuss the qualifications of potential consultants. Mark Wylie, Cathy Miedema, Sonia Nadon-Campbell, and Jim Harris volunteered to meet with Superintendent Symmonds.

7. Committee Reports

7.1 Advisory Committee on Equity

Ms. Nadon-Campbell noted that the 25 April 2019 meeting of the Advisory Committee on Equity (ACE) featured discussions on ACE membership, equity resources and follow-up on the mental health motion initiated by ACE at its 28 March 2019 meeting. She noted that the mental health strategy would be provided for discussion at the 23 May 2019 meeting.

7.2 Parent Involvement Committee

Mr. Morris advised that the 17 April 2019 Parent Involvement Committee meeting featured discussions on field trips, identity based data collection, the parent survey, and the Ministry consultation on class sizes and hiring practices. He added that the PIC also confirmed new community representatives, Ottawa Network for Education and Parents for Diversity.

7.3 Board

There was no report from Board.

7.4 Committee of the Whole

There was no report from the Committee of the Whole.

7.5 Committee of the Whole, Budget

Ms. Owen noted that, at the 16 April 2019 meeting of the Committee of the Whole Budget, Chief Financial Officer Carson presented the updated financial forecast as of February 2019 and an update on the 2019-2020 budget process.

Ms. Owen noted that the presentation of the staff recommended budget will be on 29 May 2019. The first meeting for public delegations will be 3 June 2019.

8. New Business

Ms. Nadon Campbell requested further details on the number of EAs at Clifford Bowey Public School and Crystal Bay Centre for Special Education to aid in the discussion of the class size ratios.

Mr. Warner noted that VOICE hosted a talent showcasing the deaf and hard of hearing students at Vincent Massey Public School on 11 April 2019.

Ms. Houlden shared that Sir Guy Carleton Secondary School will host a Spring Market on 16 May 2019.

9. Adjournment

The meeting at adjourned at 10:23

Rob Kirwan, Chair, Special Education Advisory Committee



SPECIAL EDUCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Long Range Agenda **2018-2019**

1. LD Program Review and Update (ongoing)
2. Implementation of the Exit Outcomes (Ongoing)
3. The role of the Early Childhood Educator (TBD)
4. Storefront Update (TBD)
5. Funding Extended Day Program (EDP) Special Education students (TBD)
6. Restorative Communication Exercise (TBD)
7. Integration and Support for Special Education Students (June)
8. Ontario Autism Program Update (TBD)
9. Impact of Occasional Teacher Shortages on Special Education (LRTs and LSTs, days lost and exclusions) (TBD)
10. Special Education Funding Guidelines Special Equipment Amount (SEA) (TBD)
11. Private Assessments (TBD)
12. Changes and Impact of E-learning on Special Education Students at the Secondary Level (December 2019)

MOTION/ACTION TRACKING REPORT

	Meeting Date	Motion/Action	Action Agents	Requester	Reference/Date	Completed
1	15 November 2017 16 January 2019	ASAR and BIPSAW to utilize and highlight locally developed statistics	READ and Curriculum Services	C. Houlden	READ team alerted. Superintendent Symmonds to follow up with Ms. Houlden.	No
2	09 May 2018	EQAO Data on Gifted Students	READ and LSS	Ms. Miedema(ABC)	Superintendent Symmonds approached the READ team with a request for EQAO data for gifted students. Ms. Miedema to follow up with Superintendent Symmonds.	No
3	25 February 2019	Investigate the possible use of Communication Disorder Assistants (CDAs) to provide initial support to students.	S.Kay	C.Boothby	Manager Kay to provide an update at the June meeting.	No
4	25 February 2019	Provide committee with information on the current location of all specialized program classes in the District.	A.Hannah	C. Miedema	A memorandum was provided to members for the May meeting. A follow-up memorandum will be provided at the October 2019 meeting.	No
5	6 March 2019	Wait lists	A.Hannah	R. Campbell	Staff are unable to glean this information from the new IEP Online (IOL) tool. LSS is working with the developer to include a clinical service module but it is still in the development stage.	No



COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (PUBLIC)
Report No. 19-062

21 MAY 2019

Student Suspension Report for 2017-2018 School Year

Key Contact: Brett Reynolds, Associate Director, 613-596-8211 ext. 8252

PURPOSE:

1. To provide to Committee of the Whole student suspension data and analysis from the 2017-2018 school year, including information on how principals determine if suspension is appropriate, and measures being taken proactively to reduce instances which may result in suspension.

CONTEXT:

2. Board Policy P.020.SCO, Student Suspensions, requires that a summary report of student suspensions be submitted annually to the Board. This report provides an overview of student suspensions for the period of 5 September 2017 to 28 June 2018. The report is provided to help identify emerging trends in unacceptable or unsafe behaviour. It is important to note that while the information presented describes the general trends in suspensions over time, conclusions cannot be drawn as to what specifically is contributing to these trends.

KEY CONSIDERATIONS:

3. A positive school climate helps to promote an environment in which students, parents/guardians, staff and community members feel safe, welcome and respected. Creating this positive learning environment requires the efforts of everyone involved to help promote healthy relationships and encourage appropriate student behaviour. Prevention and early intervention strategies are utilized throughout the OCDSB in an effort to promote an inclusive, safe, and caring environment to foster student learning.

Some examples of these strategies include:

- Collaborative problem solving;
- Continuing to build teacher capacity to engage students in learning through differentiated instruction;
- Evidence-based bullying, violence and harm reduction programs (i.e., WalkAway, Ignore, Talk it out, Seek help (WITS); Look and listen, Explore points of view, Act, Did it work? and Seek help (LEADS); and, Fourth R);
- Itinerant Educational Assistant (IEA) Support;
- Link crew and transition programming;
- Reality Check;
- Restorative practices training;
- Rideauwood Addiction and Counselling services;
- Safe and accepting school teams and school bullying prevention and intervention plans;
- Systemic use of multi-disciplinary teams in schools; and
- Tiered supports for behaviour intervention (see Appendix B for more details).

During the 2017-2018 school year, in response to needs identified by staff and the community, training for principals and vice-principals in promoting positive student behaviour was identified as a priority. Principal and vice-principal participated in three half-day sessions of professional learning activities. Topics addressed during these training sessions included progressive discipline, student suspension and expulsion, building attachment, strategies to promote self-regulation, understanding Behaviour Management Systems (BMS) principles, implementing Applied Behaviour Analysis (ABA) strategies with students who have Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), and collaborative problem solving. This work has continued into the 2018-2019 school year.

While the focus remains on the use of prevention and early intervention strategies to promote a positive learning environment, there are occasions in which student behaviour is considered to be unacceptable or unsafe. In these circumstances schools use a progressive discipline approach in an effort to promote positive student behaviour and help students to learn from their choices. A range of options are considered that take into account both the situation and individual circumstances. This allows the school to determine the most appropriate course of action. Discipline is to be both corrective and supportive. In some circumstances, a principal may determine that a suspension or expulsion is warranted. Before making this determination, a principal is required to consider the severity of the behaviour, its impact on school climate, whether some alternative to suspension may suffice, and the behaviour and discipline history of the student. Principals are also required to consider mitigating and other factors before imposing or determining the length of a suspension. These factors include such things as the age of the student, their ability to understand the consequences of their behaviour, and what impact a suspension may have upon their achievement.

4. The Analysis of 2017-2018 Student Suspension Data (attached as Appendix A) provides the following information pertaining to student suspensions:
 - a. Number of Suspensions and Suspension Rate by Enrolment :
 The District has seen a rise in the suspension rate relative to increases in enrolment. This is largely in the elementary panel and is consistent with reports of increasing dysregulated pupil behaviour in the younger grades. Inappropriate behaviour can be understood as a student's way of communicating a need or responding to something in the environment. The data showing boys continue to be suspended at significantly higher rates than girls across all grades may reflect that boys are more apt to communicate their dysregulation through physical modes.
 - b. Suspension Analysis by Identified Groups:
 Beginning in the 2019-2020 school year, the District will begin the process of collecting identify-based data. It is anticipated that this data will be incorporated into future reports on student suspension once it is available. This year's report introduces the concept of a disproportionality index which gives an indication of over/under representation of specific groups of students in a particular program/outcome, where larger index values indicate higher levels of disproportionality. Use of this index has helped to identify that students who reside in lower income neighbourhoods, particularly those who also belong to any other group or combinations of groups, are particularly overrepresented in the suspension data.
 - c. Suspensions by Student Exceptionality,
 The five-year trend indicates that students identified with a behaviour exceptionality are suspended at the highest rate, while students identified as gifted are suspended at the lowest rate of any identified group, a rate even lower than that of students not identified with special education needs.
 - d. Suspension Data by Infraction Location and Nature:
 Most incidents which lead to suspension occur in the classroom, on the school grounds or in the hallways. Of the possible reasons for imposing a suspension, conduct injurious to the physical or mental well-being of others is the most common.
 - e. Suspension Frequency and Duration:
 The majority of students who receive a suspension are suspended for one school day. In most cases the students have no further suspensions, suggesting that the behaviour has improved and that suspensions can be an effective corrective intervention for many students.

In some cases, a principal may "refuse to admit to the school or classroom, a person whose presence would in the principal's judgment to be detrimental to the physical or mental well-being of the pupils" (i.e., exclusion under section 265(m) of the *Education Act*). Student exclusions are not disciplinary and should

not be confused with suspension and expulsion, and as such, are not included in this report.

RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

5. There are no resource implications attached to this discussion report.

COMMUNICATION/CONSULTATION:

6. No consultation was required in preparing this report. The information contained in this report will be shared with principals.

STRATEGIC LINKS:

7. The monitoring and reporting on student suspensions contributes to the strategic priority of *Well-being: A culture which supports and respects the well-being of every individual in a safe and caring learning and working environment.*

GUIDING QUESTIONS:

8. How can this information be used to inform and support the work and priorities of the District?

Brett Reynolds
Associate Director

Camille Williams-Taylor
Director of Education and
Secretary of the Board

APPENDICES

Appendix A - Analysis of 2017-2018 Student Suspension Data

Analysis of 2017-2018 Student Suspension Data

Overview

The Ministry of Education collects suspension data for all publicly funded school districts in Ontario through the 30 June OnSIS submission. Suspension rates are calculated based on the total number of students who received at least one suspension between 5 September and 28 June, as a percentage of the enrolment as of October 31.

Table 1 provides a five-year historical overview of enrolment and suspension data, disaggregated for the elementary and secondary panels, using extracts from Trillium. For the 2017-2018 school year, the student suspension rate for the OCDSB is estimated at 3.27% (n=2,406); provincial data is not yet available, but historically OCDSB suspension rates have been lower than those for the province.

A total of 3,753 suspensions were issued in 2017-2018 – 2,310 at the elementary level, and 1,443 at the secondary level – which represents an overall increase of 12.4% from the previous year. The overall suspension rate, based on a student population of 73,571 was 5.1% (compared to 4.6% in 2016-2017). Over a five-year period, it is worth noting that student suspensions at the elementary level have continued to increase at a higher rate than elementary enrolment, while there has been a decrease in the overall suspension rate at secondary.


Table 1: Historical Overview of Enrolment and Suspension Data

Student Enrolment	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
<i>Elementary</i>	48,126	48,176	47,685	48,702	49,106
<i>Secondary</i>	24,252	23,978	23,886	23,790	24,465
Total	72,378	72,154	71,571	72,492	73,571
Number of Suspensions Issued					
<i>Elementary</i>	1,597	1,613	1,635	1,943	2,310
<i>Secondary</i>	1,686	1,573	1,315	1,397	1,443
Total	3,283	3,186	2,950	3,340	3,753
Number of Students Suspended					
<i>Elementary</i>	944	936	942	1,086	1,308
<i>Secondary</i>	1,025	983	880	937	1,098
Total	1,969	1,919	1,822	2,023	2,406

Suspension Rates by Grade and Gender

Figure 1 shows suspension rates by gender. At every grade level, suspension rates are higher for males than they are for females, with ratios ranging from lowest in grade 10 to highest in Year 1 Kindergarten. The trend that student suspension rates are highest for boys in grades 7 through 11, and for girls in grades 8 through 10 has remained consistent over the last few years.

Figure 1: Student Suspension Rates by Gender and Grade (2017-2018)



Identified Groups of Students


The number of students who received at least one suspension during the 2017-2018 school year, and the total number of suspensions issued, were disaggregated for the groups of students that are currently tracked in the OCDSB (Table 2).

Table 2: Suspension Summary (2017-2018)

	Enrolment (N = 73,571)	Students Suspended (N = 2,406)	Suspensions Issued (N = 3,753)
Female	36,028	466	655
Male	37,543	1,940	3,098
ELL	11,976	672	970
Spec. Ed.	13,259	968	1,795
FNMI	1,468	98	182
Low SES	19,563	1,156	1,950

Figure 2 shows the overlap (in numbers) across each of the identified groups for females and males. Students who fall within one or more identified group are displayed within the coloured portion of the ellipses; darker shading represents a greater number of groups to which the student belongs. For example, sections with the darkest shading in each ellipse indicate that students have self-identified as Indigenous, reside in a lower income neighbourhood, and have been recorded as both an ELL and as having a special education need in Trillium. While approximately one-quarter of our students live in lower income neighbourhoods, these students account for nearly half of all students suspended.

Figure 2: Number of Students Suspended by Identified Group (2017-2018)



*indicates fewer than 10 students (for females, these areas sum to 26, for males they sum to 6).

Table 3 organizes suspension data into 32 distinct groups; inclusion in a specified group is indicated by the shaded boxes. A disproportionality index has also been calculated in order to better understand the over/underrepresentation of certain groups of students in suspension data relative to the student population; larger index values reflect greater disproportionality. Analysis of this information suggests that for both males and females the greatest disproportionality occurs for students who are English language learners, have special education needs, and who reside in lower income neighbourhoods (5.4 and 1.8, respectively; affecting approximately 100 students overall). Disproportionality rates are also high for students who have special education needs and who reside in lower income neighbourhoods (4.8 and 1.8, respectively; affecting approx. 300 students).

Table 3: Disproportionality Index by Identified Groups

	ELL	SpecEd	FNMI	LowSES	Enrollment (N=37,543)	Suspended (N=1,940)	Index*			ELL	SpecEd	FNMI	LowSES	Enrollment (N=36,028)	Suspended (N=466)	Index*
MALE					18,255(48.6)	412(21.2)	0.7							20,072 (55.7)	117 (25.1)	0.2
				X	5,156 (13.7)	256 (13.2)	1.5					X		5,453 (15.1)	94 (20.2)	0.5
			X		285 (0.8)	16 (0.8)	1.7					X		338 (0.9)	*	*
			X	X	156 (0.4)	14 (0.7)	2.7					X	X	198 (0.5)	*	*
		X			5,463 (14.6)	390 (20.1)	2.2				X			3,229 (9)	70 (15)	0.7
		X		X	1,575 (4.2)	246 (12.7)	4.8				X		X	1,020 (2.8)	59 (12.7)	1.8
		X	X		158 (0.4)	26 (1.3)	5.0				X	X		87 (0.2)	*	*
		X	X	X	92 (0.2)	13 (0.7)	4.3				X	X	X	58(0.2)	*	*
	X				2,764 (7.4)	133 (6.9)	1.5			X				2,531 (7)	27 (5.8)	0.3
	X			X	2,598 (6.9)	298 (15.4)	3.5			X			X	2,444(6.8)	56 (12)	0.7
	X		X		10 (0.03)					X		X		16 (0.04)		
	X		X	X	23 (0.1)	*	*			X		X	X	13 (0.04)		
	X	X			511 (1.4)	45 (2.3)	2.7			X	X			272 (0.8)	*	*
	X	X		X	479 (1.3)	85 (4.4)	5.4			X	X		X	281 (0.8)	17 (3.6)	1.8
	X	X	X		10 (0.03)					X	X	X		*	*	*
	X	X	X	X	*	*	*			X	X	X	X	*	*	*

* < 10; grey shaded areas= no data

Figure 3 provides a historical overview of student suspension rates by exceptionality (Table 4 provides additional details). Students with a behavioural exceptionality continue to engage in behaviours that are more likely to result in suspension at a significantly higher rate compared to students identified with any other exceptionality. Suspension rates for students identified with a behavioural exceptionality increased in 2017-2018 after seeing declines for the past few years. A decline in student suspension rates for students identified with a mild intellectual disability have been observed this past year, while higher rates of suspension were observed for students with a language impairment and students receiving special education support who have not been formally identified with an exceptionality (i.e., IEP-only). With the exception of students identified as Gifted, students identified with special education needs continue to engage in behaviours that are more likely to result in suspension compared to students without special education needs.

Figure 3: Historical Overview of Student Suspension Rates for Students with Special Education Needs by Exceptionality and Those Without




Table 4: 5-Year History of Student Suspensions for Students with Special Education Needs by Exceptionality and by Panel

Exceptionality	Total	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
<i>Autism</i>	# identified # suspended # suspensions	716 43 83	776 40 89	782 43 86	745 33 56	837 42 75
<i>Behavioural</i>	# identified # suspended # suspensions	201 101 304	187 80 256	177 64 161	158 56 168	149 70 185
<i>Blind and Low Vision</i>	# identified # suspended # suspensions	23 * *	22 * *	20 * *	14 * *	17 * *
<i>Deaf and Hard-of Hearing</i>	# identified # suspended # suspensions	105 * *	99 * *	95 * *	97 * 14	94 * *
<i>Developmental Disability</i>	# identified # suspended # suspensions	379 * *	358 * *	354 * *	317 * 10	347 * *
<i>Giftedness</i>	# identified # suspended # suspensions	2,338 18 21	2,215 27 45	2,099 14 28	1,612 15 23	1,736 24 35
<i>Language Impairment</i>	# identified # suspended # suspensions	325 15 24	332 20 38	318 15 19	276 * *	293 19 28
<i>Learning Disability</i>	# identified # suspended # suspensions	2,488 172 289	2,195 127 226	1,987 100 182	1,615 93 152	1,550 85 140
<i>Mild Intellectual Disability</i>	# identified # suspended # suspensions	449 60 102	451 44 83	414 40 77	359 38 92	396 34 74
<i>Multiple Exceptionalities</i>	# identified # suspended # suspensions	26 * *	26 * *	33 * *	28 * *	28 * *
<i>Physical Disability</i>	# identified # suspended # suspensions	90 * *	91 * *	78 * *	76 * *	77 * *
<i>IEP-Only</i>	# identified # suspended # suspensions	5,436 444 811	5,874 444 794	6,569 475 824	7,188 539 989	7,729 680 1,241
Total	# identified # suspended # suspensions	1,2578 869 1,656	12,626 798 1,551	12,926 763 1,397	12,488 801 1,518	13,259 968 1,795

Nature of Suspension


Figure 4 shows the locations in which infractions occurred and the reasons for the suspension (i.e., infraction type¹). It is important to note that a single suspension can include more than

¹ There are 26 codes established and used by the OCDSB that map onto the Ministry-recognized "other" category. In addition to the Ministry-recognized codes, Figure 4 includes OCDSB-established codes that account for at least 10% of the infraction types.

one type of infraction. Consistent with previous years, incidents that resulted in a suspension were most likely to occur:

- in the classroom, on the school grounds, or in a hallway; and
- for a reason coded as “other” by the Ministry – most notably conduct that is physically harmful to another person or to the moral tone of the school, and persistent opposition to authority.

Figure 4: Location in Which Infraction Occurred by Infraction Type, 2017-2018




* OCDSB-established infraction codes that the Ministry of Education would also include with “Other”.

Frequency and Duration of Suspensions


Approximately two-thirds of students suspended (1,627 of 2,406) received a one-day suspension during the 2017-2018 school year (Figure 5); a rate that has been consistent for the past few years.

Figure 5: Duration of Student Suspensions



Approximately two-thirds of students suspended in 2017-2018 (1,587 of 2,406) were suspended only once over the course of the year (Figure 6); this is also consistent with the past few years.

Figure 6: Frequency of Students Suspended Multiple Times



Appendix A to Report 19-062Summary and Next Steps

The analysis of student suspension data for 2017-2018 shows an increase in the number of students receiving a suspension and in the number of suspensions issued overall. General trends continue to show that:

- male students are more likely to engage in behaviours leading to suspension
- students who are identified with special education needs (particularly those with a behavioural exceptionality) and who reside in lower income neighbourhoods are the most disproportionately represented in the data;
- incidents that result in a suspension typically occur in the classroom, on the school grounds, or in the hallway and for reasons associated with conduct that is injurious to the physical or mental well-being of others in the school, conduct that is injurious to the moral tone of the school, and persistent opposition to authority;
- the majority of students suspended in 2017-2018 were suspended only once, and most suspensions lasted for a single day.

The District needs to continue working to better understand the potential reasons for suspensions being issued at disproportionate rates for some groups of students. As the province and District move towards the collection of identify-based data, there may be greater opportunity to consider the intersectionality of these identities in relation to a range of outcomes in order to identify and remove systemic barriers that may be contributing to the practice. Continued monitoring of trends in student suspension data on an annual basis is important in providing programs, supports, and services to students and staff to help promote a safe and welcoming learning environment.



MEMORANDUM

Memo No. 19-072

TO: Trustees
Student Trustees

FROM: Camille Williams-Taylor, Director of Education and Secretary of the Board
Peter Symmonds, Superintendent of Learning Support Services

DATE: 24 May 2019

RE: **Draft Mental Health Strategy**

In 2011 the Province of Ontario released “Open Minds, Healthy Minds” Ontario’s Comprehensive Mental Health and Addictions Strategy. This multi-ministerial strategy was designed to create a more integrated and responsive system of care. Through the Ministry of Education’s commitment, there has been an increased focus on mental health in school districts. The foundation of this work is the development, implementation, tracking and monitoring of a Mental Health and Addiction Strategy.

The first Ottawa-Carleton District School Board (OCDSB) Mental Health and Addiction Strategy was developed in 2014. The strategy was based on input from focus group and resource mapping involving various stakeholder groups, with priorities being identified in three areas (i.e., organizational conditions, capacity building and evidence-based mental health promotion and prevention programming), which were identified by the Ministry of Education and the provincial implementation team, School Mental Health ASSIST.

The District is currently developing a new Mental Health Strategy for 2019-2022. For the current strategy, six priority areas have been identified that align with the provincial work of School Mental Health Ontario (formerly School Mental Health ASSIST). These are:

- Organizational conditions;
- Capacity building;
- Evidence-based mental health promotion and prevention programming;
- Supporting specific populations;
- Enhancing system coordination; and
- Youth engagement.

To begin work on the new strategy, a District steering committee was struck in the spring of 2018. The committee is comprised of central staff, administrators from both elementary and secondary schools, federations representatives and a parent representative. To date, the work of the steering committee has involved reviewing the previous strategy, providing input into data collection and reviewing provincial and local data in order to guide the development of the strategy. Data was collected in various forms from a range of

stakeholders, including focus groups with professional staff, parents and students, and a mental health staff survey.

Based on a review of the data and input from the steering committee, a draft strategy has been developed (see Appendix A). We will be building a diverse working team representative of the full diversity of our school population. At this point we are seeking feedback from the perspective of various advisory committees.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact Peter Symmonds at peter.symmonds@ocdsb.ca or at 613-596-8254.

cc Senior Staff
 Manager Board Services
 Special Education Advisory Committee
 Corporate Records

OCDSB Mental Health Strategy

Mission: Student well-being and achievement are fostered within a context of a safe, caring and inclusive school community. Building on the Ottawa-Carleton District School Board's Strategic Plan and the Framework for Student Well-Being, the Mental Health Strategy will continue to focus on building and sustaining mentally healthy schools through capacity building for staff, youth and parent engagement and collaboration with community partners. The Strategy will incorporate an evidence-based, tiered approach to intervention to support all of our students.

Vision: Engaging, educating and empowering our students, staff and communities provides a safe, caring and inclusive learning environment that promotes student mental health, well-being and achievement.

Values: At the OCDSB we value:

- Equitable and inclusive education (fostering a community of belonging)
- Student voice to inform and guide our work
- Collaboration with our families in support of their children's mental health
- Our community partnerships
- Evidence-based and evidence informed approaches
- The vital role our staff play in promoting and supporting student mental health and we are committed to deepening their knowledge, understanding and capacity to support all of our students while also recognizing and supporting staff well-being

What is Mental Health?:


The concept of mental health is perceived and understood differently across cultures. In some cultures, mental health is considered living a good life. In others, it is linked with physical health, while in others there is no equivalent language for mental health. For the purpose of this Strategy, we will use the World Health Organization's definition, where mental health is described as : a state of well-being in which every individual realizes their potential, can cope with the normal stresses of life, can work productively and fruitfully and is able to make a contribution to their community. In other words, mental health is about being able to enjoy life in a meaningful way, to flourish and thrive and to cope with challenges when they arise. Mental health is a positive concept.

Similarly, the concept of mental illness is viewed differently across cultures resulting in various levels of stigma. It is important to recognize the impact of cultural differences in understanding mental illness.

The language used to distinguish mental health and mental illness requires consideration. Some think they are the same. In fact, mental health and mental illness are two separate, but interconnected concepts. This video describes the relationship between mental health and mental illness: [Promoting Mental Health: Finding a Shared Language](#)

Approach to Mental Health & Well-being at School


At the OCDSB, we understand that mental health is essential to student success. Mental health affects academic achievement as well as social and emotional development. This relationship is grounded in the OCDSB 2015-2019 Strategic Plan where well-being is identified as one of the key priority areas. What students need to build and sustain mental health is reflected in the School Mental Health Ontario (formerly School Mental Health ASSIST) 2019: Action Plan. This model illustrates the importance of building a foundation of caring by creating and sustaining mentally healthy and inclusive classrooms and schools. This includes supporting students to develop social emotional learning skills and to learn about mental health. As well, the model also illustrates that students need educators who have the knowledge and skills to notice signs of emotional difficulty and to access appropriate services and interventions. Clear pathways to, from and through care in the community need to be understood and information needs to be available to parents/guardians.



Providing access to resources, supports and services follows a tiered approach. This aligned and integrated model, developed by School Mental Health Ontario, ensures that students have access to the right level of support at the right time from the right service

provider. The foundation focuses on universal mental health promotion that is good for all students. Some students who are at risk for mental health challenges need targeted prevention support and more vulnerable students require more intensive support and intervention.

Aligned and Integration Model (AIM) for School Mental Health and Well-Being



Source: *School Mental Health Ontario

Summary of OCDSB Accomplishments from 2014-2018

Over the past 5 years, the OCDSB Mental Health Strategy and accompanying annual Action Plans focused on organizational conditions, capacity building, evidence mental health promotion programming, and system coordination. Highlights of accomplishments include:

- Presented to all administrators mental health awareness sessions which included a focus on the importance of using language to promote mental health and reduce stigma
- Introduced Supporting Minds to administrators, school staff, new teachers
- Provided training to administrators in understanding anxiety
- Presented workshops to administrators, staff and parents on promoting positive behaviour, building relationships through attachments and promoting self-regulation,
- Developed and shared information on cultural proficiency with a mental health focus
- Training provided for professional staff to increase knowledge around 2SLGBTQ+ and Indigenous communities.
- Provided resources for administrators in leading mentally healthy schools
- Revised the board procedure on crisis prevention, intervention and postvention
- Created guidelines to support students who exhibit non-suicidal self-injury (NSSI)
- Provided training in suicide prevention and our urgent care protocol
- Created a Pathways to Care document
- Continued to train staff in Collaborative Problem Solving (CPS)
- Encouraged the implementation of Mindmasters2
- Introduced Kids Have Stress Too and Stress Lessons
- Collaborated with community partners in developing innovative pilot programs
- Collaborated with community partners to raise awareness around substance use, specifically related to opioids and cannabis

Current State of Child and Youth Mental Health

- 70% of mental health problems start during childhood or adolescence;
- 1.2 million children and youth are affected by mental illness, yet less than 20 per cent receive appropriate treatment;
- Suicide is the second leading cause of death among teens in Canada, accounting for 24% of all deaths among 15-to 24-year-olds.
- Nearly one-quarter of First Nations youth report psychological distress at a level that suggests moderate to severe mental disorder
- Black youth are significantly underrepresented in mental health and treatment services and over-represented in correctional facilities
- 2SLGBTQ+ youth face approximately 14 times the risk of suicide and substance abuse than heterosexual peers
- Youth living in the lowest-income communities had the highest rates of suicide, emergency department visits for deliberate self harm, acute mental health service use, treated prevalence of schizophrenia

Source: Children's Mental Health Ontario,

Early Development Instrument (EDI)




The EDI reports on a number of domains for children in senior kindergarten, including emotional maturity. The data has been collected at four different time points in Ontario, with cycle 1 in 2005/2006 and cycle 4 in 2014/2015.

The number of vulnerable children in the domain of emotional maturity trending higher (10.3% in cycle 1 vs. 12.3% in cycle 4).

A growing percentage of children never or almost never demonstrate prosocial and helping behaviours (28.9% vs. 32.2%), often show anxious behaviours (2.1% vs 2.5%) and often demonstrate aggressive behaviours (7.5% vs 10.2%).

Source: EDI in Ontario Over Time Report (2015), Offord Centre for Child Studies



is

Ontario Student Drug Use and Health Survey (OSDUHS)



The OSDHUS reports on trends in substance use and mental health in students grades 7-12. The latest data, presented below, was collected in 2017.

A majority of Ontario students (54%) rate their mental health as excellent or very good, however, the percentage of students who report their mental health as poor or very poor is trending upwards (11% in 2007 vs. 19% in 2017).

Over a quarter of Ontario students (29%) reported that their mental health affected their school grades “a great deal” or “quite a lot”.

In the Ottawa region, nearly 1 in 5 secondary students (19.6%) reported their mental health as poor or very poor, which was below the provincial average (21.7%). More specifically, 14.8% of secondary students in the Ottawa region reported moderate to severe anxiety or depression. 48% of students in grades 9-12 are at risk for problematic use of electronic devices, with 17% reporting they use social media 5+ hours per day. 18% of students(grade 7-12) report being cyberbullied at least once in the past year.

Approximately 30% of students in Ottawa reported accessing mental health services, however 32% of students reported wanting to talk to someone but didn't know where to turn.


Source: The Mental Health and Well-Being of Ontario Students 1991-2017 (2018), Centre for Addiction and Mental Health

OCDSB Data collection results:

ADULT VOICE


School Preparedness & Clarity of Roles:

More secondary staff than elementary staff felt their school was prepared to address mental health (69% vs. 39%) and their role in supporting students' mental health was clearly defined (55% vs. 41%).




Familiarity with Referral Pathway:

More secondary staff than elementary staff were familiar with the referral pathway (70% vs. 44%).



Frequently occurring issues:

The majority of staff (61-73%) reported that anxiety, self-regulation problems, and behaviour problems were occurring frequently in their schools. These were the most frequently reported issues of a list of 10 mental health related issues. Notably, anxiety was more commonly reported by secondary staff while self-regulation and behaviour problems were more commonly reported by elementary staff.



Staff training:

Parents and staff felt that increased opportunities for staff training and increased awareness of ongoing training opportunities could enhance capacity to deal with mental health related issues and better support all students. Training was most requested in the areas of: stress and anxiety, self-regulation, resiliency, and dealing with challenging behaviours.

“Proactive approaches to mental health strike me as the best way to approach the issue” – Staff

Supporting Specific Populations:

The majority of staff (85%) agreed that certain groups of students, particularly those who are 2SLGBTQ+, Indigenous, have special education needs, and/or children and youth in care,

require a different level of mental health support. Parents and staff suggested that awareness and sensitivity training, anxiety and mindfulness-based training, and cultural training for staff could help support these populations.

“Staff need to be made aware of the history and the specificity of issues affecting particular groups. The information and training need to be given in sensitive, accurate and empathetic ways.”- Staff

Community partnerships:

Common themes brought forward by staff and parents regarding community partnerships were the need to strengthen these relationships through enhanced collaboration and communication between all partners.

“Parents as partners” – Parent

“I think that a greater presence [of community partners] in the school may strengthen relationships.”-Staff

YOUTH VOICE

Clarity in Pathways to Care:

In general, OCDSB secondary students reported that they knew who to talk to about the mental health services at the school. Typically, this was the school guidance counsellor. However, some students noted they were less likely to approach their school's guidance counsellor for support because they believed that the guidance role was limited to academics or career counselling, rather than support for mental health issues.

“Help adults understand it's not easy to speak out”- student

General Mental Health Knowledge:

Students indicated that it would be helpful to start talking about mental health earlier in elementary school to reduce stigma and ultimately increase the use of mental health resources throughout a student's academic career at OCDSB. Students would like clear information as to what differentiates normal stress from an anxiety disorder, or sadness from depression, so that they can seek out help when appropriate. They also stated they would find it helpful to know what to do or what to say when a peer is distressed and in need of mental health support.

“Create awareness about mental health, the signs of stress & how to cope” - student

Mental Health Promotion:

Students believe mental health promotion should be integrated into the curriculum and be done in a personal, hands-on approach (e.g., hearing from experts or those with lived experience) as opposed to an assembly or a brief mention in health class.

“Different needs at different schools. There should be a minimum standard for mental health support and flexibility” -student

Supporting Specific Populations:

Students believe the best way to cultivate support for specific populations is through more personal approaches. For example, a meet and greet with a slide show presentation given by the specific population could help inform others of their backgrounds. Additionally, students said it may benefit others to shadow the person for a day, or have that person record their day to experience their perspective and struggles at school.

“All people, different needs” - student

Timely Access to Services:

Enhanced collaboration and communication with school, family, and external agencies was mentioned by students. Students indicated that it can be difficult to see a psychologist and social worker at school as they are only available one day per week. Students believe teachers (or other school staff) should promote mental health services in each class to raise awareness. Students also believe more involvement from parents is necessary. If parents are aware of approved school-based and external mental health services, they are more likely to encourage their child to seek support.

Next Steps:

National, provincial and local data, consistently point to increases in mental health concerns and mental disorders among our children and youth. In fact, the World Health Organization predicts that by 2030, mental health disorders will be the world's leading cause of disability (OCT, Professional Advisory, 2019). The reasons for this increase are varied and complex and addressing this troubling issue requires collaboration among government and community partners. However, educators and other caring adults working in schools can help promote mental health and well-being, develop the knowledge to identify early signs of emotional distress based on the diverse lived experience of our students, facilitate and support access to services at school and in the community. With this purpose in mind, the following strategic priorities have been set to guide our work for the next 3 years.

Strategic Priorities 2019-2022:

Consolidate Organizational Conditions: Organizational conditions set the context for sustainable mental health practices in our classrooms and our schools.

Our focus:

- Shared Language:
 - Continue to develop a shared understanding of mental health, concurrent mental health and addiction issues, how to support student mental health and well-being through a health equity lens
 - Reinforce key messages around promoting mental health and understanding and reducing stigma
 - Communicate information related to mental health promotion in multiple ways
- Standard Processes:
 - Focus on role clarification
 - Create and/or revise protocols and procedures related to identifying and supporting student mental health needs
 - Ensure that all staff have knowledge of the Board's suicide prevention, intervention and postvention protocol
- Continuous Quality Improvement:
 - Identify success indicators to monitor progress and measure impact
 - Use data to inform school improvement plans (well-being) and mental health action plans

Build Capacity : Systematic, focused level of training that is appropriate for staff in various roles. Providing professional development for staff across the District will help ensure that our staff, families and students have the knowledge they need to understand mental health and the resources to access support and services for our students and for themselves.



- Together with Staff Wellness, promote staff well-being by sharing information related to self-care and compassion fatigue
- Expand learning with administrators on creating and sustaining mentally healthy and inclusive schools
- Continue sharing Supporting Minds with all educator groups
- Expand awareness around promoting mental health through presentations on attachment, self-regulation and other specific topics for all educator staff
- Build sustainability through targeted follow up and coaching/mentoring
- Provide mental health literacy through professional development on topics related to anxiety, stress behaviour, depression, impact of technology for guidance counsellors

- Provide opportunities for mental health expertise training for professional staff on topics related to supporting the mental health of students with specific lived experiences and evidence based intervention approaches.
- Provide presentations on mental health awareness (promoting mental health & well-being) and specific topics (e.g., stress and anxiety) for parents
- Together with curriculum partners support student learning in mental health through the curriculum

Expand Evidence-based Mental Health Promotion & Prevention

Programming: Following a tiered approach, everyday practices to promote mental health & well-being will benefit all students. Targeted programming will support students who are at risk for mental health challenges and specific, individualized programming and referral to community partners will benefit those students who need a more intensive level of support.

- Promote the use of everyday practices that promote mental health & well-being
- Continue to implement Mindmasters2, Zones of Regulation, Collaborative Problem Solving at the classroom level
- Introduce Youth Connections-Ottawa (a peer-to-peer mental health promotion initiative) to secondary schools
- Continue to promote Kids Have Stress Too & Stress Lessons
- Continue training staff in suicide prevention using safeTALK and Applied Suicide Intervention Skills Training (ASIST) aligned with crisis prevention, intervention, postvention procedure
- Continue training staff in Violence/threat Risk Assessment (VTRA)
- Seek opportunities to pilot and evaluate promising new practices and programs in collaboration with our community partners



Support Specific Populations: Applying an equity lens to mental health will ensure that specific groups of students will access differentiated support to mental health & addiction

services. These groups include early learners, students in care, chronic non-attenders, 2SLGBTQ+, Indigenous and racialized youth, Newcomers, immigrants and students with special education needs.

- Build capacity across all OCDSB staff in cultural competency
- Increase awareness and understanding of the mental health needs of our diverse populations
- Build a sense of belonging in early learners through healthy educator-student attachment
- Expand knowledge and skills in promoting self-regulation and resilience in early learners
- Expand opportunities to collaborate with community partners to support mental health needs of Newcomers, immigrants, 2SLGBTQ+, racialized and Indigenous youth
- Explore best practices in supporting the mental health needs concurrent with student special education needs
- Together with CAS, support students in care through the Joint Protocol for Student Achievement
- Explore best practices, together with community partners, in engaging chronically non-attending students

Participate in System Coordination: Student mental health & well-being is a shared responsibility between home, school and community. Working with community partners to enhance supports to students in school and to facilitate access to community resources will help ensure that students are provided with the right service at the right time.

- Collaborate with Rideauwood Addiction Services to ensure quality intervention services for students with substance use/abuse issues
- Continue our role working with Ottawa's Lead Mental Health Agency (Youth Services Bureau) to identify and address services gaps in the community
- Continue our work with community based mental health & addiction tables (e.g., Multiagency Early Risk Intervention Table (MERIT), Substance Abuse & Youth in School and Community (SAYSAC), Integrated Plan of Care (IPC), Coordinated Access/Referral)
- Expand school based community partnerships through central partnership process
- Amplify communication around internal and external pathways to care to ensure that all staff understand access to supports and services
- Explore opportunities to enhance family engagement

Encourage Child/Youth Engagement: As students are the focus of this work, student voice and leadership are essential to ensure that student perspectives and ideas inform the development of school-based initiatives and how best to support student needs.

- Establish a youth advisory on mental health to help identify areas of focus and co-create mental health awareness activities and programs for youth

- Together with Ottawa Public Health, implement Youth Connections Ottawa, a peer to peer wellness program in secondary schools
- Explore ways to engage elementary students in mental health awareness and promotion initiatives

Monitoring progress and impact:

Success indicators for the OCDSB Mental Health Strategy will be monitored regularly through: i) the annual reporting process for the District's Strategic Plan 2020-2024; ii) annual action plans focusing on the six key pillars of the OCDSB Mental Health Strategy (organizational conditions; capacity building; evidence-based mental health promotion prevention programming; support for specific populations; system coordination; and youth engagement); and iii) work with other Departments (i.e., Curriculum Services and/or Human Resources) to gather data and implement methods to collect information related to student and staff mental health. Finally, the Learning Support Services Department will also continue to engage in ongoing evaluation of various mental health programs and services offered to staff and students across the District.

Mental Health Steering Committee:

Cathy Bailey, OSSTF, TBU
 Natasha Baines, OSSTF, SSP
 Sandra Blakely, Principal, Sir Guy Carleton Secondary School
 Dr. Petra Duschner, Manager, Mental Health & Critical Services, Learning Support Services
 Susan Fullerton, Parent representative
 Amy Hannah, Principal, Learning Support Services
 Dr. Tim Hogan, Supervisor, Psychological Services
 Kristen Grant, Vice-principal, Bell High School
 Elizabeth Kettle, President, Ottawa-Carleton Elementary Teachers' Federation
 Kathryn Langevin, Supervisor, Social Work
 Reg Laverigne, Principal, Curriculum Services
 Steve McKibbin, Program Evaluator, Learning Support Services
 Andrew Nordman, Principal, D. Roy Kennedy Public School
 Peter Symmonds, Superintendent, Learning Support Services

DRAFT



**SPECIAL EDUCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (PUBLIC)
BOARD
Report No. 19-064**

**12 June 2019
18 June 2019
24 June 2019**

Special Education Plan 2019 and Special Education Report 2019

**Key Contact: Peter Symmonds, Superintendent, Learning Support
Services, 613-596-8211 ext. 8254
Amy Hannah, System Principal, Learning Support
Services, 613-596-8211 ext. 8713**

PURPOSE:

1. To obtain Board approval of the Special Education Plan 2019 and the Special Education Report 2019.

CONTEXT:

2. School boards are required to prepare a special education plan annually. The plan must be approved by the Board and submitted to the Ministry of Education every year by July 31. The special education plan is a document which provides detailed information about how the school District carries out its legal responsibilities for the provision of special education programs and services. The special education plan is in many respects, a detailed expression of how the District will implement the Board's special education policy. Recognizing the size and complexity of the plan, there is a regular cycle to manage review of the different sections of the document. Each month, two or more sections of the document are brought to the Special Education Advisory Committee (SEAC) for discussion and review. Similar to last year, a comprehensive review of the document has been undertaken with a focus on improving the clarity and ease of use of the plan and aligning it with legislative requirements.

In accordance with *Regulation 306*, school boards must submit a special education report on the delivery of special education programs and services to the Ministry of Education every two years on the odd year. The purpose of the report is to provide the Ministry of Education with a summary of the provision by

the school board of special education programs and special education services. Essentially, it is a summary of the sections required in the special education plan.

KEY CONSIDERATIONS:

3. Purpose and Requirements of the Special Education Plan

The purpose of the special education plan is twofold: to inform the public about the manner in which the school board is carrying out its special education responsibilities; and to report to the Ministry of Education. The Ministry policy document *Special Education in Ontario - Kindergarten to Grade 12 - Policy and Resource Guide 2017* establishes precise requirements for special education plans for all school boards of the standards against which their special education plan will be measured. The standards reflect what the Ministry of Education considers to be necessary to meet the needs of exceptional students.

4. Revision Process of the Special Education Plan

The revisions to the 2018-2019 special education plan were drafted in consultation with members of SEAC. The following is a summary of the topics and dates of the items reviewed:

- 12 September 2018, Provincial and Demonstration Schools in Ontario, Categories and Definitions of Exceptionalities and Roles and Responsibilities;
- 10 October 2018, Specialized Health Support Services in School Settings, Accessibility of School Buildings and Coordination with Other Ministries/Agencies;
- 14 November 2018, Special Education Staff, The Identification, Placement, and Review Committee (IPRC) Process and Appeals, Transportation and Staff Development;
- 5 December 2018, The Board's Special Education Advisory Committee (SEAC), Special Education Placements Provided by the OCDSB,
- 16 January 2019, The Board's Consultation Process, Early Identification Procedures and Intervention Strategies;
- 6 March 2019, Equipment, Educational and Other Assessments; and
- 10 April 2019, Individual Education Plans (IEPs) and the Ottawa-Carleton District School Board (OCDSB) Model for Special Education.

Considerable effort has been made reviewing the language of the special education plan and how to access specific information to best support students and families. A regular part of the annual review process includes a review of current data such as expenditures for equipment, professional development opportunities, SEAC membership, students identified through the IPRC process or having IEPs to ensure key information is up-to-date and reflects District practice.

Our current practice of reviewing the special education plan in sections with SEAC on a monthly basis works well in that it allows for discussion of the document in manageable pieces, allowing us to make progress over the course of the year. However, given that approval by Board occurs at the end of the

school year, only minor edits can be accommodated at this stage. The final approval stage will likely generate ideas and suggestions which will be carried forward into the review process which starts again in September.

Staff recognizes there are future opportunities to build on the work undertaken this year. For example, there is room to simplify the language, increase the accessibility of the information for parents/guardians, and to increase opportunities for parents and community members to use and to provide input into the document.

5. **Submission Process and Timelines**

As required in the *Special Education in Ontario - Kindergarten to Grade 12 - Policy and Resource Guide 2017*, the special education plan and the special education report must be approved by the school board and any amendments must be forwarded to the local district office of the Ministry of Education. Two copies of the complete plan and report must be submitted with the following documents:

- A letter from the director of the school board that confirms that the school board's special education plan is being submitted in compliance with the requirements of *Regulation 306* and with the standards outlined in the *Special Education in Ontario - Kindergarten to Grade 12 - Policy and Resource Guide 2017*;
- A copy of the Board's motion of approval of the plan and the report, including the date of approval; and
- A copy of any related motions or recommendations from the board's SEAC.

RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

6. The work undertaken on the special education plan and the special education report by Learning Support Services (LSS) to review and update the plan and develop the report has been substantive over the course of this year. Staff estimates approximately 20 days of work have been invested in reviewing and rewriting the plan and creating the report. In addition, SEAC has allocated time on every agenda for this item.

COMMUNICATION/CONSULTATION ISSUES:

7. The key consultation on the plan has occurred through SEAC meetings. The dates and times of the meeting discussions are noted above. The value of discussions at SEAC is that it ensures the committee, and members of the community with a strong interest in special education issues, have the opportunity to discuss ideas and inform the way that services are delivered. These consultations and discussions have also informed the content of the special education report, as they are an extension of the special education plan.

STRATEGIC LINKS:

8. The special education plan and the special education report outline several links to the District Strategic Plan with a focus on reducing barriers to learning and providing individualized, personalized support and resources to meet the needs of every learner. In addition, both the plan and report support the development of strategies, tools, and resources to foster strong relationships among students, staff, families, and schools.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. THAT the 2018-2019 Special Education Plan, attached as Appendix A to Report No. 19-064, be approved; and
- B. THAT the 2019 Special Education Report, attached as Appendix B to Report No.19-064, be approved.

Peter Symmonds, Superintendent,
Learning Support Services

Camille Williams-Taylor
Director of Education and
Secretary of the Board

Appendix A – 2018-2019 Special Education Plan (Separate Distribution)

Appendix B – 2019 Special Education Report (Separate Distribution)



OTTAWA-CARLETON
DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

2018–2019 **SPECIAL EDUCATION PLAN**





OTTAWA-CARLETON
DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

Published by Communication and Information Services
In collaboration with Learning Support Services



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction

Ottawa-Carleton District School Board	1
Mission Statement	

Part 1- The Board's Consultation Process

The Board's Consultation Process	2
----------------------------------	-------	---

Part 2 - Special Education Programs and Services

The Board's Model for Special Education	5
Roles and Responsibilities	13
Early Identification Procedures and Intervention Strategies	17
The Identification, Placement, and Review Committee (IPRC) Process and Appeals	22
Educational and Other Assessments	30
Specialized Health Support Services in School Settings	35
Categories and Definitions of Exceptionalities	52
Special Education Placements Provided by the Board	57
Individual Education Plans (IEPs)	91
Provincial and Demonstration Schools in Ontario	110
Special Education Staff	115
Staff Development	126
Equipment	131
Accessibility of School Buildings	135
Transportation	136

Part 3 - The Board's Special Education Advisory Committee

The Role of SEAC	138
SEAC Meetings	138
Composition of SEAC	139
SEAC Members 2018-2019	139

Part 4 - Coordination of Services with Other Ministries or Agencies

Programs and Services	142
-----------------------	-------	-----





MISSION STATEMENT

Educating for success –
inspiring learning and
building citizenship



Part 1- The Board's Consultation Process

Purpose of the Standard

To provide details of the board's consultation process to the Ministry and the public.

The Ottawa-Carleton District School Board reviews and updates the Special Education Plan on an annual basis. It is our belief that consultation with a variety of stakeholders is necessary to receive the required input to improve programs and services for students as well as to update the plan as required.

The OCDSB's Special Education Advisory Committee (SEAC) formally reviews the Special Education Plan annually, and also throughout the year as the need arises in accordance with [*Regulation 464/97*](#). SEAC members are able to share information and input from their respective associations/agencies and provide feedback. Following an in depth review last year, our Special Education Plan was made more parent-friendly and accessible. This continues to be a work in progress as we strive to continue to improve the functionality and usability of the plan. A review schedule of each component of the special education plan was developed for the year via SEAC monthly agendas and input from SEAC was received. All of the feedback provided was reviewed and included in the plan, when they were aligned with Ministry of Education requirements. Ongoing suggestions and feedback this year from SEAC consultations were to continue to include more parent / guardian friendly language and a clarity of language or descriptions as it relates to aspects of our special education programs and services.

Minority and Majority Reports

There were no majority or minority reports concerning the Board's approved special education plan in 2018/2019.

Opportunities for Community Input

Opportunities for public input and feedback were provided through SEAC monthly meetings and meeting minutes, the Board's website, and via direct connection with Learning Support Services team members. In addition, an invitation to members of the community inviting feedback on the Special Education Plan 2019 was posted on the District's website.

Special Education Program and Services Internal / External Reviews

Methodology for Internal Reviews

In January, 2014, the Learning Support Services department presented [*Report No. 14-001, Performance Measures for the Ottawa-Carleton District School Board, Learning*](#)





Support Services. Building on the draft, Program Logic Models (PLMs), developed in consultation with Dr. Tim Aubry, at the University of Ottawa, the report highlights several performance measures for Learning Support Services department and for each OCDSB specialized program. These performance measures have been used to shape the most recent program reviews for two of our specialized program classes (LD SIP, and Gifted).

Further work has begun on the implementation and monitoring of a revised model for supporting students with Learning Disabilities. Exploration of the programs and services for students with Giftedness is ongoing. A key component of the program review process is the engagement of stakeholders including SEAC, parents / guardians, parent / community organizations, students, central staff, teachers, educational assistants, principals, managers, senior staff, and trustees in the process. The inclusion of stakeholders has taken on a variety of formats and is dependent upon the review.

OCDSB Quality Programming Indicators

This year, we continue to work on the development of the [OCDSB Quality Programming Indicators \(QPI\)](#). The purpose of the new resource is to provide a comprehensive overview of quality programming to meet the specialized learning needs of students within both regular and specialized program classes in K to 12 settings. Indicators of quality programming focus on the following topics: Student and Class Profiles, Individual Education Plans, Transition Plans, Academic and Alternative Programs, Instructional Strategies, Technology, Tools, and Specialized Equipment, Instructional Environment, Social-Emotional Learning, Integration, and Assessment. This resource is in the final stages of the editing process and the goal is to launch it district-wide in September, 2019.

Learning Support Services Operational Review

In October, 2018, at Committee of the Whole (COW), trustees passed a motion that LSS was directed to undertake an operational review with the focus of the following aspects:

THAT staff be directed to undertake an operational review of the process for identification, needs assessment (including the use of tiered interventions as a support for all students, and as identification and placement evidence), and placement for all exceptionalities;

THAT a report be provided to the Board no later than February 2019, with a proposed outline and timeline for the operational review, and an update on any changes in practice introduced in the wake of the discussion of this motion; and,





THAT staff produce a series of updates, beginning no later than the end of October 2019, detailing the number of applications and the number of children receiving assessments and placements in all congregated programs, by exceptionality, at both the elementary and secondary levels, and details of waitlists for all congregated programs by geographic zone.

This review continues to be ongoing departmental work and an external consultant will be selected in the coming months to execute the review process. More information will be shared in the fall of 2019.





Part 2- Special Education Programs and Services


The Board's Model For Special Education

Purpose of the Standard

To provide the Ministry and the public with information on the Board's philosophy and service-delivery model for the provision of special education programs and services.

The Ottawa-Carleton District School Board is committed to the principle that learning is a developmental process and children proceed through the stages of development at varying rates. The OCDSB is also committed to early identification and intervention to address learning needs. It is through this early identification and intervention process that learners remain confident about their abilities and are engaged in their learning journey.

The OCDSB Special Education Plan has been designed to comply with the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, the Ontario Human Rights Code, the Education Act, Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, and regulations made under the Act and any other relevant legislation. The OCDSB's approach to special education and its special education delivery model are aligned with the Board's Mission Statement and Strategic Plan. Our Mission, Educating for Success: Inspiring Learning and Building Citizenship forms the foundation of our work. Many components of our current Strategic Plan connect and support the delivery of our supports and programs.





Service Delivery Model


The Service Delivery Model of the Ottawa-Carleton District School Board is based on a continuum of programs and services with placement options ranging from regular class with special education resource support to specialized program class placements and special education schools. Our goal is to strive to help every student meet their full potential, while fostering the highest level of independence possible for each student. We work with parents and district staff to determine the placement that best meets the student's needs, whether that is in a regular classroom, or for some students, in a specialized program class. Specialized program class locations are based on a geographically defined catchment area and designated schools. We value collaboration and consultation with parents / guardians to best understand the strengths and needs of each learner.

The OCDSB places a strong emphasis on the inclusion of our students with special needs. Our ongoing commitment is to provide the structures and support each student needs to foster their growth and development in programs, which foster integration and independence as defined for each student based on their strengths and needs.

The majority of students with special education needs are placed in a regular classroom. For most students, a classroom teacher, with the support of the school's learning support teacher (LST) or learning resource teacher (LRT) and/or central district staff, are able to provide individualized programming that allows the student to meet required expectations with success. The regular classroom is always an option that parents or guardians may consider, regardless of the student's needs. A regular classroom allows the student to be surrounded by peers, while receiving personalized programming that is outlined in the student's Individual Education Plan. The regular class is considered the most inclusive of placements.

The special needs of each student may be met by accommodations, modifications, and/or alternative expectations, including, but not limited to, a variety of teaching methods, and/or special equipment. The OCDSB model for service delivery is rooted in the tiered approach to intervention, as outlined in the Ministry of Education document; [Learning for All : A Guide to Effective Assessment and Instruction for All Students, K-12 \(2013\)](#). An overview of the tiered approach to intervention is summarized in the following chart:





Special Education Programs

Special education placements and programs are outlined in detail in Standard 9 (refer to subsection Special Education Placements Provided by the OCDSB for detailed descriptions of Ministry Placements and OCDSB Programs).

Ministry of Education Placements

- Regular Class with Indirect Support
- Regular Class with Resource Assistance
- Regular Class with Withdrawal Assistance
- Special Education Class with Partial Integration
- Special Education Class Full-time

Ottawa-Carleton District School Board Special Education Programs

- Regular Class with Specialized Support
- Regular Class with LST and/or LRT Monitoring
- Regular Class with LST and/or LRT Support
- Specialized Program Class
- Special Education School





Provincial Specialized Placements

- Care and Treatment Programs (CTCC)
- Provincial or Demonstration Schools
- Hospital or Treatment Centres

Special Education Supports in the OCDSB

School-Based Supports	System-Based Supports	Provincially-Based Supports
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Principal/Vice-Principal • Learning Support Teacher (LST) • Learning Resource Teacher (LRT) (elementary only) • Classroom Teacher • Educational Assistant (EA) • Early Childhood Educator (ECE) • Parent(s)/guardian(s) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Learning Support Consultant (LSC) • Psychologist/Psychological Associate • Social Worker • Speech-Language Pathologist • Autism Spectrum Team • Behavior Support Team • Itinerant Teacher of Blind/Low Vision and Itinerant Teacher of Deaf/Hard of Hearing • SELT (Social / Emotional Learning Teacher) • Early Learning Team • ITAT (Itinerant Teacher of Assistive Technology) • Itinerant Emergency Educational Assistant • Itinerant Educational Assistant • System Principals • System Managers • Discipline Supervisors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community Agencies • Care and Treatment (CTCC) • Local Health Integration Network (LHIN) • Hospitals • Demonstration/ Provincial Schools

The OCDSB [special education policy](#) shall be achieved through the delivery of a variety of learning supports including, but not limited to:

- range of appropriate assessments accompanied by timely tiered interventions and professional strategies;
- a continuum of placement options;
- equitable application of the specialized program class location model using geographically defined catchment areas and designated schools to ensure fair access to specialized programs;
- appropriate student/teacher ratio as governed by the Education Act;





- Individual Education Plans (IEPs) subject to regular review and outcome based evaluation;
- a clearly communicated Identification, Placement and Review Committee (IPRC) process, with consistent procedure;
- integration opportunities within the student's school;
- multi-disciplinary professional supports for students with special education needs;
- timely access as required to appropriate equipment and materials; and
- timely access to information for parents about programs and services.

Definition of Terms

Accommodation includes special teaching and assessment strategies, human supports, and/or individualized equipment that help the student learn and demonstrate learning. Accommodations described in the IEP should include only those strategies and supports that differ from what is normally provided during classroom instruction. Accommodations that the student requires in connection with instruction, assessment and functioning in the physical environment should be listed separately as follows:

- *Instructional accommodations* - adjustments in teaching strategies required to enable the student to learn and to progress through the curriculum
- *Environmental accommodations* - changes or supports in the physical environment of the classroom and/or the school
- *Assessment accommodations* - adjustments in assessment activities and methods required to enable the student to demonstrate learning

Assistive technology is any technology that allows one to increase, maintain, or improve the functional capabilities of an individual with special learning needs.

Differentiated Instruction includes using flexible groupings to meet student needs, providing accommodated instruction/assessments activities where required and challenging students at an appropriate level (in light of their readiness, interests, and learning profiles).

Curriculum tells teachers *what* to teach, while differentiated instruction tells teachers *how* to teach it to a range of learners by employing a variety of teaching approaches. The teacher can differentiate one or a number of the following elements in any classroom learning situation:

- the content (what the student is going to learn)
- the process (the activities)
- the product (the accomplishment following a learning period)





An **exceptional pupil** according to the Education Act is a pupil whose behaviour, communication, intellectual, physical or multiple exceptionalities are such that he/she is considered to need placement in a special education program by an Identification, Placement and Review Committee of the Board established in the Education Act ([Regulation 181](#)). Refer to OCDSB Special Education Programs and Services for detailed descriptions.

An **Identification, Placement and Review Committee** (IPRC) is a committee of the Board with a mandate to identify students with special education needs and determine the most appropriate special education program based on the student's needs.

An **Individual Education Plan** (IEP) is a written plan describing the special education program and/or services required by a particular student. It identifies learning expectations that are modified from or alternative to the expectations given in the curriculum policy document for the appropriate grade and subject or course, and/or accommodations and special education services needed to assist the student in achieving his or her learning expectations.

In-School Team may consist of the principal or designate, Learning Support Teacher (LST), Educational Assistant (EA), Head of Special Education/Special, Education/Student Services, classroom teacher, parent(s)/guardian(s) and any of the following where appropriate: classroom teacher(s), Learning Resource Teacher (LRT), special education class teacher(s), Early Childhood Educator (ECE) and student if over 16.

Modification is the process of changing the number, complexity and/or grade-level expectations for a subject or course in order to meet a student's learning needs.

Multi-Disciplinary Team consists of members of the in-school team and various personnel who are assigned to support the school in areas of special education assessments and programming. These may include the Learning Support Consultant (LSC), psychologist or psychological associate, social worker, speech-language pathologist, Itinerant Teachers for the Blind/Low Vision, Itinerant Teachers for the Deaf/Hard of Hearing, as well as, any of the system-based teams e.g. Behaviour Support Team (BST), Student Success Teachers (SST), Autism Spectrum Disorder Team (ASDT).

A **program** is a prescribed set of learning activities that has a basis in the Ontario Education Act, the regulations, the Ministry of Education guidelines or Ministry memoranda which would generally identify the scope and sequence of the learning activities, the target group, and the requirements for certification.





Provincial/Demonstration Schools are Ministry operated schools for students who are deaf, blind, deaf-blind or for students with severe learning disabilities. Residential programs are available for those students for whom distance precludes daily travel.

A **special education program**, as defined by the Ontario Education Act, is one based on and modified by the results of continuous assessment and evaluation and that includes a plan containing specific objectives and an outline of educational services that meets the needs of the exceptional pupil.

Tiered Intervention is a process of assessing, supporting, monitoring, and re-evaluating a student's progress by providing "just right" supports that allow the student to achieve academic success. The tiered approach to ongoing prevention and intervention embodies principles of universal design for learning and differentiated instruction, offers a systematic method for the early identification of students who are experiencing particular difficulties, and, through ongoing monitoring of their progress, provides the precise level of support those students need.

Universal Design for Learning provides teachers with broad principles for planning instruction and designing learning environments for a diverse group of students. It often overlaps with differentiated instruction which allows teachers to address specific skills and difficulties. Both include providing a range of instructional strategies, resources, learning tasks, and assessment tools in order to meet the different strengths, needs, levels of readiness, and learning styles or preferences of the students in the class.

Acronyms Used in the Special Education Plan 2019

ADHD	Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
ADP	Adaptive Devices Program
AODA	Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act
ASDP	Autism Spectrum Disorder Program
ASDSCSP	Autism Spectrum Disorder Secondary Credit Support Program
ASDT	Autism Spectrum Disorder Team
BIP	Behaviour Intervention Program
BST	Behaviour Support Team
CAC	Centre for Augmentative Communication
CSP	Coordinated Service Planning
DD	Developmental Disability
DHH	Deaf/Hard of Hearing
DSP	Dual Support Program
EA	Educational Assistant
ECE	Early Childhood Educator
ELIP	Early Learning Intervention Program





GLP	General Learning Program
IBI	Intensive Behaviour Program
IEA	Itinerant Educational Assistant
IEP	Individual Education Plan
ILLD	Intermediate Language Learning Disabilities
IPRC	Identification, Placement and Review Committee
ITAT	Itinerant Teacher of Assistive Technology
ITB/LV	Itinerant Teacher for the Blind/Low Vision
ITD/HH	Itinerant Teacher for the Deaf/Hard of Hearing
LD	Learning Disability
LDP	Learning Disability Program
LD SIP	Learning Disability Specialized Intervention Program
LLD	Language Learning Disability
LSC	Learning Support Consultant
LSS	Learning Support Services
LST	Learning Support Teacher
LRT	Learning Resource Teacher
MID	Mild Intellectual Disability
OCDSB	Ottawa-Carleton District School Board
OCTC	Ottawa Children's Treatment Centre
OSR	Ontario Student Record
OSSD	Ontario Secondary School Diploma
OSTA	Ottawa Student Transportation Authority
OT	Occupational Therapist
PCLD	Provincial Committee on Learning Disabilities
PSP	Physical Support Program
PT	Physical Therapist
QPI	Quality Programming Indicators
SAL	Supervised Alternative Learning
SB IPRC	Superintendency-Based, Identification, Placement and Review Committee
SEA	Special Equipment Amount
SEAC	Special Education Advisory Committee
SIP	Special Incidence Portion
SLP	Speech-language Pathologist
SST	Student Success Teacher





Roles and Responsibilities

Purpose of the Standard

To provide the public with information on roles and responsibilities in the area of special education.

The Ministry of Education has defined roles and responsibilities in elementary and secondary education in several key areas:

- Legislative and policy framework
- Funding
- School system management
- Programs and curriculum

It is important that all those involved in special education understand their roles and responsibilities, which are outlined below:

The Ministry of Education

- defines, through the Education Act, regulations, and policy/program memoranda, the legal obligations of school boards regarding the provision of special education programs and services, and prescribes the categories and definitions of exceptionality
- ensures that school boards provide appropriate special education programs and services for their exceptional pupils
- establishes the funding for special education through the structure of the funding model which consists of the Grant for student needs, including funding for classrooms and the Special Education Grant. The Special Education Grant is made up of six allocations:– Special Education Per Pupil Amount, Differentiated Special Education Needs Amount, Special Equipment Amount, Special Incidence Portion, Facilities Amount, and Behaviour Expertise Amount
- requires school boards report on their expenditures for special education
- sets province-wide standards for curriculum and reporting of achievement
- requires school boards maintain special education plans, review them annually, and submit amendments to the Ministry
- requires school boards establish Special Education Advisory Committees (SEAC); establishes Special Education Tribunals to hear disputes between parent(s)/guardian(s) and school boards regarding the identification and placement of exceptional pupils
- establishes a Provincial Advisory Council on Special Education to advise the Minister of Education on matters related to special education programs and services





- operates provincial and demonstration schools for students who are deaf, blind, or deaf-blind, or who have severe learning disabilities

The District School Board

- establishes school board policy and practices that comply with the Education Act, regulations, and policy/program memoranda
- monitors school compliance with the Education Act, regulations, and policy/program memoranda
- requires staff comply with the Education Act, regulations, and policy/program memoranda
- provides appropriately qualified staff to provide programs and services for students with special education needs in the board
- obtains the appropriate funding and reports on the expenditures of provincial funds for special education
- develops and maintains a Special Education Plan
- reviews the Special Education Plan annually, submits the checklist to the Minister of Education, and posts the Special Education Plan to the OCDSB website
- provides statistical reports to the Ministry as required and as requested
- prepares and publishes a parent guide to provide parent(s)/guardian(s) with information about special education programs, services, and procedures
- establishes IPRC meetings to identify students with special education needs and determine appropriate placements for them
- establishes a Special Education Advisory Committee and considers its recommendations
- provides professional development to staff on special education
- establishes an annual budget, including a special education budget

The Special Education Advisory Committee (SEAC)

- makes recommendations to the board with respect to any matter affecting the establishment, development, and delivery of special education programs and services for students with special education needs in the board
- participates in the board's annual review of its Special Education Plan
- participates in the board's annual budget process as it relates to special education
- reviews the financial statements of the board as they relate to special education
- provides information to parent(s)/guardian(s), as requested
- create and maintain a guide of SEAC members and contact information

The School Principal

- carries out duties as outlined in the Education Act, regulations, and policy/program memoranda, and through board policies
- communicates Ministry of Education and school board expectations to staff
- ensures that appropriately qualified staff are assigned to teach special education classes





- communicates board policies and procedures about special education to staff, students, and parent(s)/guardian(s)
- ensures that the identification and placement of students with special education needs, through an IPRC meeting, is done according to the procedures outlined in the Education Act, regulations, and board policies
- consults with parent(s)/guardian(s) and with school board staff to determine the most appropriate program for students with special education needs
- ensures the development, implementation, and review of a student's Individual Education Plan (IEP), including a transition plan, according to provincial requirements
- ensures that parent(s)/guardian(s) are consulted in the development of their child's IEP and that they are provided with a copy of the IEP
- ensures the delivery of the program as set out in the IEP
- ensures that appropriate assessments are requested if necessary and that parental/guardian consent is obtained
- provides the parent guide, and other relevant documents to parent(s)/guardian(s)

The Teacher

- engages in early identification to inform instructional practices
- carries out duties as outlined in the Education Act, regulations, and policy/ program memoranda
- follows board policies and procedures regarding special education
- maintains up-to-date knowledge of special education practices
- where appropriate, works with special education staff and parent(s)/guardian(s) to develop the IEP for a student with special education needs
- provides the program for students with special education needs in the regular class, as outlined in the IEP
- communicates the student's progress to parent(s)/guardian(s)
- works with other school board staff, parents, and students, where appropriate, to review and update the student's IEP.

The Special Education Teacher

In addition to the responsibilities listed above under "The Teacher", the following additional roles / responsibilities are included:

- holds qualifications, in accordance with *Regulation 298*, to teach special education
- monitors the student's progress with reference to the IEP and modifies the program as necessary
- assists in providing educational assessments for students

The Early Childhood Educator in coordination with the Teacher

- assists in implementing and planning education to Kindergarten children;





- assesses the development of Kindergarten children through observation and monitoring;
- upholds a classroom environment that is healthy physically, and social learning
- relays information to families;
- undertaking of duties assigned by the principal in regards to the Kindergarten program.

The Parent(s)/Guardian(s)

- become familiar with and informed about Board policies and procedures in areas that affect the child
- participate in IPRC meetings, parent-teacher conferences, and other relevant school activities
- participate in the development of the IEP
- becomes acquainted with the school staff working with the student
- support the student at home
- work with the school principal and teachers to solve problems
- are responsible for the student's attendance at school

The Student

- complies with the requirements for pupils as outlined in the Education Act, regulations, and policy/program memoranda
- complies with Board policies and procedures
- participates in IPRC meetings, parent(s)/guardian(s)-teacher conferences, and other activities, as appropriate

Special Education Staff Roles and Responsibilities in the OCDSB

Superintendent of Learning Support Services - Peter Symmonds

System Principal of Learning Support Services - Amy Hannah

System Principal of Learning Support Services - Christine Kessler

Manager of Mental Health and Critical Services- Dr. Petra Dushner

Manager of Learning Support Services - Stacey Kay

Clinical Supervisors:

Psychology - Dr. Timothy Hogan

Social Work - Kathryn Langevin

Speech - Language Pathology - Maya Rattray





Early Identification Procedures and Intervention Strategies

Purpose of the Standard

To provide details of the Board's early identification procedures and intervention strategies to the Ministry and to the public.

The OCDSB believes that early identification and intervention to address special needs is essential to student success. The District uses a range of effective practices to accomplish this beginning from the time a student is registered to attend school in the OCDSB.

These procedures are a part of a continuous assessment and program planning process, which should be initiated when a child is first enrolled in school or no later than the beginning of a program of study immediately following kindergarten, and should continue throughout the child's school life. Districts are therefore expected to provide a range of programs to assist students in meeting the curriculum expectations. These programs should include early identification of learning needs, appropriate teaching strategies, ongoing assessment, and communication with parent(s)/guardian(s) and students. ([Policy & Program Memorandum No.11.](#))

The OCDSB makes every effort to review the needs of all students as early as possible. Early and ongoing tiered intervention is recognized as contributing to the well-being of all children and their ability to reach their potential. Parent(s)/guardian(s) are an integral part of the process. It is the belief of the OCDSB that continuous assessment and planning should exist for students throughout the education process. Learning abilities and needs are identified as early as possible. Programming is designed to support the student's needs and to help the student achieve to their fullest potential.

Parent(s)/guardian(s) are encouraged to be involved in the education of their children from the beginning of the education process. They have a wealth of knowledge and valuable information that can assist in the education of their child. At kindergarten intake meetings, parent(s)/guardian(s) have the opportunity to share with the teacher information regarding their child's areas of strengths, areas of needs and any relevant medical or other information.

Tiered Approach for Early Identification and Intervention

(Assessment/Consultation/Intervention)

The tiered approach is an extremely effective approach to assessment and intervention which sequentially increases the intensity of instructional interventions ([Learning for All – A Guide to Effective Assessment and Instruction for All Students, Kindergarten to Grade 12, 2013](#)). It is a model that promotes and facilitates the early identification of students' learning abilities and needs.





Student Registration Process - Kindergarten

As a component to the registration process for entry into kindergarten programs, parents / guardians are asked to complete a Kindergarten Intake form. This provides an opportunity for parents / guardians to describe their child's special learning needs. The information that parents / guardians provide is useful in initiating a number of actions to facilitate the entry of children with special needs into the school system.

The Kindergarten Educator Team (teacher, early childhood educator, and sometimes an educational assistant) are key in the education and early identification process. The kindergarten educator team works collaboratively with the family prior to the Kindergarten intake process and following the beginning of school. The educator team communicates regularly with the parent(s)/guardian(s) about students' progress and development in the early years. The teacher employs ongoing assessments (observation checklists, inventories, portfolios, conferences, in-depth anecdotal records, formal/informal assessments) and shares these with the parents / guardians as appropriate.

Parents / guardians who provide information indicating their child has or may have special needs prior to school entry, may be asked to provide signed parental consent for board personnel to make contact with preschool service providers to arrange an observation of their child. Where appropriate case conferences are routinely held with key stakeholders, including the parents, to share information about the student related to the necessary services once the child is attending school (e.g. occupational therapy, physiotherapy, speech-language pathology, nursing support, special accommodations, etc.).

Student Registration Process Grade 1 - 12

Students who register to attend OCDSB schools and have been receiving an educational program elsewhere are reviewed at the time of registration for the need for additional support related to specific needs. The OCDSB Registration form provides parents / guardians with an opportunity to indicate whether or not their child has a particular cognitive, behavioural, physical, medical or developmental needs, and whether they have been receiving special supports and services in their current educational setting.

It is typical practice in the OCDSB to request as much information from the parents / guardians about the student available at the time of registration. Where a parent / guardian does not have copies of materials from the student record in their possession (e.g. report cards, assessment results), written permission to contact the student's current educational provider is obtained and these documents are requested. The Ontario Student Record (OSR) is requested using the required Ministry of Education form. Where it is apparent that a student has had extensive intervention and will continue to need support, an immediate referral to the school multi-disciplinary team





may occur. As a component of this process, school staff will contact multi-disciplinary or Learning Support Services personnel to assist in reviewing the child's most recent educational program and setting. If a student is attending a school in the Ottawa region, a site visit may occur (with written parental permission) to allow staff to observe the child in that setting and to discuss their strengths and needs with staff.

If a student has been identified as exceptional in another school district, the school team with parental participation will determine whether further assessment may be required and whether to initiate an Individual Education Plan (IEP). If it is deemed appropriate, a recommendation to an Identification, Placement, and Review Committee (IPRC) may take place.

Student Data / Information Collection

Classroom educators collect a variety assessment data for all students in the primary years. This data provides information on students along a developmental continuum. the following represents a sample of data collected by educators in the primary years:

Grade	Assessment	Purpose / Description
Year 1 (JK) Year 2 (SK)	Early Literacy / Numeracy Observation Tool (ELNOT)	To screen for early intervention and to gauge developmental growth of literacy and numeracy skills.
Year 1 (JK) Year 2 (SK)	Developmental Indicators for the Assessment of Learning (DIAL-4)	To identify student strengths and needs in the areas of motor, concept, language, self-help, and social/emotional development.
Year 1 (JK) Year 2 (SK) November	The Kindergarten Communication of Learning: Initial Observation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To provide an overview of initial observations of the student's learning; To provide educators with data to inform program planning.
Year 1 (JK) Year 2 (SK) February and June	The Kindergarten Communication of Learning	To provide reflections on the student's learning connected to the four program frames.





Grade	Assessment	Purpose / Description
Year 1 (JK) Year 2 (SK)	Ongoing pedagogical documentation will be collected to identify developmental levels in all areas of learning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To provide evidence of learning that will be shared with parents / guardians throughout the year; To provide educators with data to inform intentional and purposeful programming.
Grade 1 - 3	Achievement Data - Provincial Report Cards and ongoing classroom assessments based on the curriculum	To assess ongoing student progress and identify areas in need of remediation.
Grade 1-3	PM Benchmarks or GB+(fall and spring)	To determine student reading engagement, fluency, and comprehension.
Grade 3	EQAO	To assess numeracy and literacy skills.

Intervention Strategies, Programs, and Supports

Intervention strategies are in place to support students through a tiered approach, in which evidence-based assessment and instruction are systematically provided and respond to an individual's strengths and needs. The nature, intensity, and duration of interventions determined on the basis of the evidence gathered through frequent and systematic monitoring of the student's progress.

The principles of Universal Design for Learning (UDL) and differentiated instruction (DI) will be used along with a tiered approach of greater personalization and precision to support the learning and teaching of students.

The following early interventions are available to all students demonstrating learning difficulties, whether identified formerly through an IPRC or not. These strategies are typically discussed with parents / guardians and appropriate school or system level staff prior to implementing them in the classroom.

- All primary teachers use a variety of strategies to teach phonemic development, phonological awareness, word recognition, decoding and comprehension;
- Special education teachers (LST / LRT) may work directly with students who present with a learning need;





- Program differentiation and classroom accommodations are developed for students as required;
- System level Learning Support Services staff are available to provide information, resources, and programming support for schools that have students with significant special needs. Referrals for system level supports originate from the school or LSS department;
- Development of behaviour intervention plans, student Safety Plans, Behaviour Management Plans (BMP);
- Multi-disciplinary team service via appropriate referrals;
- Professional services staff consultations with teachers or individual students to provide specific strategies to support the learning environment (with written parental consent);

The OCDSB has other system level teams to support a variety of exceptional learners. To access the following teams, school are required to obtain parent/guardian consent and to submit a referral. The system level teams that assist school team to support early identification and intervention include:

- Early Learning Team
- ASD / DD Team (also offer intake meetings when new to the District);
- Itinerant Educational Assistants

System level teams include multi-disciplinary professionals including educators, educational assistants, psychologists, social workers and speech-language pathologists that support students through consultation with parent/guardians, the school and the multi-disciplinary teams.

As detailed in Part 4 - Coordination of Services with Other Ministries or Agencies, the OCDSB works collaboratively with several community partners (e.g., First Words, CHEO) to maximize opportunities for early identification of special needs and to apply effective intervention strategies.





The Identification, Placement and Review Committee (IPRC) Process and Appeals

Purpose of the Standard

To provide details of the Board's IPRC process to the Ministry and the public.

Special Education programs and services are provided for students who have demonstrated a need for assistance that differs from regular classroom instruction. The Individual Education Plan is used to document individual program expectations. Parents / guardians or the principal may request to have documentation formalized through the Identification, Placement, and Review Committee (IPRC) process. To support parent / guardian understanding of the IPRC process, the OCDSB has developed a resource called the [Identification Placement and Review Committee Parent Guide](#).

What is an IPRC?

Ontario Education regulation 181/98 requires that all school boards establish Identification, Placement, and Review Committees (IPRCs). The IPRC has a legal responsibility to make decisions about the identification of pupils as exceptional and placement of pupils into special education programs and services.

Ontario Education regulation 181/98 entitles parent(s)/guardian(s) and pupils 16 years of age or older:

- To be present at, participate in, all committee discussions pertaining to the pupil;
- To have a representative present at an IPRC if so desired;
- To be present when the committee's identification and placement decision is made;
- To initiate a request for an IPRC.

An IPRC is composed of a least three people, one of whom must be the school principal or designate. The other members of the committee can be any other staff including principals, professional services staff, or teachers (LST, LRT, specialized program class, or classroom).

The purpose of the IPRC is:

- to identify the areas of strength and areas of need of the student;
- to determine whether the student is, or is not, exceptional;
- to identify a specific exceptionality, or exceptionalities, if applicable;
- to recommend an appropriate placement in a program designed to meet the identified needs of the student;
- to serve as an annual review for students who have been identified by an IPRC;





IPRCs may also make recommendations as to programs and services. It is important to note, that although IPRCs may discuss programs and services, IPRCs do not make decisions about the delivery of programs and services. When a referral for a specialized class placement is made, the principal must wait for the referral committee recommendation before holding an IPRC meeting to place the student in that class (see *IPRC Statement of Decision* form at the end of this section).

At an IPRC, the identification of exceptionality is the result of an extensive and varied compilation of data on the student's academic, physical and/or social/emotional development over an extended period of time. This data collection process involves not only the gathering of information on a student's background, learning profile, and level of achievement, but also involves information about all efforts to assist the student.

The IPRC considers both formal and informal assessment results completed at the school level (e.g. educational assessments) and from outside professionals (e.g. medical doctors, psychologists, psychological associates or psychiatrists), plus information from parent(s)/guardian(s), their advocates and the student and the summary of attempted intervention strategies, in establishing the exceptionality and placement of the student. An IPRC meeting may result in placement in a special education program along the continuum of regular program to more specialized class placements within the community school and, in some instances, another setting outside the community school. If deemed exceptional, the exceptionality and definition will appear on the IPRC Statement of Decision.

Prior to an IPRC Meeting

At least 10 days in advance of an IPRC meeting, the principal will provide written notification of the meeting and an invitation to the parents / guardians to attend. This letter notifies parents / guardians of the date, time, location of the meeting, and it will them to indicate if they will be in attendance.

For initial IPRCs the OCDSB [*Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide*](#) will be provided to the parent(s)/guardian(s). Copies are available at each school and on the [OCDSB website](#). Principals send out this guide with the notification of the initial IPRC Meeting Form.

What is considered in an IPRC placement decision?

Regulation 181/98 requires that before the IPRC can consider placing a student in a special education class, it must consider whether placement in a regular class with appropriate special education services will:

- meet the student's needs;
- are consistent with parent preferences





If, after considering all of the information presented, including relevant assessment information, the IPRC is satisfied that a placement in a regular classroom will meet the student's needs and that such a decision is consistent with parent / guardian preferences, the IPRC will decide in favour of a regular class placement with appropriate special education services. The committee may also determine that the child's needs would best be met through placement in a specialized program classroom. Prior to considering a specialized program class placement, schools will have submitted a referral package which is then reviewed by a central referral committee against annually reviewed criteria. The central review committee will then provide feedback for consideration at the IPRC.

Initial IPRC Meeting and Review IPRC Meeting

Initial IPRC Meeting Overview

The date, time, and location of the meeting are predetermined and established. At the initial meeting, the chairperson (ie. the school principal or designate) welcomes and introduces those individuals present and explains the purpose of the meeting. The IPRC members are clearly identified. Parents / guardians, school personnel, and others in attendance are invited to provide further information in an open discussion format, when required. Based on all information available for consideration, the IPRC will make a decision regarding the identification and placement for the student.

The committee may identify a student as exceptional and recommend placement in the most appropriate setting. If the IPRC requires further information then the decision will be deferred to such a time that the information becomes available. The school will then endeavour to gather additional information and liaise with the school multi-disciplinary team. The IPRC will reconvene at the earliest available date. Parent / guardians will be provided with details and a timeline of when they should expect to return to the IPRC.

Following the initial IPRC, the committee will provide a written statement of their decision including the identification and placement (if any) and specific recommendations for programs and services to the parents / guardians and the student of 16 years of age or older. Parents / guardians will be asked to sign the statement of decision and return it to the school. For students that are identified as exceptional, there must be an annual review of the student's identification and placement unless the annual review is waived by the parent / guardian or student of 16 years of age or older.

Annual Review IPRC Meeting / Process

All students that have an IPRC are reviewed annually by the school team and parents / guardians. An IPRC review may not occur more often than once in every three month period (*Reg. 181/98, s.21 (2) the Education Act*). Parent(s)/guardian(s) who are in the agreement with the recommendation of the school team may waive the requirement to hold an annual review IPRC meeting. *Reg. 181/98, s.21 (4b)* states that, where there are no changes to the identification or placement, a parent/guardian may waive the





requirement to hold an IPRC by signing a waiver letter, agreeing with the school recommendations and then returning it to the school principal. An annual IPRC reviews the following: the student's progress, current statements of strengths and needs, exceptionality and placement.

Parent / Guardian Request for a Review

A request by a parent / guardian for a review may be made at any time after the initial placement has been in effect for three months, but may not be more than once in every three-month period. A request for review, outside of the normal annual review process, must be provided in writing to the school principal. Upon receipt of a parent / guardian request for review, the principal will, within 15 calendar days, provide the parent / guardian with a written statement advising them of the date, time, and location of the IPRC. The principal may request a review at any time, on written notice to a parent / guardian.

IPRC Statement of Decision

The IPRC Statement of Decision includes the following information:

- whether the IPRC has identified the student as exceptional;
- the categories and definitions of any exceptionalities identified, as defined by the Ministry of Education;
- the description of the child's areas of strength and areas of need;
- the placement;
- the recommendations regarding a special education program and special education services;
- the reasons to place the child in a special education class

If a parent does not agree with the decision, the parent should not sign the IPRC Statement of Decision at the meeting. The parent may, by providing written notice to the chair of the IPRC, request a second meeting with the committee. That request must be given to the Chair of the Committee within 15 school days of the parent's receipt of the IPRC decision.

Superintendency Based (SB) IPRC Meeting

Since 2014 the SB IPRC has been available for placement into specialized program classes for specific exceptionalities. The SB IPRC committee is comprised of a principal chair, an OCDSB psychologist/psychological associate and another member of LSS staff (e.g., Learning Support Consultant (LSC), speech-language pathologist etc.). Parents/guardians are invited to the SB IPRC and are valued participants in the process.

The SB IPRC is available for any specialized program class exceptionality when the circumstances of the referral warrant this level of central involvement (e.g., Complex student profile, exceptional situations etc.).

For most referrals to specialized program classes:





- A school based case conference with relevant members of the multidisciplinary team and parent(s)/guardian(s) will be completed and reflected in the referral form;
- In most cases, referral review will provide school teams with specific site offers for consideration by parent(s)/guardian(s). A school based IPRC would then be completed;
- In the case that an offer was not made for the specialized program class as requested, a school based case conference with central LSS support would be offered to the parent(s)/guardian(s). The goal of the case conference would be to establish shared solutions personalized for the student and incorporating all stakeholders.

The IPRC Appeal Process

Should the parent(s)/guardian(s) disagree with the identification and/or the placement statements from the Committee, the System Principals of Learning Support Services and/or the superintendent of instruction for the school should be invited to a follow-up meeting with the parent(s)/guardian(s) and the Committee members. Every attempt will be made to resolve the concerns at this level.

Should this follow-up discussion not resolve matters, the parent(s)/guardian(s) have the right to appeal the recommendations of the IPRC. The appeal can only be based on disagreement with the identification and/or the placement of the student. A statement setting out reasons for the disagreement must be included. The request for an appeal must be made in writing within 15 school days of the follow-up meeting or within 30 school days of receipt of the statement from the IPRC. (In regards to all matters pertaining to appeals, days shall mean calendar days. Where time is limited and the expiry date falls on a school holiday, the time limit shall be extended to the next day following that is not a school holiday. During summer holidays, which are defined as periods of time that school is not in session, requests for appeals will be deferred to the day following the summer holiday. This request will be directed to:

Director of Education/Secretary of the Board
Ottawa-Carleton District School Board
133 Greenbank Road, Nepean, Ontario K2H 6L3
FAX: (613) 820-6968

Appeal Board Membership

The Appeal Board shall be comprised of three members who must not be members or employees of the Board or Ministry, and who must not have had any prior involvement with the matter under appeal. Two members are to be appointed within 15 calendar days of receipt of the notice of appeal by the Secretary of the Board. These members will include:

- a) one member selected by the OCDSB
- b) one member selected by parent(s)/guardian(s),





The Chair is selected and appointed jointly by the above members a maximum of 15 calendar days later. The Chair of the Appeal Board makes arrangements for the meeting, to be held within 30 calendar days after the Chair is selected (unless parents/guardians give written consent for the meeting to be held more than 30 calendar days after the Chair is selected. Please note: if agreement cannot be reached, the Chair shall be selected by the District Manager at the Ministry of Education.

Role of the Appeal Board

The role of the Appeal Board is to consider all opinions, views, and information concerning the appeal from the parent, their representative, and the representatives from the Ottawa-Carleton District School Board. The Chair of the Appeal Board will notify the parent/guardian of the meeting date and time, and invite them to attend. The Appeal Board will meet with the parent / guardian no later than 30 calendar days following the selection of the Chair of the Appeal Board.

The Appeal Board must make its recommendations within 3 days of the meeting's completion. A written report of its recommendations must be provided to the parent/guardian/student (if 16 years of age or older), and the OCDSB. The Chair of the Appeal Board will present recommendations to the Board of Trustees within 30 calendar days. All documents submitted to the Appeal Board will remain confidential to the members of the Appeal Board. Parents have the right to request mediation, as indicated in the Education Act and the Board policy on this issue.

Within 30 calendar days of the Appeal Board's decision, the OCDSB notifies the parent(s)/guardian(s)/ student (if 16 years of age or older) whether it accepts or rejects the Appeal Board's decision. If the parent(s)/guardian(s) disagree with the decision of the Appeal Board, the parent(s)/guardian(s) may further appeal to an Ontario Special Education Tribunal under *Section 57* of the *Education Act*. Information about making an application to the tribunal will be included with the Appeal Board's decision.

Appeals, Tribunals, Mediations

It should be noted that appeals may be submitted following an IPRC process and requests for reconvening an IPRC meeting. Over the past three years, if an appeal has been submitted to the Secretary of the Board, it has never reached the point of review at a Special Education Appeal Board (SEAB).

An overview of all appeals, tribunals, and mediations completed in the OCDSB over the past three school years:

School Year	Special Education Appeal Board	Ontario Special Education Tribunal	Mediation Processes
2018-19	0	0	0
2017-18	0	0	0
2016-17	0	0	0





What You Should Know About the Identification, Placement and Review Committee (IPRC) Process

The following highlights are provided to assist parents/guardians/caregivers in understanding the IPRC process and are based on requirements set out in *Ontario Regulation 181/98 of the Education Act*. For ease of reading, where the term “parent” is used in this document, it refers to parents and/or guardians of students. Days shall mean calendar days. Where time is limited and the expiry date falls on a school holiday, the time limit shall be extended to the next day following that is not a school holiday. During summer holidays, which are defined as periods of time that school is not in session, requests for appeals will be deferred to the day following the summer holiday.

- An IPRC is the only process by which a pupil can be identified as “exceptional”. Your child may still be able to receive support in the school if he/she is having some difficulty.
- The IPRC process is a collaborative one between the school and parents in an effort to ensure that the student has the support and resources necessary to be successful and to reach his or her full potential.
- Parents must be given 10 school days written notice of the actual time and place of an IPRC meeting regarding their child. The decisions of an IPRC are reviewed at least once a year at either the parent’s or the school’s request, unless the parents decide to waive or dispense of the review. The time of an IPRC meeting should be convenient to you and the school. You may suggest an alternate time, if necessary.
- A parent is entitled to be present and participate in all IPRC discussions about their child and should make every effort to attend IPRC meetings.
- A parent has the right to have a representative present at an IPRC meeting. That representative may speak on the parent’s behalf or be present to support the parent (see resource list at end of document).
- If a parent does not agree with the decision, the parent should not sign the IPRC Statement of Decision at the meeting. The parent may, by providing written notice to the chair of the IPRC, request a second meeting with the committee. That request must be given to the Chair of the Committee within 15 school days of the parent’s receipt of the IPRC decision.
- After the second meeting, the Committee Chair must inform the parent as soon as possible (in writing) whether any changes have been made to the IPRC decision.
- If the parent still does not agree with the IPRC decision following the second meeting, the parent has the right to appeal the decision.
- Parents have the right to request mediation, as indicated in the Education Act and the Board policy on this issue.
- A parent must file an appeal with the Secretary of the board within 30 calendar days of the original date of the IPRC decision, or if a subsequent meeting was held, within 15 calendar days of the parent’s receipt of the notice by the Chair of the Committee that





either a change in the IPRC decision has been made or no change has been made. If there have been changes, the letter must include a revised statement of decision together with written reasons for the change.

- The chair of an IPRC must consider any information relating to the child (such as assessments) and the committee must also consider any information submitted by the parent (e.g., doctor's diagnosis, assessments conducted by other professionals, etc.). All parties should share relevant information in advance of the meeting, whenever possible.
- After the IPRC, the chair of the IPRC must send a written statement of decision to the parents. If everyone is in agreement, the statement of the IPRC meeting is signed and a copy is given to the parents. The statement of decision must include:
 - a. whether the committee has identified the pupil as exceptional; and
 - b. where the committee has identified the pupil as exceptional, the decision must include:
 - i. the committee's description of the pupil's strengths and needs;
 - ii. the categories and definitions of exceptionalities identified;
 - iii. the committee's placement decision; and
 - iv. the committee's recommendations, if any, regarding special education programs and services
- The key element of the IPRC decision is the proper identification of the child's learning needs. The Statement of Needs on the IPRC document should accurately reflect the areas in which special education support is required. There is no limit on the number of needs that may be included in the IPRC document (see resource list at end of document).
- A notice of appeal must state the decisions with which a parent disagrees and describe the nature of the disagreement.
- The Special Education Appeal Board shall prepare a written statement of recommendations and reasons for the recommendation to either: agree with the Identification, Placement and Review Committee's original decision OR disagree with the Identification, Placement and Review Committee's decision and make recommendations regarding the identification and/or placement of the pupil.
- The chair of the Special Education Appeal Board shall present their recommendations to the Board.
- The chair of the Special Education Appeal Board process shall provide the parents with the opportunity to hear the deliberations of the Board.
- A board cannot implement a placement decision made by an IPRC unless the parent consents or the time for filing an appeal of the IPRC decision has expired (30 calendar days).





Educational and Other Assessments

Purpose of the Standard

To provide details of the board's assessment policies and procedures to the ministry and to make parents aware of the types of assessment tools used by the school board, the ways in which assessments are obtained by IPRCs and the ways in which assessments are used.

The OCDSB uses a range of assessment strategies in order to develop appropriate programs and interventions for students. The goal of any assessment is ultimately to best serve the needs of a student by providing staff with insights into a student's strengths, needs, and learning profile. The variety of assessment strategies may range from routine classroom practice to formalized assessments, which may be conducted by professionals with specialized knowledge and training.

Should an assessment involving personnel other than the classroom teacher or special education teacher (LRT / LST) be considered, the process will be discussed with parents / guardians and the student (as appropriate) prior to the assessment. At this time, informed consent can be provided, followed by signed consent which is required prior to the beginning of the assessment.

Identification vs. Diagnosis

An **identification** is defined by the Education Act and states that a student has special needs and is an exceptional pupil (under one or more of the Ministry of Education categories). A **diagnosis** is defined by the *Ontario Regulated Health Professions Act*, which means "identifying a disease or disorder as the cause of the symptoms of an individual in circumstances in which it is reasonably foreseeable that the individual will rely on the diagnosis."

In the OCDSB, there a variety of assessments, they are as follows:

School-Level Assessments

- teacher-developed assessments, including observation;
- educational assessments by appropriate special education staff to identify student strengths and needs;
- Curriculum Services supported assessments (PM Benchmarks, GB+, etc.).

District-Level Assessments

- assessments to facilitate consistency of grading across the system;
- norm-referenced achievement and abilities testing to assist in identifying students with special program or placement needs;





- professional assessment (psychological, social work, speech and language, behaviour) to identify students' strengths and needs for appropriate program and placement.

Provincial Assessments

- assessments to determine if students have acquired sufficient skills to move toward graduation (at secondary);
- assessment to measure progress towards the attainment of curriculum expectations and standards;
- reading, writing and numeracy assessment as prescribed by the Ministry of Education.

National and International Assessments

- to provide context for achievement results of OCDSB students in relation to populations outside the limits of the District.

Testing Schedule: 2018 – 2019

EQAO Assessments

Grade	Test/Assessment	Next Admin.
3 & 6	Reading, Writing, Mathematics	May 21 to June 3, 2019
9	Math	January 14 to January 25, 2019 & June 5 to June 18, 2019
10	Literacy	March 27, 2019

National and International Assessments with a 3 – 5 Year Administration Cycle

Grade	Source	Test/Assessment	Next Admin.
10	PISA	Programme for International Student Assessment	2021
4, 8	TIMSS	Trends in Mathematics and Science Study (sample of students in each grade)	April 2019

Confidentiality and Rights to Privacy

- Written and informed parental/guardian consent must be obtained for the Canadian Cognitive Abilities Test (CCAT), psychological, social work, behavioural and speech- language pathology assessments for students under the age of 18;
- Informed consent is obtained for educational assessments for students under 18 years of age;





- Information can only be shared with outside agencies and other professionals with written parental consent or with written consent from the student if they are 18 years of age or older;
- Third party confidential reports are the responsibility of the professional to whom they are released;
- Third party confidential reports are filed in the OSR, or with Learning Support Services, according to parental / guardian consent;
- Learning Support Services staff will obtain parental consent to communicate third party report information to the schools.

Classroom Teacher

In order to best serve the strengths and needs of a student, effective assessment and instruction planning are required. Teachers gather a variety of information about their students through observations, conversations, and discussions with the student and their parents / guardians. Teachers also reference a collection of student work samples and other informal classroom oral and written assessments. Through regular and ongoing dialogues with the parent / guardian and the student, teachers gain additional information to help them to best know their students.

To determine appropriate programming and/or placement the following assessments may be administered:

Educational Assessments

- are used to determine appropriate programming for students;
- may include norm-referenced achievement testing to assess acquisition of basic academic skills;
- may be required for a student to be referred for an individual assessment by Learning Support Services personnel;
- are required for a student to be considered for an IPRC meeting;
- include reports containing background information, current learning strengths and needs, a summary of recommendations (e.g. in-class program accommodation and/or modification, resource support, etc.).

Psychological Assessments

- are based on current and historical information;
- include standardized psychological tests, observations, interviews with a student, professional judgment, clinical skills, multi-disciplinary discussion and consultation with parent(s)/guardian(s) to gain insight into a student's overall functioning including behaviour, personality, intellectual profile, learning style and achievement;





- are conducted by psychologists and psychological associates who are registered members of the College of Psychologists of Ontario or psychoeducational consultants who are supervised by psychologists.

The legislative underpinning supporting this service delivery model includes the *Regulated Health Professionals Act (RHPA), 1991*, the *Psychology Act, 1991* and the *Health Care Consent Act, 1996*

Social Work Assessments

- are based on current and historical information;
- focus on the social emotional development of the student;
- include the student's functioning within the context of their family and environment;
- involve a psycho-social analysis and the creation of a plan of intervention that looks at the student in the context of family, school and community;
- are conducted by social workers who are members of the Ontario College of Social Workers and Social Service Workers.

The legislative underpinning supporting this service delivery model includes the *Education Act, 1990*, *The Child, Youth, and Family Services Act 1990*.

Speech/Language Assessments

- address the development of oral and written language skills as emphasized in the Ontario curriculum;
- may be needed to augment an educational assessment;
- may include one or more of the following areas: receptive and expressive language skills, metalinguistic skills (e.g., phonemic awareness), social communication, and cognitive-communication;
- screening for speech sound production, fluency as well as voice and resonance disorders may be conducted to evaluate the appropriateness for a referral to access additional services through CHEO School Health Professional Services;
- are conducted by speech-language pathologists who are members of the College of Audiologists and Speech-Language Pathologists of Ontario.

As the Ontario curriculum emphasizes both oral and written language skills (e.g., listening, understanding, speaking, reading and writing) some students may require a speech-language pathology assessment.

The legislative underpinning supporting Speech-Language Pathology services includes the *Regulated Health Professionals Act (RHPA), 1991* and the *Audiology and Speech-Language Pathology Act, 1991*. *The Inter Ministerial Guidelines for the Provision of Speech and Language Services, 1988* is also followed in determining type and level of service provided.

Assessments conducted outside of the OCDSB

Assessments may vary from one jurisdiction to another. Since school districts set their





own criteria (based on Ministry of Education categories of exceptionalities), the OCDSB does not automatically recognize previous identifications and placements held by students. Professional services staff review assessments, with parent / guardian consent, in order to make a determination at a school level of potential recommendations for identification and placement, where appropriate. The assessments must be conducted by a qualified professional (according to the standards set by each profession in Ontario). Medical information pertinent to accommodation of the student is directed to the school principal.

Assessment Results

Assessment results are provided to parent(s)/guardian(s) through:

- meetings with parent(s)/guardian(s) and appropriate school and/or board personnel as required;
- written reports.

Assessment information is collected under the authority of the Education Act, and will only be used to plan and evaluate a student's program. The information is confidential and access will be limited to those employees who have an administrative need, the student, and parent(s)/guardian(s) of a student who is under 18 years of age.

Wait List for Learning Support Services

- Wait lists are maintained at a school level using a multi-disciplinary team approach to prioritize students based on need. A range of supports and services are available to address student need while waiting for formal assessment.
- By the end of June, 2019, Learning Support Services (LSS) will have collected data on students waiting for Speech-Language Pathology, Psychological, and Social Work Assessments. LSS is presently working to develop a waitlist tool, with a vendor. The goal for implementation is 2020.





Specialized Health Support Services in School Settings

Purpose of the Standard

To provide details of the board's specialized health support services to the ministry and to the public.

Partnering with the Champlain Local Health Network (LHIN), and CHEO School Health Professional Services, below is a summary of the specialized health support services provided.

For further detailed information, please reference the list of programs and health information on the [CHEO](#) website, and the [Champlain Local Integration Health Network \(LHIN\)](#) website.

Specialized Health Support Service	Agency or position of person who performs the service	Eligibility criteria for students to receive the service	Position of person who determines eligibility to receive the service and the level of support	Criteria for determining when the service is no longer required	Procedure for resolving disputes about eligibility and level of support (if available)



Specialized Health Support Service	Agency or position of person who performs the service	Eligibility criteria for students to receive the service	Position of person who determines eligibility to receive the service and the level of support	Criteria for determining when the service is no longer required	Procedure for resolving disputes about eligibility and level of support (if available)
Nursing	Champlain Local Health Integration Network (LHIN) contracted agencies	Attendance at an elementary or secondary school Student is under the care of a physician Student requires nursing care at school School principal/ personnel can identify student to LHIN by calling case manager Medical orders are required — will be obtained by case manager	LHIN case manager Attending physician	Medical staff and LHIN determine that services are no longer required Change in medical status	Case conference Parent(s)/ guardian(s) can appeal to LHIN Contact person — case manager LHIN appeals process under review
Nutrition	LHIN contracted nutritional agencies	Attendance at an elementary or secondary school Student is under the care of a physician Student has an Ontario Health Card Student requires nutritional care at school School principal/ personnel can identify/refer student to LHIN by calling case manager	LHIN case manager	Achievement of nutritional goals Student no longer requires/ benefits from nutrition services at school	Case conference Parent(s)/guardian(s) can appeal to LHIN Contact person — case manager LHIN appeals process under review
Physiotherapy (PT)	CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services contracted therapy agencies	Attendance at an elementary or secondary school Has an Ontario Health Card Student requires physiotherapy to attend school School principal and personnel refer student to CHEO using the referral form	CHEO	Achievement of PT goals Student is not benefiting from therapy No follow-up support Student is uncooperative Parental request for termination of service Further discharge criteria as per CHEO	Case conference Parent(s)/guardian(s) can appeal to CHEO Contact person — case manager CHEO appeals process under review
Occupational Therapy (OT)	CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services contracted therapy agencies	Attendance at an elementary or secondary school Student has an Ontario Health card Student requires occupational therapy to attend school (has fine/gross motor difficulties) School principal and personnel refer student to CHEO School Health Professional Services using the Application Form	CHEO	Achievement of OT goals Student is not benefiting from therapy No follow-up support Student is uncooperative Parental request for termination of services. Further discharge criteria as per CHEO	Case conference Parent(s)/guardian(s) can appeal to CHEO Contact person — case manager CHEO appeals process under review





Specialized Health Support Service	Agency or position of person who performs the service	Eligibility criteria for students to receive the service	Position of person who determines eligibility to receive the service and the level of support	Criteria for determining when the service is no longer required	Procedure for resolving disputes about eligibility and level of support (if available)
Speech and Language Assessment (Consultation, screening, informal and formal assessments)	Board staff - Speech- Language Pathologist (SLP) First Words	School referral to board SLP Intake information completed by parents	LST SLP First Words	Consultation, screening and/or assessment are completed Assessment is completed	Case conference
Speech and Language Tiered Intervention	Board staff – SLP (language and communication) First Words	Tier 1 support at the request of school staff Student-specific referrals	SLP	Suggested support plan has been provided	Case conference
Speech and Language Support in Specific Specialized Program Classes	Board staff — SLP	Student placement in one of the following system classes: Language Learning Disability (primary & junior) Developmental Disabilities Program (senior kindergarten, primary & junior), Primary Special Needs (primary/junior) Autism Spectrum Disorder (primary, junior, intermediate)	School Multi-Disciplinary Team System class recommendation committee SLP	Student transferred out of the listed system classes to another placement	Case conference Appeal IPRC placement
Articulation (mild): Parent Articulation Training Program (PAT-P)	Board staff- SLP	Student has mild articulation difficulty and is stimutable for targeted sounds	Board SLP Referring SLP in community (First Words, CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services, CHEO CTC, private practice)	Workshop has been provided to parents	Case conference



Specialized Health Support Service	Agency or position of person who performs the service	Eligibility criteria for students to receive the service	Position of person who determines eligibility to receive the service and the level of support	Criteria for determining when the service is no longer required	Procedure for resolving disputes about eligibility and level of support (if available)
Articulation (moderate to severe), motor speech, fluency, voice, resonance	CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services	Attendance at an elementary or secondary school Student has an Ontario Health Card Student meets eligibility and behavioural criteria for direct therapy Student is in senior kindergarten or older School board or other SLPs refer student to CHEO using the School Health Professional Services Form after initial assessment	CHEO case manager Board staff — SLP pre-referral assessment (Board SLP determines eligibility for referral; CHEO therapist determines eligibility for service)	Discharged when presenting with a mild to moderate articulation problem. CHEO may discharge to Parent Articulation Training Program (PAT-P) Parental request for termination of service No follow-up support Student is uncooperative Further discharge criteria as per CHEO	Case conference Parent(s)/guardian(s) can appeal to CHEO Contact person — case manager CHEO appeals process under review
Administering of Prescribed Medications	Board staff — educational assistant (EA), teacher, principal, office staff	Request must be made in writing from the parent and physician Physician must specify the medication, dosage, frequency, method, side effects, and the duration of administration (as per MOE Policy/ Program Memo. No. 81)	Physician Parent(s)/ guardian(s) Principal	Direction from physician and approval of parent(s)/ guardian(s)	Case conference
Catheterization	Board staff — EA (trained by appropriate agency) Student	Dependent or assistance required for catheterization	Physician Parent(s)/ guardian(s) Principal	Direction from physician and approval of parent(s)/ guardian(s) Independence achieved for self-catheterization Change in medical condition	Case conference
Suctioning shallow deep	Shallow suctioning — Board staff — EA Deep suctioning LHIN	Physician's direction Physiotherapy recommendation	LHIN case manager Medical staff	Direction from physician Change in medical condition	Case conference Appeal to LHIN



Specialized Health Support Service	Agency or position of person who performs the service	Eligibility criteria for students to receive the service	Position of person who determines eligibility to receive the service and the level of support	Criteria for determining when the service is no longer required	Procedure for resolving disputes about eligibility and level of support (if available)
Lifting and Positioning	Board staff - EA trained by OT/PT from CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services CHEO and Board OT/PT trainers	Dependent for lifting and positioning and transfers	CHEO OT/PT Physician Principal	Independence achieved for transfers Changes in medical condition Upon physician's or therapist's direction	Case conference
Assistance with Mobility	Board staff - EA Trained OT/PT Board staff- EA trained by OT/PT from CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services	Dependence training or/assistance required for mobility Physician's Assessment	Principal OT/PT Board and CHEO	Effective and comfortable use of new equipment or adjusted equipment	Case conference
Feeding	Board staff- EA trained by OT or PT LHIN contracted nursing agencies	Dependent assistance required for mobility Physician direction	Physician Principal LHIN case manager OT/SLP	Direction from physician and approval of parent(s)/ guardian(s) Change in feeding Needs	Case conference
Toileting	Board staff - EA trained by appropriate professional/ agency	Dependent and/or requiring assistance for toileting	Principal Physician direction and parental approval	Direction from physician and approval of parent(s)/ guardian(s)	Case conference
Medical Dressing	Board staff - EA	Physician direction and parental approval	Physician Parent Principal	Physical direction and parental approval	Case conference





Specialized Health Support Service	Agency or position of person who performs the service	Eligibility criteria for students to receive the service	Position of person who determines eligibility to receive the service and the level of support	Criteria for determining when the service is no longer required	Procedure for resolving disputes about eligibility and level of support (if available)
Augmentative and alternative communication devices Face-to-face writing aids -high tech devices	CHEO CTC Services for assessment and prescription, parent and teacher training for use and programming. Board SLP responsible for ongoing support and consultation. Board SLP addresses low-tech AAC needs. CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services OT Board staff- teacher , EA trained by appropriate agency	Referral to CHEO CTC Services by school board SLP or CHEO SLP School referral to board SLPs Referral to CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services OT	CHEO CTC Services Board SLP OT from CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services	Annual reviews until system no longer required Classroom Support no longer required for maintenance modification	Case conference
Adaptive Devices Program (ADP) Funding	CHEO CTC Services or SLP Individual authorizers at CHEO CTC Services for communication aids CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services contracted therapists for OT, PT needs	Specialized equipment or resources required	Authorized ADP assessor	Student no longer requires resource	Discussion with ADP





School Health Support Services Service Guidelines – Nutrition (Registered Dietitian (RD) Services)

Conditions/Service Need	Eligibility criteria	Role of RD/Model of Service
G tubes New Longstanding Oral feeding also Note changes in student's medical condition/functional status may result in changes in nutritional status resulting in need for RD assessment/intervention	Student has had new G tube inserted Student has longstanding G tube feeds Student G tube fed but also trying to increase oral intake	See general role of RD* RD to teach about products RD to teach about schedule for feeding related to activities, lifestyle, interaction of medical conditions (eg. reflux) RD Assess tolerance to feeds; provide recommendations/trials for feeds RD to communicate/teach school staff RD to reinforce/revise schedule from hospital (new tubes) Consultative services may be provided in the home and/or school setting
Dysphagia Note changes in student's medical condition/functional status may result in changes in nutritional status resulting in need for RD assessment/intervention	Student is at risk of aspiration with current intake Student is not linked with an outpatient clinic to review this information with parents	See general role of RD*** Prevent aspiration by working with SLP who determines texture needed RD provides specific information about how and what to prepare as per general role of RD Sensory texture issues – RD works with OT and SLP as above Consultative services may be provided in the home and/or school setting



Program Criteria: Nutrition (Registered Dietitian (RD) Services)

- School support – appropriate physical environment/space is provided, participation of volunteers or parents/caregivers is facilitated by school, teacher/EA willing to work with RD to include strategies in school setting
- Adequate attention and behaviours for consultation
- Consistent follow up demonstrated in program

Discharge criteria include one or more of the following:

- Student needs can be met by outpatient clinic/services
- Student issues are strictly behavioural and no school board behavioural intervention is in place
- Student condition stable with weight being monitored by family physician/clinic
- Lack of student/family/school motivation or participation with program/recommendations
- Student has achieved treatment goals
- Student has strategies/program in place to be able to meet goals
- No practice/irregular attendance of student at sessions
- Degree of progress does not warrant ongoing intervention
- Student and/or family/caregiver do not feel need for treatment
- Student no longer demonstrates need for service

***General Role of RD**

Promote and/or Maintain Healthy growth related to nutritional recommended intake, changes with age, need for supplementation with feeds



School Health Support Services Service Guidelines –Occupational Therapy

Conditions/Service Need	Role of OT/Model of Service
<p>Short Term needs Student with a specific functional problem requiring focused, short term intervention in <u>one</u> of the following areas: Age/developmental school productivity issues mobility issues environmental adaptations/accessibility (equipment) sensory processing issues Intervention is short term and specific in nature</p>	<p>in the form of clinical observations, interview of parent/caregiver/teacher or standardized assessments may include: Fine motor skills, gross motor skills, motor coordination, visual perceptual skills, sensory processing, activities of daily living, accessibility and mobility Intervention and/or instructional strategies defined and taught to school staff and family/caregivers. Program and resources provided to school staff and family/caregivers Feedback to/from school staff/family/caregivers Ongoing re-evaluation as needed to revise goals and intervention strategies</p>
<p>Moderate term needs Student with <u>one or more</u> of the following functional problems: Age/developmental school productivity issues mobility issues environmental adaptations/accessibility (equipment) sensory processing issues Intervention will have a rehabilitation focus</p>	<p>Assessment in the form of clinical observations, interview of parent/caregiver/teacher or standardized assessments may include: Fine motor skills, gross motor skills, motor coordination, visual perceptual skills, sensory processing, activities of daily living, accessibility and mobility Intervention and/or instructional strategies defined and taught to school staff and family/caregivers Program and resources provided to school staff and family/caregivers Feedback to/from school staff/family/caregivers Ongoing re-evaluation as needed to revise goals and intervention strategies</p>
<p>Complex/Early Intervention Student with one or more of the following functional problems: physical disability affecting ability to function independently in school (safety, mobility, productivity), degenerative condition, chronic health condition, developmental disability Intervention will focus on the establishment of optimal function in order to develop baseline for ongoing maintenance</p>	<p>Assessment in the form of clinical observations, interview of parent/caregiver/teacher or standardized assessments may include: Fine motor skills, gross motor skills, motor coordination, visual perceptual skills, sensory processing, activities of daily living, accessibility and mobility Intervention and/or instructional strategies defined and taught to school staff and family/caregivers Program and resources provided to school staff and family/caregivers Feedback to/from school staff/family/caregivers Ongoing re-evaluation as needed to revise goals and intervention strategies</p>





School Health Support Services Service Guidelines –Occupational Therapy

Conditions/Service Need	Role of OT/Model of Service
<p>Complex/Long Term Needs</p> <p>Student with one or more of the following functional problems: Physical disability affecting ability to function independently in school (safety, mobility, productivity), degenerative condition, chronic health condition, developmental disability Intervention will focus on prevention of deterioration and maximizing/maintenance of function Student experiencing developmental delay (global) or cognitive/physical disability may necessitate consultation throughout their school career Situation may need annual review and upgrading of programming</p>	<p>Assessment in the form of clinical observations, interview of parent/caregiver/teacher or standardized assessments may include:</p> <p>Fine motor skills, gross motor skills, motor coordination, visual perceptual skills, sensory processing, activities of daily living, accessibility and mobility Intervention and/or instructional strategies defined and taught to school staff and family/caregivers Program and resources provided to school staff and family/caregivers Feedback to/from school staff/family/caregivers If experiencing an episodic need for intense short term intervention student may receive additional visits For example: Facilitation with transition within school system Facilitation with transition to adult services Intermittent difficulties associated with growth/equipment changes Change in caregiver (school setting) Supportive care needs Sudden change in functional status Ongoing re-evaluation as needed to revise goals and intervention strategies</p>





Program Criteria: Occupational Therapy

- School support – appropriate physical environment/space is provided, participation of volunteers or parent/caregivers is facilitated by school, teacher/EA willing to work with therapist to include strategies in school setting
- Motivation and consent of student/caregiver to participate
- Adequate attention and behaviours for assessment/treatment sessions
- Consistent follow up demonstrated in supplemental program/homework/exercises provided by LHIN OT
- Students are not eligible for service if they have ONLY behaviour difficulties, visual perceptual problems, or learning disabilities

Discharge Criteria- include one or more of the following:

- Student has achieved treatment goals
- Student has strategies /program in place to be able to meet goals
- Lack of follow up in school or home program
- No practice/irregular attendance of student at sessions
- Degree of progress does not warrant ongoing intervention
- Student and/or family do not feel need for treatment
- Student no longer demonstrates need for service

Service Model:

Intervention may be direct, consultative, one to one or via workshop or educational presentation to caregivers/school staff



School Health Support Services Service Guidelines – Physical Therapy

Conditions/Service Need	Role of PT/Model of Service
<p>Short Term</p> <p>Physical challenges in the school setting – including difficulties participating in gym class, safe mobility within the school/schoolyard, stairs Non-deteriorating condition with minimal complications predicted Acute cardiorespiratory issues</p>	<p><u>Services include:</u></p> <p>Assess physical function and/or gross motor skills Develop intervention strategies Teach school staff, family/caregivers to review/feedback/upgrading of intervention/adaptation strategies as needed Evaluate safe implementation of program in school setting</p>
<p>Rehabilitation/Chronic</p> <p>Student with a disability which impacts on functional abilities of mobilization, transfers, cardiorespiratory status, demonstrating potential for improvement PT intervention to maximize progression of skills and optimize functional status Improve/Maintain mobility and orthopedic, gross motor and respiratory status Intervention may vary in response to changing needs and readiness Example: Physical impairment limiting ambulation with potential to effect level of independent mobility (e.g.: Borderline ambulatory vs. wheelchair mobility) Students may require additional visits post surgery/ post botox</p>	<p><u>Services include:</u></p> <p>Assessment of physical function, setting goals with students, school staff and family to maximize physical function in the school setting Intervention strategies developed and taught to school staff and family/caregivers Prescription of equipment Collaboration with school staff in development of IEP plan/goals Ongoing evaluation of safe implementation of program in school setting Ongoing re-evaluation as needed to progress goals and intervention strategies</p>
<p>Complex/Long term Needs</p> <p>Student with a disability which impacts on functional abilities of mobilization, transfers and cardiorespiratory status PT intervention to maintain/delay deterioration of mobility, orthopedic, gross motor and respiratory status Intervention may vary in response to changing needs and readiness</p>	<p><u>Services include:</u></p> <p>Assessment of physical status/function, setting goals with students, school staff and family to maintain physical function in the school setting Intervention strategies developed and taught to school staff and family/caregivers Prescription of equipment Collaboration with school staff in development of IEP plan/goals Ongoing evaluation of safe implementation of program in school setting Ongoing re-evaluation as needed to revise goals and intervention strategies</p>

*** All guidelines include assessment, conferencing, and consultation**





Program Criteria: Physical Therapy

- School support – appropriate physical environment/space is provided for gross motor activities, participation of volunteers or parents is facilitated by school, teacher/EA willing to work with therapist to include strategies in school setting
- Parent/caregiver involvement in physio program
- Motivation and consent of student to participate
- Adequate attention and behaviours for assessment/treatment sessions
- Consistent follow up demonstrated in supplemental program/homework/exercises provided by LHIN PT
- Service model is abilities based – based on goals of child, school and family – goals are task oriented
- An identified gross motor difficulty impacting on school participation and safety in the school setting

Discharge Criteria include one or more of the following:

- Student has achieved treatment goals
- Student has strategies /program in place to be able to meet goals
- Lack of follow up in school or home program
- No practice/irregular attendance of student at sessions
- Degree of progress does not warrant ongoing intervention
- Student and/or family/caregivers do not feel need for treatment
- Student no longer demonstrates need for service

Services:

Intervention may be consultative, one to one or via workshop or educational presentation to family/caregivers/school staff





School Health Support Services Speech Language Pathology Service Guidelines

Community Care Access Centre provides speech therapy for children and youth with a health based need for speech services. School boards are responsible for providing these services to children/youth who have a language disorder. This is inclusive of private and home schools. School boards are also responsible for the treatment of mild articulation disorders. (Mild articulation = no processes involved OR 1-2 sound errors regardless of age OR errors fall within the child's development range OR sound patterns associated with that of first language (ESL) OR W for R or Frontal Lisp, or lateral lisp on s, z only, tongue thrust).

Students who are identified with physical, neurological, and/or cognitive difficulties may have an impeded rate of progress. It is anticipated that therapeutic strategies will require more time. Student MUST meet eligibility criteria of continued measurable progress and consistent support from home and school must be evident.

Conditions/Service Need	Eligibility criteria	Role of SLP/Model of Service
Moderate to severe articulation/phonological disorder Moderate – 3-6 sound errors are noted OR phonological processes (including atypical errors) may be involved AND errors noticeably reduce intelligibility (e.g. lateralization of most or all fricatives with significant negative effect on intelligibility) Severe – more than 6 sound errors, processes involved, intelligibility is severely reduced	Must have SLP referral and assessment Must have current SLP report (within last 12 months)	Direct treatment and/or consultative individually or in group Consult as required Provide home program Education, consultation and training of client/parent/school personnel Clinic/workshop
Complex/Medically Fragile DE children only DE children ONLY where model is different & SLP is addressing language development and/or swallowing risks		Direct treatment and/or consultative individually or in group Consult as required Provide home program Education, consultation and training of client/parent/school personnel Clinic/workshop



Conditions/Service Need	Eligibility criteria	Role of SLP/Model of Service
<p>Motor Speech Disorder</p> <p><u>Mild- Moderate</u> -3-6 sound errors, processes may be involved, errors are inconsistent over repeated trials, intelligibility is noticeably reduced</p> <p><u>Severe</u> – more than 6 sounds errors are noted, processes may be involved, errors are inconsistent over repeated trials, intelligibility is severely reduced</p> <p><u>Profound</u> - Efforts to speak/vocalize but limited sound system Oral motor difficulties must impact intelligibility or contribute to feeding and/or swallowing difficulties</p>	<p>Must have SLP referral and assessment</p> <p>Must have current SLP report (within last 12 months); neurology report if available</p>	<p>Direct treatment and/or consultative individually or in group Consult as required</p> <p>Provide home program</p> <p>Education, consultation and training of client/parent/school personnel</p> <p>Clinic/workshop</p>
<p>Fluency disorder</p> <p>Dysfluent in first language Tension Secondary behaviours – avoiding words/avoidance of situations Effortful speech, struggle Demonstration of social +/- vocational limitation (s) as result of fluency disorder</p>	<p>Must have SLP referral and assessment</p> <p>Must have current SLP report (within last 12 months)</p> <p>Client motivation – key with referral</p>	<p>Direct treatment and/or consultative individually or in group Consult as required</p> <p>Provide home program</p> <p>Education, consultation and training of client/parent/school personnel</p> <p>Clinic/workshop</p>
<p>Voice / Resonance disorder</p> <p>Vocal fold pathology identified by ENT resulting in poor voice quality including: Rough Hoarse Whispery Mild/Moderate - vocal production impacts on daily communication Severe - vocal production is markedly affected Majority of communication may require non-verbal techniques Atypical hypo or hyper nasality Nasal Air Emission</p>	<p>ENT report required</p> <p>Eligibility for ongoing services – expectation of clinical changes in first 3 months – if not, service not continued and client referred for more appropriate intervention Report from cleft palate team, if involved</p>	<p>Direct treatment and/or consultative individually or in group Consult as required</p> <p>Provide home program</p> <p>Education, consultation and training of client/parent/school personnel</p> <p>Clinic/workshop</p>



Conditions/Service Need	Eligibility criteria	Role of SLP/Model of Service
Multiple Needs Experiencing moderate to severe difficulties in more than one treatment area/category of speech remediation including: articulation, oral motor, fluency and voice difficulties	Must have SLP referral and assessment Must have current SLP report (within last 12 months)	Direct treatment and/or consultative individually or in group Consult as required Provide home program Education, consultation and training of client/parent/school personnel Clinic/workshop
Alternative and Augmentative Communication Resource to school on short term basis within scope of practice and service mandate; transition to school board staff for ongoing services	If AAC device is primary method of communication – school board responsibility If secondary device to augment speech production – shared mandate of School board and LHIN	Direct treatment and/or consultative individually or in group Consult as required Provide home program Education, consultation and training of client/parent/school personnel Clinic/workshop
Assessment and Discharge	Following assessment client does not meet eligibility criteria (may be due to improvements while waiting for service; may have been inappropriately referred) SLP will complete assess/discharge report	No service provided

NOTE: The **Referral for Same Need** category has been eliminated. Please reassess the child and put them into the most appropriate category above.





Program Criteria: Speech Language Pathology Service

- The student must display – appropriate motivation, attention, language, behaviour and cognitive ability to participate in an individual speech therapy session of a minimum of 30 minutes in length
- The SLP assessment report accompanying the referral to include child's status of those components
- The student must display – language skills that are equal to or greater than demonstrated speech skills
- Students who require significant language stimulation will be discharged back to the care of the school board speech language pathologist
- SLP report including an assessment on language skills (within past year) where there are identified concerns, from referring agency SLP/school board SLP/private SLP
Exception: a child with diagnosed developmental delays, a report within the last two years will be accepted if accompanied with a statement by the referring SLP regarding the validity of the report
- Referral must be initiated by an SLP; if no identified concerns then a statement on language skills (within past year) is required from SLP
- Stimulability for speech sounds
- School support – appropriate physical environment/space is provided; participation of volunteers or family/caregivers is facilitated by school; teacher/EA willing to work with therapist to include strategies in class work
- Family/caregiver to attend minimum of one session
- Motivation and consent of student to participate
- Adequate attention and behaviours for assessment/treatment sessions
- Consistent follow up demonstrated in supplemental program/homework provided by SLP

Discharge Criteria include one or more of the following:

- Student has achieved treatment goals
- Student has strategies /program in place to be able to meet goals
- Lack of follow up in school or home program
- No practice/irregular attendance of client at sessions
- Student meets criteria for mild articulation
- Degree of progress does not warrant ongoing intervention
- Student and/or family/caregiver do not feel need for treatment
- Student no longer demonstrates need for service





Categories and Definitions of Exceptionalities

Purpose of the Standard

To provide information on the categories and definitions of exceptionalities available to the public, including parent(s)/guardian(s) and community associations.

The chart of student exceptionalities, set out by the Ministry of Education, which is in alignment with the Education Act, is organized by exceptionality category, specific exceptionality identification, and specific exceptionality definition. An identification of an exceptionality is not the same as a diagnosis provided by a psychologist or medical professional.

Category	Exceptionality	Definition
Behavioural	Behaviour	<p>A learning disorder characterized by specific behaviour problems over such a period of time, and to such a marked degree, and of such a nature, as to adversely affect educational performance; and that may be accompanied by one or more of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> an inability to build or to maintain interpersonal relationships; a) excessive fears or anxieties; b) a tendency to compulsive reaction; d) an inability to learn that cannot be traced to intellectual, sensory, or other health factors, or any combination thereof.
Communicational	Autism	<p>A severe learning disorder that is characterized by disturbances in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • rate of educational development; • ability to relate to the environment; • mobility; • perception, speech, and language; b) lack of the representational symbolic behavior that precedes language.





Category	Exceptionality	Definition
Communicational (Continued)	Deaf and Hard-of-Hearing	An impairment characterized by deficits in language and speech development because of diminished or non-existent auditory response to sound.
	Language Impairment	A learning disorder characterized by an impairment in comprehension and/or use of verbal communication or the written or other symbol system of communication, which may be associated with neurological, psychological, physical, or sensory factors, and which may: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) involve one or more of the form, content, and function of language in communication b) include one or more of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • language delay • dysfluency • voice and articulation development, which may or may not be organically or functionally based.
	Speech Impairment	A disorder in language formulation that may be associated with neurological, psychological, physical, sensory factors; that involves perceptual motor aspects of transmitting oral messages; and that may be characterized by impairment in articulation, rhythm, and stress.
	Learning Disability	One of a number neurodevelopmental disorders that persistently and significantly has an impact on the ability to learn and use academic and other skills and that: <p>Affects the ability to perceive or process verbal or non-verbal information in an effective and accurate manner in students who have assessed intellectual abilities that are <i>at least</i> in the average range;</p>





Category	Exceptionality	Definition
Communicational <i>(Continued)</i>	Learning Disability <i>(Continued)</i>	a. results in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • academic underachievement that is inconsistent with the intellectual abilities of the student (which are at least in the average range) and/or b. academic achievement that can be maintained by the student only with extremely high levels of effort and/or with additional support <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • results in difficulties in the development and use of skills in one or more of the following areas: reading, writing, mathematics, and work habits and learning skills; • may typically be associated with difficulties in one or more cognitive processes, such as phonological processing; memory and attention; processing speed; perceptual-motor processing; visual-spatial processing; executive functions (e.g., self-regulation of behaviour and emotions, planning, organizing of thoughts and activities, prioritizing, decision making); • may be associated with difficulties in social interaction (e.g., difficulty in understanding social norms or the point of view of others); with various other conditions or disorders, diagnosed or undiagnosed; or with other exceptionalities; • is <i>not</i> the result of a lack of acuity in hearing and/or vision that has not been corrected; intellectual disabilities; socio-economic factors; cultural differences; lack of proficiency in the language of instruction; lack of motivation or effort; gaps in school attendance or inadequate opportunity to benefit from instruction.





Category	Exceptionality	Definition
Intellectual	Giftedness	An unusually advanced degree of general intellectual ability that requires differentiated learning experiences of a depth and breadth beyond those normally provided in the regular school program to satisfy the level of educational potential indicated.
	Mild Intellectual Disability	A learning disorder characterized by: a. an ability to profit educationally within a regular class with the aid of considerable curriculum modification and supportive service; b. an inability to profit educationally within a regular class because of slow intellectual development; c. a potential for academic learning, independent social adjustment, and economic self-support.
	Developmental Disability	A severe learning disorder characterized by: a. an inability to profit from a special education program for students with mild intellectual disabilities because of slow intellectual development; b. an ability to profit from a special education program that is designed to accommodate slow intellectual development; c. a limited potential for academic learning, independent social adjustment, and economic self-support.
Physical	Physical Disability	A condition of such severe physical limitation or deficiency as to require special assistance in learning situations to provide the opportunity for educational achievement equivalent to that of pupils without exceptionalities who are of the same age or developmental level.





Category	Exceptionality	Definition
Physical <i>(continued)</i>	Blind and Low Vision	A condition of partial or total impairment of sight or vision that, even with correction, affects educational performance adversely.
Multiple	Multiple Exceptionalities	A combination of learning or other disorders, impairments, or physical disabilities, that is of such nature as to require, for educational achievement, the services of one or more teachers holding qualifications in special education and the provision of support services appropriate for such disorders, impairments, or disabilities.





Special Education Placements Provided by The OCDSB

Purpose of the Standard

To provide the Ministry and the public with details of the range of placements provided by the Board, and to inform the public that placement of a student in a regular class is the first option considered by an IPRC.

Learning For All, Kindergarten to Grade 12

“Learning for All, K-12” describes the educational approaches that are based on one of the most important findings of educational research since 2000 – namely, that all students learn best when instruction, resources, and the learning environment are well suited to their particular strengths, interests, needs and stage of readiness.” (2013, p.8)

The OCDSB offers a variety of placement options from least intrusive to most supportive. *Regulation 181/98, Section 17* made under the *Education Act* states:

- (1) When making a placement decision....(the Identification Placement and Review Committee) shall, before considering the option of placement in a special education class, consider whether placement in a regular class, with appropriate special education services,*
 - (a) would meet the pupil’s needs; and*
 - (b) is consistent with parental preferences.*
- (2) if, after considering all of the information obtained by it or submitted to it under Section 15 that it considers relevant, the committee is satisfied that placement in a regular class would meet the pupil’s needs and is consistent with parental preferences, the committee shall decide in favour of placement in a regular class.*

A regular class placement is considered the first option for a placement when this place is able to meet the student’s needs and is consistent with parental preferences. When a student is placed in a specialized program class placement, integration continues to be maximized to the greatest degree possible, based on the individual needs of students. Students can be integrated into the regular classroom in a variety of ways. These ways include, but are not limited to, the following:

- participation in activities and subjects in areas of strength;
- participation in any subjects such as physical education, art, music, and drama;
- participation in school based activities;
- peer helper initiatives;
- reading buddies;





- differentiated/modified curricular expectations and evaluation;
- accommodations (e.g., preferential seating, assistive technology);

Student Program Placement Options (Ministry of Education)

Regular class with indirect support

The student is placed in a regular class for the entire day, and the teacher receives specialized consultative services.

Regular class with resource assistance

The student is placed in a regular class for most or all of the day and receives specialized instruction, individually or in a small group, within the regular classroom from a qualified special education teacher.

Regular class with withdrawal assistance

The student is placed in the regular class and receives instruction outside of the classroom for less than 50 per cent of the school day, from a qualified special education teacher.

Special education class with partial integration

The student is placed by the IPRC in a special education class where the student–teacher ratio conforms to *Regulation 298, section 31*, for at least 50 per cent of the school day, but is integrated with a regular class for at least one instructional period daily.

Special education class full time

A student is placed by the IPRC in a special education class, where the student–teacher ratio conforms to *Regulation 298, section 31*, for the entire school day.





OCDSB Regular Classroom Special Education Programs (K - 12)

Regular classroom with monitoring from the LRT/LST

This regular classroom program is provided by the classroom teacher. The exceptional students' program is monitored and the teacher receives consultative services from the Learning Resource Teacher (LRT) or the Learning Support Teacher (LST).

Regular classroom with support from the LRT/LST

This regular classroom program is provided by the classroom teacher. This program is designed for exceptional students who require assistance from the LST or LRT in a regular classroom or a 'withdrawal' setting.

Regular classroom with specialized support

This regular classroom program is provided by the classroom teacher. This program is designed for exceptional students who require direct or indirect support from:

- Itinerant Teacher of the Blind/Low Vision (ITB/LV)
- Itinerant Teacher of the Deaf/Hard of Hearing (ITD/HH)
- Educational Assistant (for physical/medical/safety needs, BLV, and D/HH)

Specialized Program Classes

The OCDSB has a range of special education programs and services focusing on providing the necessary support via the Tiered Intervention Approach, Differentiated Instruction, and Universal Design for learning. Most specialized program classes have a lower pupil-teacher ratio than regular classes. Students may be integrated into the regular class in order to prepare for the opportunity of returning to a regular classroom. These specialized program classes may also include support from Learning Support Services (LSS) personnel.

Referral Process for Specialized Program Classes

The parent(s)/guardian(s) of the student must be consulted and included in the preparation of a referral to a specialized program class. As the referral process is a collaborative process between the student's parents / guardians and the home school, effective practice includes a parent being well informed of the placement and it's criteria prior to supporting the completion of a referral to a specialized program class. Schools submit referrals and all required documentation to a central referral committee.

[Autism Spectrum Disorder Secondary Credit Program \(ASDSCP\)](#)

[Autism Spectrum Disorder Program \(ASDP\)](#)

[Behaviour Intervention Program \(BIP\)](#)





[Blind/Low Vision \(B/LV\)](#)
[Deaf/Hard-of-Hearing Program \(D/HH\)](#)
[Developmental Disabilities Program \(DDP\)](#)
[Dual Support Program \(DSP\)](#)
[General Learning Program \(GLP\) / Storefront](#)
[Gifted Specialized Program \(Elem/Sec\) *](#)
[Language Learning Disability Program \(LLD\)](#)
[Learning Disability Program \(LD\)](#)
[Physical Support Program \(PSP\)](#)
[Primary Special Needs \(PSN\)](#)

*Note: At the secondary level, gifted students wishing to attend congregated specialized gifted courses may apply directly to the designated secondary school that offers gifted as determined by their place of residence.

- A central referral committee consisting of learning support consultants (LSC) and multi-disciplinary LSS personnel as appropriate reviews each referral and determines if the referral meets criteria for the specialized program class.
- If the central referral committee recommends a placement, the school will review this recommendation as a part of the IPRC process.
- If the IPRC deems this as an appropriate recommendation and it is confirmed that a space is available to offer a student this recommended placement, then the principal of the sending school is contacted regarding an offer.
- The principal contacts parent(s)/guardian(s) for a response within 48 hours, and then advises the central referral committee of the parent's response. If applicable, parent(s)/guardian(s) then contact the receiving school as soon as possible.
- The principal of the sending school conducts an IPRC. If there are extenuating circumstances due to year-end timelines, the IPRC may be convened at the receiving school in early September. The parent/guardian will have completed a registration and requested specialized transportation forms as needed for the student.
- All specialized class placements are age / grade appropriate only.





Category: Behaviour
Exceptionality: Behaviour

Ministry Definition

A learning disorder characterized by specific behaviour problems over such a period of time, and to such a marked degree, and of such a nature, as to adversely affect educational performance; and that may be accompanied by one or more of the following:

- a) an inability to build or to maintain interpersonal relationships;
- b) excessive fears or anxieties;
- c) a tendency to compulsive reaction;
- d) an inability to learn that cannot be traced to intellectual, sensory, or other health factors, or any combination thereof;

Special Education Class for Behaviour (Behaviour Intervention Program)

(10 elementary classes, 48 secondary sections)

Placements

- behaviour Intervention Programs (BIP) at the following levels: primary, junior, intermediate, and senior
- up to eight students per class

Admissions Criteria

- typically exhibits many or all of the following behaviours: verbal aggression, physical aggression, a profound inability to build or maintain interpersonal relationships, excessive anger, severe non-compliance, extreme lack of impulse control, extreme low self-esteem, extreme defiant behavior, extreme difficulty coping in the community school, an inability to learn that cannot be traced to intellectual, sensory, or other health factors
- accommodations for learning are essential in order to access the curriculum

Criteria for Change in Placement

- the behavioural functioning is no longer the most significant determining influence on the student's academic success or social adjustment in school
- has social and/or academic needs that can be met more successfully within a different specialized program class
- has been integrated and demonstrated the ability to succeed in a regular class or with/without support from LRT and/or LST
- no longer benefiting from specialized placement
- evidence of ongoing successful integration
- not complying with program expectations

Special Education Class for Behaviour (Behaviour Intervention Program) (continued)





Available Resources

- one teacher with special education qualifications (Parts I and II)
- educational assistant
- Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher

Program

- Focus of the program is to provide a structured learning environment and an opportunity to develop appropriate social skills;
- Program allows for integration into regular classrooms and/or school activities;
- An IEP containing specific expectations with a focus on behaviour is designed for each student according to learning needs and abilities;
- This plan is based on, and modified by, the results of continuous assessment and evaluation and is shared with parent(s)/guardian(s) on an ongoing basis;

Evaluation Methods

- Assessment and evaluation are ongoing throughout the year
- Student progress is formally reported as per the reporting structure of the OCDSB on report cards and IEPs
- The progress of a student who has been identified as an exceptional pupil and has a placement is formally reviewed on an annual basis through the IPRC process

Alternative Placements

The school team will meet with the parent(s)/guardian(s) to discuss alternative placements if necessary. Placements may include the following:

- other special education placement options if appropriate
- Care and Treatment Programs (CTCC)
- regular classroom with monitoring from the LST and/or LRT
- regular classroom with support from the LST and/or the LRT

For Further Information

- Consult the OCDSB *Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide*
- For more detailed information, contact the principal of the student's school, or LSS personnel serving the school





Category: Communication

Exceptionality: Autism

Ministry Definition

A severe learning disorder that is characterized by:

- a) disturbance in:
 - rate of educational development
 - ability to relate to the environment
 - mobility
 - perception, speech, and language
- b) lack of the representational symbolic behaviour that precedes language.

Special Education Classes for Autism (ASDP) and Autism Credit Support Program (ASDCSP)

(31 elementary classes, 96 secondary sections) and (24 secondary sections)

Placements

- specialized classes at the following levels: kindergarten, primary, junior, intermediate, and senior
- 6 students per class*

Admissions Criteria

- a diagnosis of Autism Spectrum Disorder in the pervasive developmental disorder (PDD) category as specified by DSM-V
- documented evidence of impaired communication, social skills, and an uneven learning profile

Criteria for Change in Placement

- has social and/or academic needs which can be met more successfully within another placement
- no longer benefiting from specialized placement
- evidence of ongoing successful integration
- not complying with program expectations

Available Resources

- one teacher with special education qualifications (Parts I and II)
- educational assistants
- psychological consultation
- Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher





* Note: There are 10 students in each of the Autism Credit Support Program (ASDCSP) classes. The allocation of educational assistant is determined by student needs in each of the ASDCSP.

Program

- Emphasis is on functional literacy and numeracy skills, communication, social, life skills, and age-appropriate behaviour
- Goals are specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, and timely (SMART) and chosen from the following domains: behaviour, communication, life skills (self-help, vocational, and work experience), academics, gross and fine motor skills, community living, and integration
- Programming is based on the expectations outlined in the IEP which is coordinated by the classroom teacher in consultation with the assigned speech-language pathologist and psychologist

Evaluation Methods

- Assessment and evaluation are ongoing throughout the year
- Student progress is formally reported as per the reporting structure of the OCDSB on report cards and IEPs
- The progress of a student who has been identified as an exceptional pupil and has a placement is formally reviewed on an annual basis through the IPRC process
- It is expected that students will integrate into the mainstream to the greatest degree possible

Alternative Placements

The school team will meet with the parent(s)/guardian(s) to discuss alternative placements if necessary. Placements may include the following:

- other special education placement options if appropriate
- care and treatment programs/Section 23
- regular classroom with monitoring from the LST/LRT
- regular classroom with support from the LST/LRT

For Further Information

- Consult the OCDSB *Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide*.
- For more detailed information, contact the school principal or Learning Support Services personnel serving the school.





Exceptionality: Deaf and Hard of Hearing

Ministry Definition

An impairment characterized by deficits in language and speech development because of a diminished or non-existent auditory response to sound. Audiological assessment data is required to support identification.

Special Education Class for Deaf (D/HH)

(1 elementary class and 8 secondary sections)

Placements

- regular class with specialized support from Itinerant Teacher Deaf/Hard of Hearing (ITD/HH)
- other specialized program with consultation or direct service from ITD/HH
- specialized program with a Specialist Teacher of the Deaf/Hard of Hearing
- up to 10 students per class

Admissions Criteria

- documented hearing loss
- use of hearing aids/cochlear implant
- method of communication is through hearing and speech
- meets criteria for other specialized program in addition to deaf/hard of hearing exceptionality
- method of communication is through sign language (American Sign Language)

Criteria for Change in Placement

- change in hearing loss or performance
- needs are not being met in regular class
- student requires more than 5 hours, per week, support from ITD/HH
- change in method of communication
- identification of additional exceptionality
- evidence of ongoing successful integration
- not complying with program expectations
- change in hearing loss or performance, i.e., acquisition of a cochlear implant and requires auditory-verbal/ Oral therapy
- student requires/ requests placement in Provincial School setting
- identification of additional exceptionality
- no longer benefiting from specialized placement

Available Resources

- FM system and other equipment, as needed including maintenance
- teacher with qualifications in Deaf Education
- educational assistant allocated based on students' needs
- Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher





Program

- ITD/HH support is based on the Auditory-Verbal Method unless requested otherwise, and is available from K to 12. Program includes development of speech, language, auditory skills and support of the Ontario Curriculum;
- Specialized Classes for the D/HH use sign language (ASL) and English to deliver the Ontario Curriculum, with modifications as required. There is one class at the elementary level for JK to grade 8 students and one at the secondary level for students in grade 9 to 12. The program includes the development of receptive and expressive language skills. For most students, integration into regular classrooms and school activities is considered to be an essential part of this program
- An IEP is developed for each student with specific learning expectations. This plan, which is developed collaboratively with parent(s)/guardian(s), is based on, and modified by, the results of continuous assessment

Evaluation Methods

- Assessment and evaluation are ongoing throughout the year and may include informal checklists, standardized testing, teacher observation, and language checklists. OCDSB report cards and IEPs formally record the student's progress
- The progress of a student who has been identified as an exceptional pupil and has a placement is formally reviewed on an annual basis through the IPRC process

Alternative Placements

The school team will meet with the parent(s)/guardian(s) to discuss alternative placements if necessary. Placements may include provincial schools for the deaf, school for the blind and deaf-blind, and Francophone schools for the deaf, blind, and deaf-blind.

For Further Information

- Consult the OCDSB *Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide*
- For more detailed information, contact the principal of the student's school, or Learning Support Services personnel serving the school





Exceptionality: Language Impairment

Ministry Definition

A learning disorder characterized by impairment in comprehension and/or use of verbal communication or the written or other symbol system of communication, which may be associated with neurological, psychological, physical, or sensory factors, and which may:

- a) involve one or more of the form, content, and function of language in communication
- b) include one or more of the following:
 - language delay
 - dysfluency
 - voice and articulation development, which may or may not be organically or functionally based

Special Education Class for Language Learning Disabilities (LLD)

(11 elementary classes)

Placements

- specialized classes at the following levels:
- primary and junior
- up to 10 students per primary class
- up to 12 students per junior class

Admissions Criteria

- exhibits severe language learning difficulties on a speech/language assessment
- average to above- average intellectual ability as measured on a psychological assessment
- language learning disability or mixed receptive-expressive language disorder as appropriate to age and grade level

Criteria for Change in Placement

- mildly delayed language functioning overall (one or more areas of language may still indicate moderate delays) on a speech/language assessment completed within the last 12 months
- academic performance is within one grade level of the student's integrated class placement
- has academic and/or social needs that could be met more successfully within a different special-class setting
- has the ability to succeed in a regular class with LST/LRT support
- no longer benefiting from specialized placement
- evidence of ongoing successful integration
- not complying with program expectations





Special Education Class for Language Learning Disabilities (LLD) *(continued)*

Available Resources

- one teacher with special education qualifications (Parts I and II)
- Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher

Program

- The focus of the Language Learning Disability Program is to provide the appropriate learning environment that will facilitate the development of the student's expressive and receptive language and phonology skills to enable academic achievement.
- Students who exit the LLD Specialized Program Class in Grade 6 are supported through the Intermediate LLD (ILLD) model, which offers a range of services (e.g., direct in-class coaching, co-planning of curricular activities, targeted professional development) to teachers to support their students with LLD in grades 7 and 8 within the students' intermediate placement (e.g., regular program, Learning Disability Specialized Program Class or General Learning Program). The ILLD model offers support to the teachers with the goal of increasing the students' independence with strategy application and learning over time.
- Programs are equipped with special education and language development materials.
- An IEP containing specific expectations is designed for each student according to individual learning needs and abilities. This plan, which is shared with parent(s)/guardian(s), is based on, and modified by, the results of continuous assessment and evaluation
- It allows for integration into regular classrooms and/or school activities

Evaluation Methods

- Assessment and evaluation are ongoing throughout the year
- Student progress is formally reported as per the reporting structure of the OCDSB on report cards and IEP and Learning Support Services reports
- The progress of a student who has been identified as an exceptional pupil and has a placement is formally reviewed on an annual basis through the IPRC process

Alternative Placements

The school team will meet with the parent(s)/guardian(s) to discuss alternative placements if necessary. Placements may include the following:

- other special education placement options if appropriate
- regular classroom with monitoring from the LST/LRT





- regular classroom with support from the LST/LRT

For Further Information

- Consult the OCDSB *Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide*
- For more detailed information, contact the principal of the school or Speech-Language Pathology Services

Exceptionality: Speech Impairment

Ministry Definition

A disorder in language formulation that may be associated with neurological, psychological, physical, or sensory factors that involves perceptual motor aspects of transmitting oral messages and that may be characterized by impairment in articulation, rhythm, and stress.

Placements

- regular classroom with monitoring from the LST/LRT

Admissions Criteria

- mild to moderate articulation problems
- moderate to severe articulation problems
- cleft palate
- voice disorder
- fluency disorder
- phonology disorder

Criteria for Change in Placement

- frequency and intensity are individualized depending upon needs as determined by the school speech-language pathologist (5 years of age to grade 8)
- after grade 8, an assessment, consultation or home/school suggestions upon request
- consultation
- screening
- formal/informal testing
- CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services discharge their cases when they reach the mild to moderate level. They may refer to the PAT-P or community agencies as appropriate

Available Resources

- classroom teacher
- speech-language pathologist
- CHEO School-based Rehabilitation Services
- parent(s)/ guardian(s), and volunteers
- Parent Articulation Training Program (PAT-P)
- Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher





Service Delivery Model

Speech-language pathologists:

- provide assessment, intervention and consultation to students from Senior Kindergarten through Grade 12, who may present with a wide range of speech and language disorders and exceptionalities
- provide a wide range and level of support to students in designated special education programs, including ASD Program, PSN Program, and the DD Programs
- provide training to support teachers and parent(s)/guardian(s) in their work with children who have speech and language disorders
- provide therapy (CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services) as per mandate

Exceptionality: Learning Disability (LDSIP and LDP)

Ministry Definition

One of a number of neurodevelopmental disorders that persistently and significantly has an impact on the ability to learn and use academic and other skills that:

- affects the ability to perceive or process verbal or non-verbal information in an effective and accurate manner in students who have assessed intellectual abilities that are *at least* in the average range;
- results in
 - a. academic underachievement that is inconsistent with the intellectual abilities of the student (which are at least in the average range) and/or
 - b. academic achievement that can be maintained by the student only with extremely high levels of effort and/or with additional support;
- results in difficulties in the development and use of skills in one or more of the following areas: reading, writing, mathematics and work habits and learning skills;
- may typically be associated with difficulties in one or more cognitive processes, such as phonological processing; memory and attention; processing speed; perceptual-motor processing; visual-spatial processing; executive functions (e.g., self-regulation of behavior and emotions, planning, organizing of thoughts and activities, prioritizing, decision making);
- may be associated with difficulties in social interaction (e.g., difficulty in understanding social norms or the point of view of others); with various other conditions or disorders, diagnosed or undiagnosed; or with other exceptionalities;
- is *not* the result of a lack of acuity in hearing and/or vision that has not been corrected; intellectual disabilities; socio-economic factors; cultural differences;





lack of proficiency in the language of instruction; lack of motivation or effort;
gaps in school attendance or inadequate opportunity to benefit from instruction.

Special Education Class for Learning Disabilities (LD)

(12 elementary classes, 71 secondary sections)

Placements

- specialized classes at the following levels: junior, intermediate, and senior
- up to eight students per class

Admissions Criteria

- evidence of significant learning difficulties with impairment in reading, and/or writing, and/or mathematics which has not responded to targeted interventions
- evidence of cognitive strength
- average (greater than the 25th percentile) intellectual ability as measured on a psychological assessment
- requires intensive instructional support
- has severe to profound difficulty in learning and in processing information

Secondary: requires accommodations and/or modifications in academic areas that rely on reading, and/or writing, and/or written expression and/or mathematics and is able to work towards credit bearing, grade level curriculum expectations when using individualized accommodations

Criteria for Change in Placement

- current assessments indicate student no longer meets learning disabilities program admission criteria
- has academic (as demonstrated by current assessment) and/or social needs that could be met more successfully within a different special class setting, or a provincial school or section 23 placement
- has an ability to succeed in a regular class with LST/ LRT support
- no longer benefiting from specialized placement
- evidence of ongoing successful integration
- not complying with program expectations
- graduated from High School and/or achieved OSSD

Available Resources

- one teacher with special education qualifications (Parts I and II)
- LSS personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher

Program

- The focus of the program is to develop academic, communication, social, organizational and self-advocacy skills





- Intensive instructional support is provided for language and mathematics in the junior and intermediate programs
- Credit courses are offered through the secondary program
- An IEP containing specific expectations is designed for each student according to learning strengths and needs. This plan is based on, and modified by, the results of continuous assessment and evaluation. The IEP is developed collaboratively by school staff with parent(s)/guardian(s)
- Integration into regular/larger classrooms and school activities is considered to be an essential part of this program

Evaluation Methods

- Assessment and evaluation are ongoing throughout the year
- Student progress is formally reported as per the reporting structure of the OCDSB on report cards and IEP
- The progress of a formally identified exceptional student is reviewed on an annual basis through the IPRC process

Alternative Placements

The school team will meet with the parent(s)/guardian(s) to discuss alternative placements if necessary. Placements may include the following:

- other special education placement options if appropriate
- provincial demonstration schools (English and French) for students with severe learning disabilities
- regular classroom with monitoring from the LST/LRT
- regular classroom with support from the LST/LRT

For Further Information

- Consult the OCDSB *Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide*
 - For more detailed information, contact the principal of the student's school.
- Category: Intellectual





Exceptionality: Giftedness

Ministry Definition

An unusually advanced degree of general intellectual ability that requires differentiated learning experiences of a depth and breadth beyond those normally provided in the regular school program to satisfy the level of educational potential indicated.

Special Education Class for Gifted Students (Gifted)

(20 elementary classes, 135 secondary sections)

Placements

- specialized classes at the following levels: primary, junior, intermediate and senior
- up to 20 students per class grades 1-3
- up to 25 students per class in grades 4-8
- up to 28 students per class in grades 9-12

Admissions Criteria

- very superior intellectual ability as measured on a psychological assessment

Criteria for Change in Placement

- has academic and/or social needs that could be met more successfully within a different classroom setting
- no longer benefiting from specialized placement
- evidence of ongoing successful integration
- not complying with program expectations

Available Resources

- one teacher with special education qualifications (Part I)
- Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher

Program

- All students work towards the grade expectations outlined in the Ontario curriculum. The aim of the gifted program is to provide enrichment rather than acceleration
- Students have the ability to work through the curriculum at a faster rate, thus allowing more time to study the topics in greater depth
- The development of thinking skills is encouraged
- The program is designed to encourage problem solving, working cooperatively, and self and peer evaluation based on criteria determined by the teacher and students
- Application of learning is emphasized after students have demonstrated mastery of basic skills





- An open-ended curriculum allows students to explore areas of interest and incorporate creative talents into their learning
- An IEP containing specific expectations is designed for each student according to learning needs and abilities. This plan is based on, and modified by, the results of continuous assessment and evaluation. The IEP is developed collaboratively by school staff with parent(s)/guardian(s)

Evaluation Methods

- Assessment and evaluation are ongoing throughout the year
- Student progress is formally reported as per the reporting structure of the OCDSB on report cards and IEP
- The progress of a student formally identified as exceptional is reviewed on an annual basis through the IPRC process

Alternative Placements

The school team will meet with the parent(s)/guardian(s) to discuss alternative placements if necessary. Placements may include the following:

- other special education placement options if appropriate
- regular classroom with monitoring from the LST/LRT
- regular classroom with support from the LST/LRT

For Further Information

- Consult the OCDSB *Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide*
- For more detailed information, contact the principal of the student's school, or Learning Support Services personnel serving the school





Exceptionality: Mild Intellectual Disability

Ministry Definition

A learning disorder characterized by:

- a. an ability to profit educationally within a regular class with the aid of considerable curriculum modification and supportive service
- b. an inability to profit educationally within a regular class because of slow intellectual development
- c. a potential for academic learning, independent social adjustment, and economic self-support

Special Education Class for Mild Intellectual Disability/General Learning Program (GLP) (11 elementary classes, 104 secondary sections)

Placements

- specialized classes at the following levels: junior, intermediate and senior
- up to 16 students per class

Admissions Criteria

- scores significantly below the average range, but above the developmentally disabled range on a standardized individual psychological test of intellectual ability
- significant delays in academic progress
- evidence of delays in social/emotional development
- in the age-equivalent range of grade 4 to secondary

Criteria for Change in Placement

- does not require the same degree of alternative programming
- has academic and/or social needs that could be met more successfully within a different special class setting
- has the ability to succeed in a regular class with LST/LRT support and with appropriate accommodations and modifications
- no longer benefiting from specialized placement
- evidence of ongoing successful integration
- not complying with program expectations

Available Resources

- one teacher with special education qualifications (Part I with Part II preferred)
- one educational assistant per class
- Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher

Program

- The focus of the program is to develop academic and life skills





- An IEP containing specific expectations is designed for each student according to the learning needs and abilities. This plan, developed collaboratively with parent(s)/guardian(s), is based on and modified by results of continuous assessment and evaluation
- The program allows for integration into regular classrooms and/or school activities
- Curriculum is modified to meet the individual needs of students

Evaluation Methods

- Assessment and evaluation are ongoing throughout the year
- Student progress is formally reported as per the reporting structure of the OCDSB on report cards and IEP
- The progress of a formally identified student is reviewed on an annual basis through the IPRC process
- It is expected that students will integrate into the mainstream to the greatest degree possible

Alternative Placements

The school team will meet with the parent(s)/guardian(s) to discuss alternative placements if necessary. Placements may include the following:

- other special education placement options if appropriate
- regular classroom with monitoring from the LST/LRT
- regular classroom with support from the LST/LRT

For Further Information

- Consult the *OCDSB Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide*
- For more detailed information, contact the principal of the student's school, or Learning Support Services personnel serving the school

Special Education Class for Students with Mild Intellectual Disabilities (MID) (Storefront Program) – (1 secondary class)

Placements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • system-based Storefront Program for students with special education needs aged 19–21 years of age with MID • up to twelve students





Special Education Class for Students with Mild Intellectual Disabilities (MID) (Storefront Program) *(continued)*

Admissions Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • students must be 19 years of age • students must currently be attending a program for students with mild intellectual disability or developmental disability • students must have supporting documentation which will include: written recommendation of the sending school/program, completed transition skills checklist, and written evaluations regarding previous successful work experience • students must participate in a structured interview
Criteria for Change in Placement
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • reaches the age of 21 • has social and/or academic needs which can be met more successfully within another placement • no longer benefiting from specialized placement • evidence of on-going successful integration • not complying with program expectations
Available Resources
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • one teacher with special education qualifications • educational assistant and job coach are assigned to the class • Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher

Program

Upon completion of the program, it is hoped that the students will be prepared to take their place in the workforce with a minimum of supervision.

- The students work in the community five mornings per week, 2.5 hours daily, for seven to eight weeks
- Over the course of two years at Storefront, students will participate in 10 work-experience placements
- The life skills component of the program is delivered in the afternoons
- An IEP containing specific expectations is designed for each student according to learning needs and abilities. This plan is based on, and modified by, the results of continuous assessment and evaluation

Evaluation Methods

- Assessment and evaluation are ongoing throughout the year
- OCDSB report cards and IEPs formally record the student's progress
- The progress of a formally identified student is reviewed on an annual basis through the IPRC process





Alternative Placements

The school team will meet with the parent(s)/guardian(s) to discuss alternative placements if necessary. Placements may include the following:

- other special education placement options if appropriate
- regular classroom with monitoring from the LST
- regular classroom with support from the LST

For Further Information

- Consult the OCDSB *Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide*.

For more detailed information, contact the appropriate person from the list below:

- the staff of the Storefront Program
- the principal of the school
- Learning Support Services personnel serving the school

Exceptionality: Developmental Disability

Ministry Definition

A severe learning disorder characterized by:

- a. an inability to profit from a special education program for students with mild intellectual disabilities because of slow intellectual development
- b. an ability to profit from a special education program that is designed to accommodate slow intellectual development
- c. a limited potential for academic learning, independent social adjustment, and economic self-support

Special Education Class for Students with Developmental Disabilities (Semi-Integrated) (DDP) (9 elementary classes, 96 secondary sections)

Placements

- semi-integrated specialized classes at the following levels: primary, junior intermediate, and senior
- up to 10 students per class





Special Education Class for Students with Developmental Disabilities (Semi-Integrated) *(continued)*

Admissions Criteria

- moderate to severe delays in intellectual ability as measured on a psychological assessment
- serious delays in academic progress
- moderate to severe delays in adaptive functioning
- be able to profit educationally, socially, and emotionally from a semi-integrated class in a regular school, with opportunities for appropriate supported integration
- be able to take care of basic personal needs, with a minimum of additional support

Criteria for Change in Placement

- has social and/or academic needs which can be met more successfully within another placement
- no longer benefiting from specialized placement
- evidence of on-going successful integration
- not complying with program expectations

Available Resources

- one teacher with special education qualifications (Part I)
- educational assistant
- half-time job coach is assigned to each class at the secondary level
- Learning Support Services personnel provides assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher

Program

- Programming in a specialized classroom offers opportunities to integrate with age-appropriate peers
- The focus of the program is to develop basic life skills along with a functional academic skills component
- The curriculum is modified, and/or alternative curriculum expectations are provided, to meet the individual needs of students
- An IEP containing specific expectations is designed collaboratively for each student according to learning needs and abilities. The IEP is based on, and modified by, the results of continuous assessment and evaluation
- Student progress is formally reported as per the reporting structure of the OCDSB on progress reports, report cards and/or IEPs
- The IEP includes a transition plan and should include work experience opportunities wherever possible





**Special Education Class for students with Developmental Disabilities
(Specialized Schools)** (25 elementary classes total between the two schools)

Placements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> specialized schools with classes at the following levels: kindergarten, primary, junior, intermediate and senior up to eight students per class
Admissions Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> moderate to severe delays in intellectual ability as measured on a psychological assessment serious delays in academic progress moderate to severe delays in adaptive functioning
Criteria for Change in Placement
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> has social and/or academic needs which can be met more successfully within another placement no longer benefiting from specialized placement evidence of ongoing successful integration not complying with program expectations
Available Resources
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> one teacher with special education qualifications (Part I) educational assistants Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher

Program

Clifford Bowey Public School and Crystal Bay Centre for Special Education offer specialized school settings for pupils with developmental disabilities.

- Focus of the program is to develop life skills which include communication skills, self-help skills, and an introduction to basic academic skills
- CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services provide support for therapy-based programming in the areas of occupational therapy and physiotherapy. LHIN School Health Professional Services provide nursing support.
- An IEP containing specific expectations is designed collaboratively for each student according to learning needs and abilities. The IEP is based on, and modified by, the results of continuous assessment and evaluation
- Student progress is formally reported as per the reporting structure of the OCDSB on report cards and/or IEP
- It includes a transition plan and should include work experience opportunities, as appropriate





Special Education Class for Students with Mild Intellectual Disabilities (MID) (Storefront Program) – (1 secondary class)

Placements

- system-based Storefront Program for students with special education needs aged 19–21 years of age with MID
- up to twelve students

Admissions Criteria

- students must be 19 years of age
- students must currently be attending a program for students with mild intellectual disability or developmental disability
- students must have supporting documentation which will include: written recommendation of the sending school/program, completed transition skills checklist, and written evaluations regarding previous successful work experience
- students must participate in a structured interview

Criteria for Change in Placement

- reaches the age of 21
- has social and/or academic needs which can be met more successfully within another placement
- no longer benefiting from specialized placement
- evidence of on-going successful integration
- not complying with program expectations

Available Resources

- one teacher with special education qualifications
- educational assistant and job coach are assigned to the class
- Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher

Program

Upon completion of the program, it is hoped that the students will be prepared to take their place in the workforce with a minimum of supervision.

- The students work in the community five mornings per week, 2.5 hours daily, for seven to eight weeks
- Over the course of two years at Storefront, students will participate in 10 work-experience placements
- The life skills component of the program is delivered in the afternoons
- An IEP containing specific expectations is designed for each student according to learning needs and abilities. This plan is based on, and modified by, the results of continuous assessment and evaluation

Evaluation Methods

- Assessment and evaluation are ongoing throughout the year





- OCDSB report cards and IEPs formally record the student's progress
- The progress of a formally identified student is reviewed on an annual basis through the IPRC process

Alternative Placements

The school team will meet with the parent(s)/guardian(s) to discuss alternative placements if necessary. Placements may include the following:

- other special education placement options if appropriate
- regular classroom with monitoring from the LST
- regular classroom with support from the LST

For Further Information

- Consult the OCDSB *Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide*.

For more detailed information, contact the appropriate person from the list below:

- the staff of the Storefront Program
- the principal of the school
- Learning Support Services personnel serving the school

Category: Physical

Exceptionality: Physical Disability

Ministry Definition

A condition of such severe physical limitation or deficiency as to require special assistance in learning situations to provide the opportunity for educational achievement equivalent to that of pupils without exceptionalities who are of the same age or developmental level.

Special Education Class for Physical Disabilities/Physical Support Program (PSP)

(2 elementary classes, 24 secondary sections)

Placements

- specialized classes at the following levels: primary, junior, intermediate, and senior
- up to 12 students per class

Admissions Criteria

- medical diagnosis of a physical disability with significant programming adaptation requirements





Special Education Class for Physical Disabilities/Physical Support Program

(continued)

Criteria for Change in Placement
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the student's physical condition no longer requires therapeutic interventions offered in the program • the student's needs will be better met in an alternate placement • no longer benefiting from specialized placement • evidence of ongoing successful integration • not complying with program expectations
Available Resources
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • one teacher with special education qualifications (Parts I and II) • Educational assistants allocated based on students' needs • Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher

Program

- At the elementary and secondary level, the physical support programs address the academic and physical needs of students at the appropriate level
- An IEP containing specific expectations is designed for each student reflecting learning needs and abilities
- CHEO School Based Rehabilitation Services provides support for therapy-based programming in the areas of occupational therapy, physiotherapy, nursing and speech disorders (if eligible)
- There is ongoing liaison with CHEO Development and Rehabilitation (Children's Treatment Centre services) for those students who enter from this service.

Evaluation Methods

- Assessment and evaluation are ongoing throughout the year. OCDSB Report Cards, Alternative Report Cards (if applicable) and IEPs formally record the student's progress
- The progress of a formally identified student is reviewed on an annual basis through the IPRC process

Alternative Placements

The school team will meet with the parent(s)/guardian(s) to discuss alternative placements if necessary. Placements may include the following:

- Other special education placement options if appropriate
- CHEO OCTC School (Early Intervention Program – JK/SK levels – option if appropriate)
- Regular classroom with support from the LST/LRT
- Regular classroom with monitoring from the LST/LRT





For Further Information

- Consult the OCDSB *Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide*
- For more detailed information, contact the principal of the student's school, or Learning Support Services personnel serving the school

Exceptionality: Blind and Low Vision

Ministry Definition

A condition of partial or total impairment of sight or vision that, even with correction, affects educational performance adversely.

Placements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • regular classroom with specialized support
Admissions Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 20/70 or worse in best eye with best correction as determined by an ophthalmological/optometry report
Range of Support
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • blind students generally receive up to 50 per cent itinerant support • need for braille, tactile and adaptive program • requires intensive support • low vision support individualized (from itinerant 1–2 times a week to monitoring visits 2–4 times a year)
Available Resources
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • teacher with specialized qualification in Blind/Low Vision. Some also have certification to teach orientation and mobility • orientation and mobility instructor • classroom teacher • Educational assistants allocated based on student's needs • Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher • specialized equipment as needed

Program/Service Delivery Model

ITB/LVs provide:

- A school-based functional vision assessment to determine frequency of service and educational strategies
- Direct instruction in Braille and other tactile learning strategies
- Adaptation of curriculum materials (Braille, e-text, auditory, large print)





- Orientation and mobility instruction (safe travel techniques)
- Training in specialized equipment including computer hardware and software, optical aids, and other specialized equipment used in the classroom
- Provide consultation and support to schools concerning needs related to vision

Evaluation Methods

- Student's visual efficiency, tactile learning, orientation and mobility, and alternate skills are assessed regularly by the itinerant teacher, including functional vision assessments, observation and checklists
- Assessment and evaluation are ongoing throughout the year
- Student progress is formally reported as per the reporting structure of the OCDSB report cards and IEP, as well as anecdotal reports where appropriate
- The progress of a formally identified student is reviewed on an annual basis through the IPRC process

Alternative Placements

The school team will meet with the parent(s)/guardian(s) to discuss alternative placements if necessary. Placements may include the following:

- Other special education placement options if appropriate
- Provincial school for the blind and deaf-blind, and the Francophone school for the deaf, blind, deaf-blind and for those with learning disabilities
- Regular classroom with monitoring from the LST/LRT
- Regular classroom with support from the LST/LRT

For Further Information

- Consult the *Ottawa-Carleton Education Program for Students with Visual Impairment* brochure about the program
- Consult the OCDSB *Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide*. For more detailed information, contact the principal of the student's school or the Lead ITB/LV or Learning Support Services personnel serving the school





Category: Multiple

Exceptionality: Multiple

Ministry Definition

A combination of learning or other disorders, impairments, or physical disabilities, that is of such nature as to require, for educational achievement, the services of one or more teachers holding qualifications in special education and the provision of support services appropriate for such disorders, impairments, or disabilities.

Range of Placements

Depending on the student's strengths and needs, placements can range from a regular class to a special education class. While there are no special education classes devoted to students with multiple exceptionalities, these students' program needs can be met in a variety of placements.

For Further Information

- Consult the OCDSB *Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide*
- For more detailed information, contact the principal of the student's school, or Learning Support Services personnel serving the school

Exceptionality: Mild Intellectual Disability and Behaviour

Ministry Definition of Mild Intellectual Disability

A learning disorder characterized by:

- a. an ability to profit educationally within a regular class with the aid of considerable curriculum modification and supportive service
- b. an inability to profit educationally within a regular class because of slow intellectual development
- c. a potential for academic learning, independent social adjustment, and economic self-support

Ministry Definition of Behaviour

A learning disorder characterized by specific behaviour problems over such a period of time, and to such a marked degree, and of such a nature, as to adversely affect educational performance; and that may be accompanied by one or more of the following:

- a. an inability to build or to maintain interpersonal relationships
- b. excessive fears or anxieties
- c. a tendency to compulsive reaction





- d. an inability to learn that cannot be traced to intellectual, sensory, or other health factors, or any combination thereof

Special Education Class for students requiring a Dual Support Program (DSP)
(3 elementary classes; 32 secondary sections)

Placements
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> specialized classes at the following levels: junior, intermediate, senior up to ten students per class
Admissions Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> significantly below-average intellectual potential as measured on a psychological assessment serious delays in the acquisition of fundamental academic skills exacerbated by behaviour problems impaired adaptive functioning (e.g., coping with life demands, personal independence) history requiring frequent discipline due to disruption, non-compliance, physical/verbal aggression, impulsivity, etc. history of socially unacceptable behavior
Criteria for Change in Placement
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> does not require the same degree of alternative programming has academic and/or social needs that could be met more successfully within a different special class setting has the ability to succeed in a regular class with LST/LRT support/ monitoring no longer benefiting from specialized placement evidence of ongoing successful integration not complying with program expectations
Available Resources
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> one teacher with special education qualifications (Parts I and II) educational assistants Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher

Program

- The focus of the program is to provide a structured learning environment with the opportunity to develop appropriate life and social skills
- The program allows for integration into regular classrooms and/or school activities
- An IEP containing specific expectations with a focus on behaviour is designed for each student, according to learning needs and abilities. This plan is based on, and modified by, the results of continuous assessment and evaluation including consultation with parent(s)/guardian(s) on an ongoing basis





Evaluation Methods

- Student assessment and evaluation are ongoing throughout the year
- Student progress is formally reported as per the reporting structure of the OCDSB on report cards and IEP
- The progress of a formally identified student is reviewed on an annual basis through the IPRC process

Alternative Placements

The school team will meet with the parent(s)/guardian(s) to discuss alternative placements if necessary. Placements may include the following:

- other special education placement options if appropriate
- regular classroom with monitoring from the LST/LRT
- regular classroom with support from the LST/LRT

For Further Information

- Consult the OCDSB Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide
- For more detailed information, contact the principal of the student's school, or LSS personnel serving the school

Program: Primary Special Needs (PSN)

Special Education Class for students with Primary Special Needs (PSN)

(9 elementary classes)

Placements

- specialized classes at primary level
- up to 10 students per class

Admissions Criteria

- need for one or more years in an intensive support program
- scores significantly below the average range, but above the developmentally disabled range on a standardized individual psychological test of intellectual ability
- in the age-equiv. range of grade 1 to 3
- significant developmental delays in two or more of the following areas: intellectual, academic and social/emotional development, language, physical (fine and gross motor)





Special Education Class for students with Primary Special Needs *(continued)*

Criteria for Change in Placement
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • has turned or will turn 9 years old during the calendar year • does not require the same degree of specialized programming • has the ability to succeed in a regular class with LST/LRT support and appropriate modifications and accommodations • on-going assessment determines that a different placement could better meet the student's needs • no longer benefiting from specialized placement • evidence of ongoing successful integration • not complying with program expectations
Available Resources
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • one teacher with special education qualifications (Part I, Part II preferred) • educational assistant • Learning Support Services personnel provide assessment and consultative services to the special education teacher

Program

- The primary special needs program provides opportunities for each student to progress in all relevant areas of development
- The focus of the program is to develop academic, communication and social/emotional skills
- An IEP containing specific expectations is designed for each student according to learning needs and abilities. This plan is based on, and modified by, the results of continuous formal and informal assessment
- For most students, integration into regular classrooms and school activities is considered to be an essential part of this program

Evaluation Methods

- Assessment and evaluation are ongoing throughout the year
- Student progress is formally reported as per the reporting structure of the OCDSB on report cards and IEP
- The progress of a formally identified student is reviewed on an annual basis through the IPRC process

Alternative Placements

The school team will meet with the parent(s)/guardian(s) to discuss alternative placements if necessary. Placements may include the following:

- other special education placement options if appropriate





- regular classroom with monitoring from the LST/LRT
- regular classroom with support from the LST/LRT

For Further Information

- Consult the OCDSB Learning Support Services Identification, Placement and Review Parent Guide
- For more detailed information, contact the principal of the student's school, or Learning Support Services personnel serving the school

Looking Forward

The Board will:

- continue to provide a range of placement options for all students
- continue to review the quality of its specialized programs to meet the needs of special needs students through the Quality Program Indicators





Individual Education Plans (IEP)

Purpose of the Standard

To inform the Ministry and the public about the ways in which the Board is complying with Ministry requirements for implementing IEP.

An IEP is a written plan describing the special education program and/or services required by a particular student. The IEP outlines the student's areas of strengths and needs as well as the accommodations a student requires for instruction and assessment. It may identify learning expectations that are modified from the Ontario curriculum and/or any alternative program areas.

Implementation of the Ministry of Education Standards

As per *Regulation 181/98*, all students in the OCDSB who are identified as exceptional by an Identification, Placement and Review Committee (IPRC) have an IEP. The proper implementation and monitoring of the IEP depend on appropriate sharing of information among those involved in executing goals and expectations set out in the IEP. The monitoring and review of the IEP is overseen by the principal and the implementation of the IEP is the responsibility of the classroom teachers, parents, and students who are 16 years of age or older. An IEP may be developed for a student who has not been formally identified as exceptional but who requires a special education program and/or services. In these cases, an IEP is required when the student regularly requires accommodations for instructional or assessment purposes or requires the use of SEA equipment but is not identified.

IEP Development for Identified Students

Students identified as exceptional through an IPRC must have an IEP developed within 30 instructional days after the beginning of the new placement or a new school year. In many cases students will already have an IEP in place.

IEP Development for Non-Identified Students

The multi-disciplinary team may recommend the development of an IEP based on the profile of the student. The decision to develop an IEP for a student is not limited by a student meeting criteria for a specific exceptionality. Some students that do not meet criteria for a specific exceptionality may require an IEP to document necessary special education services, program modifications, program accommodations or a Special Education Amount (SEA) claim funded by the Ministry. A parent / guardian may request that a school consider the development of an IEP. The standards for an IEP apply equally to the IEPs of both identified and non-identified students.





Purpose of an IEP

The IEP will:

- be developed for each student who is identified as exceptional through the Identification Placement and Review Committee (IPRC) process;
- may be developed for any student who is receiving special education programs and services but who may not have been formally identified as exceptional;
- be developed within 30 instructional days of the student's first day in the program;
- be developed, implemented, and monitored in a collaborative manner with (parent(s)/guardian(s), student, the school team, teachers, and Learning Support Services personnel;
- outline expectations, strategies, and resources to facilitate learning based on the individual student's needs, strengths, interests, and history;
- include a transition plan for: all students who have an IEP as per *Policy/Program Memorandum 156*, students 14 years of age or older as per Regulation 181/98; and students with autism as per *Policy/Program Memorandum 140*;
- be reviewed and/or revised once each reporting period.

Transition Plans

Transition plans are included in the IEP and are developed to assist students in successful transitions (e.g. from class to class, from high school to post-secondary activities, etc.) by outlining goals, actions required to meet those goals, identifying individuals responsible for the actions, and specifying timelines to guide the plan. The creation of transition plans are legislated in the following regulatory and policy documents:

Ontario Regulation 181/98 requires that for exceptional students who are age 14 or older and who are not identified solely as gifted, the student's IEP must include a transition plan for the student's transition from school to work, for further education, and/or community living.

Policy / Program Memorandum (PPM) No. 140, "Incorporating Methods of Applied Behaviour Analysis (ABA)" states that school board staff must plan for the transition between various activities and settings involving students with autism spectrum disorders.

Policy / Program Memorandum (PPM) No. 156 outlines for school boards and schools new requirements for transition plans for students with special education needs from Kindergarten to Grade 12, September 2014.

In practice, schools within the OCDSB engage in a range of transition planning to support students as they transition from one placement to another. A key component to successful transition planning is ensuring that all of the required information is shared





seamlessly with the schools and staff involved. The transition plan is developed as a component of the IEP. All transition plans must be developed in consultation with the parent / guardian, student (as appropriate), the postsecondary institution (where appropriate), and relevant community agencies and/or partners, as necessary. Every transition plan will identify specific transition goals, the actions required to achieve the goals, roles and responsibilities, and timelines for the implementation and/or completion of each identified action. Each transition plan will be reviewed and/or revised at least once each reporting period to identify tasks completed to date and to include new ones that are individualized and appropriate for the student.

Dispute Resolution Process

If differences of opinion around the content of an IEP should arise, these concerns will be mediated at the school level. It is the goal that through a collaborative process that successful programming for students be achieved. Therefore, every effort is made to resolve differences through a shared approach between parent / guardian and school staff. In the event of unresolved concerns, parents will work with Superintendent of Instruction for the school. Also, the OCDSB has a Complaint Resolution policy and procedure in place. It can be accessed via the following link:

[OCDSB Complaint Resolution Procedure.](#)

The Ministry of Education also has a resource for dispute resolution entitled [Shared Solutions: A Guide to Preventing and Resolving Conflicts Regarding Programs and Services for Students with Special Education Needs.](#)

Results of the Ministry of Education IEP Review

There were no Ministry of Education IEP reviews in the 2018-19 school year.





IEP Cover Page	
Name: _____	DOB: _____
Grade: _____	Student OEN: _____
REASON FOR DEVELOPING THE IEP <input type="checkbox"/> Student identified as exceptional by IPRC <input type="checkbox"/> Student not formally identified but requires special education program/services, including modified/alternative learning expectations and/or accommodations	
STUDENT PROFILE Gender: _____ School Year: _____ Most Recent IPRC Date: _____ Statement of Decision: <input type="checkbox"/> Exceptional <input type="checkbox"/> Not Exceptional <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Identified Exceptionality 1: _____ Exceptionality 2: _____	
Special Education Placement: <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Regular class with indirect support <input type="checkbox"/> Regular class with withdrawal assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Special education class full time </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Regular class with resource assistance <input type="checkbox"/> Special education class with partial integration </div> </div>	
Reason for Placement: Program: _____	

Page 1

IEP Cover Page	
Name: _____	DOB: _____
Grade: _____	Student OEN: _____
Exceptionality Definitions	
Exceptionality	Ministry of Education Definition

Page 2





Assessments			
Name: _____		DOB: _____	
Grade: _____		Student OEN: _____	
ASSESSMENT DATA List relevant educational, medical/health (hearing, vision, physical, neurological), psychological, speech/language, occupational, physiotherapy, and behavioural assessments.			
Information Source	Date	Summary of Results	Comments
Areas of Strength		Areas of Need	
Health Support Services/Personal Support Required <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (list below) <input type="checkbox"/> No			
<input type="checkbox"/> Catheterization <input type="checkbox"/> Injections <input type="checkbox"/> Muscle strengthening <input type="checkbox"/> Stretching <input type="checkbox"/> Toileting <input type="checkbox"/> Other		<input type="checkbox"/> Dressing <input type="checkbox"/> Lifting <input type="checkbox"/> Personal care <input type="checkbox"/> Suctioning <input type="checkbox"/> Tube feeding	
Health Support Additional Notes:			
Page 3			





Courses and Accommodations		
Name: _____	DOB: _____	
Grade: _____	Student OEN: _____	
SUBJECTS, COURSES, OR ALTERNATIVE PROGRAMS TO WHICH THE IEP APPLIES <i>Identify each as Modified (MOD), Accommodated only (AC), or Alternative (ALT)</i>		
1. _____	<input type="checkbox"/> MOD	<input type="checkbox"/> AC <input type="checkbox"/> ALT
Elementary Program Exemptions or Secondary School Compulsory Course Substitutions <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (provide educational rationale) <input type="checkbox"/> No		
Complete for secondary students only: Student is currently working towards attainment of the: <input type="checkbox"/> Ontario Secondary School Diploma <input type="checkbox"/> Ontario Secondary School Certificate <input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Accomplishment		
ACCOMMODATIONS (Accommodations are assumed to be the same for all subjects to which they are applicable, unless otherwise indicated)		
Instructional Accommodations	Environmental Accommodations	Assessment Accommodations
Individualized Equipment <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (list below) <input type="checkbox"/> No SEA Status: _____		
PROVINCIAL ASSESSMENTS (Accommodations and Exemptions) Provincial assessments applicable to the student in the current school year: _____		
Accommodations: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (list below) <input type="checkbox"/> No		
Exemptions: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (provide explanatory statement from relevant EQAO document) <input type="checkbox"/> No		
Deferred: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (provide explanatory statement from relevant EQAO document) <input type="checkbox"/> No		

Page 4

Courses and Accommodations	
Name: _____	DOB: _____
Grade: _____	Student OEN: _____
Deferred: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (provide explanatory statement from relevant EQAO document) <input type="checkbox"/> No	

Page 5





Special Education Program

Name: _____

DOB: _____

Grade: _____

Student OEN: _____

To be completed for each subject/course with modified expectations and/or each alternative program with alternative expectations

Teacher: _____

Subject/Course/Alternative Program: _____

Current Level of Achievement: _____

Level of Achievement for Alternative Program: _____

Prerequisite course (if applicable) _____

Letter grade/Mark _____

Curriculum grade level (last June) _____

Annual Program Goal(s): A goal statement describing what the student can reasonably be expected to accomplish by the end of the school year in a particular subject, course, or alternative program.

Page 6

Transition

Name: _____

DOB: _____

Grade: _____

Student OEN: _____

Transition Goals:

Strategies / Actions Required	Additional Comment(s)	Person(s) Responsible	Timelines

Page 7





Human Resources & IEP Team

Name: _____

DOB: _____

Grade: _____

Student OEN: _____

HUMAN RESOURCES (teaching/non-teaching)

Service	Provider	Initiation Date	Frequency	Location(s)

EVALUATION

Reporting Dates: _____

Reporting Format

- ☐ Provincial Report Card (required unless student's program comprises alternative expectations only)
- ☐ Alternative Report

IEP TEAM

IEP Developed by:

Staff Member	Position	Staff Member	Position
--------------	----------	--------------	----------

Sources Consulted in the Development of the IEP

Date of Placement in Special Education Program (select the appropriate option)

- ☐ 1) First day of attendance in new special education program
- ☐ 2) First day of the new school year or semester in which the student is continuing in a placement
- ☐ 3) First day of the student's enrolment in a special education program that he/she begins in mid-year or mid-semester as the result of a change of placement

Date of Placement: _____

Completion Date of IEP Development Phase

(within 30 school days following the Date of Placement): _____

Page 8





Consultation Log & Signatures

Name: _____ DOB: _____
Grade: _____ Student OEN: _____

Log of parent/student consultation and staff review/update for current school year.

Date	Activity (indicate parent/student consultation or staff review)	Outcome	Staff Involved
------	--	---------	----------------

The principal is legally required to ensure that the IEP is properly implemented and monitored.

This IEP has been developed according to the ministry's standards and appropriately addresses the student's strengths and needs. The learning expectations will be reviewed and the student's achievement evaluated at least once every reporting period.

Signature of Principal _____ Date _____

Involvement of Parent/Guardian and Student (if student is 16 or older)

I was consulted in the development of this IEP ☐ Parent(s)/Guardian(s) ☐ Student
I declined the opportunity to be consulted in the development of this IEP ☐ Parent(s)/Guardian(s) ☐ Student
I have received a copy of this IEP ☐ Parent(s)/Guardian(s) ☐ Student

Parent(s)/Guardian(s)/Adult Student Comments:

Signature of Parent(s)/Guardian(s)/Student (if 16 or older) _____ Date _____

Signature of Parent(s)/Guardian(s)/Student (if 16 or older) _____ Date _____

Page 9





IEP Consultation Form

Name: _____

DOB: _____

Grade: _____

Student OEN: _____

Please complete areas of this form that you feel will assist staff in developing the IEP for your child. Please return the form by the date noted in the attached letter to the staff member responsible for the IEP. Please note that input may be considered in the development of your child's IEP.

1. Medical:

Is there any medical condition or concern which you feel may impact your child's learning? (Please include any changes to your child's medical condition.)

2. Testing/Assessment Results:

Are there any recent testing/assessment results which would be helpful in programming for your child?

3. Previously Successful Strategies:

Are there strategies which have worked particularly well for your child in the past?

4. Parental or Other Supports:

Are there supports that you have put in place (such as homework routines, assistive technology, tutoring, etc.) which would be helpful for school staff to know about?

5. Priorities: What do you consider to be a priority for your child's learning this year?

6. Other: Is there any other information you feel may impact on your child's learning (e.g. strengths and/or areas of need)?

Thank you for your contribution to your child's success at school.

Signature of Parent(s)/Guardian(s)/Student (if 16 or older)

Date

Signature of Parent(s)/Guardian(s)/Student (if 16 or older)

Date

Page 10





IEP Summary Layout CONFIDENTIAL (For teacher/school use only)		
STUDENT PROFILE		
Student: _____	ID: _____	OEN: _____
Gender: _____	DOB: _____	Grade: _____
School: _____	Homeroom: _____	
Principal: _____		
Most Recent IPRC Date: _____		Date Annual Review Waived by Parent/Guardian: _____
Exceptionality 1: <input style="width: 150px;" type="text"/>	Exceptionality 2: <input style="width: 150px;" type="text"/>	
Placement Decision	Recommended Program	
STUDENT STRENGTHS AND NEEDS		
Areas of Strength	Areas of Need	
ACCOMMODATIONS (Accommodations are assumed to be the same for all subjects to which they are applicable, unless otherwise indicated)		
Instructional Accommodations	Environmental Accommodations	Assessment Accommodations
PROVINCIAL ASSESSMENTS (Accommodations and Exemptions) Provincial assessments applicable to the student in the current school year:		
Accommodations:		
Modified Subjects:		
Comments:		

Page 11

Ministry of Education Exceptionalities

No Exceptionality Developmental Disability Blind and Low Vision Physical Disability	Multiple Exceptionalities Speech Impairment Behavioural
--	---





OCDSB Specialized Programs	
Autism Spectrum Disorder Secondary Credit Support Program Autism Spectrum Disorder Program Behaviour Intervention Program Deaf/Hard of Hearing Program (Congregated) Developmental Disabilities Program Dual Support Program General Learning Program	General Learning Program/Storefront Gifted Specialized Program Language Learning Disabilities Program Learning Disability Program (Sec.) Learning Disabilities Specialized Intervention program (Elem.) Physical Support Program Primary Special Needs Regular Class
Placement Options	
FSC - Fully self-contained IS – Indirect Service PI - Partially Integrated	RA - Resource Assistance WA - Withdrawal Assistance

The following sections are samples of possible information that are a part of the IEP Online (IOL) platform. The information is evidence-based and subject to change.

Strengths	
Artistic Expression Auditory Braille Skills Communication Skills Creative Problem Solving Daily Living Skills Decoding Expressive Language Fine Motor Skills General Knowledge Gross Motor Skills Intellectual Aptitude Interpersonal Skills Keyboarding Kinesthetic Memory Skills Mobility Skills Multimodal Learning Style Musical/Rhythmic Ability	Numeration Orientation Skills Organizational Skills Perseverance/ Motivation to Learn Positive Attitude Problem Solving Skills Reading Comprehension Receptive Language Receptive/Expressive Language Self-Advocacy Skills Self-Regulation Skills Sign Language Skills Tactile Task Persistence Time Management Skills Visual Visual Perceptions Skills Word Attack Skills Written Expression





Needs	
Attention skills Auditory perceptual skills Auditory skills Braille skills Communication skills Creative thinking skills Critical thinking skills Decoding Skills Expressive Language Fine motor skills Gross motor skills Impulse control skills Information processing skills Leadership skills Memory skills Mobility skills Non-verbal communication skills Numeration Organizational skills Orientation and mobility skills	Orientation Skills Personal Care Skills Personal Safety Skills Problem Solving Skills Reading Comprehension Receptive Language Receptive/expressive Language Residual Hearing and Auditory Skills Self-advocacy Skills Self-regulation Skills Sign Language Skills Social Skills Spatial Skills Speech/articulation Skills Tactile Perceptual Skills Task Persistence Skills Time Management Skills Visual efficiency Visual motor integration Visual Perception Skills Visual Perceptual
Assessment Sources	
Audiological assessment Behavioural assessment Developmental assessment Educational assessment Functional visual assessment Group ability test e.g., Canadian Cognitive Abilities Test Medical assessment Occupational therapy assessment Orientation skills Personal care skills	Personal safety skills Pediatric assessment Physiotherapy assessment Psychiatric assessment Psychological assessment Psycho-Educational assessment Social work assessment Speech/language assessment Vision Technology assessment Wechsler-Fundamentals assessment Orientation and Mobility assessment





Assessment Summary

Report describes significant behavioural problems
 Report indicates adaptive equipment essential to access the curriculum
 Report indicates areas of need in ...
 Report indicates assistive technology essential to access the curriculum
 Report indicates blind/low vision
 Report indicates mild adaptive functioning delays
 Report indicates mild articulation difficulty
 Report indicates mild developmental delays
 Report indicates mild hearing loss
 Report indicates mild intellectual disability
 Report indicates mild/moderate/severe adaptive functioning delays
 Report indicates mild/moderate/severe articulation difficulty
 Report indicates mild/moderate/severe developmental delays
 Report indicates mild/moderate/severe/profound hearing loss
 Report indicates moderate adaptive functioning delays
 Report indicates moderate articulation difficulty
 Report indicates moderate developmental delays
 Report indicates moderate hearing loss
 Report indicates profound hearing loss
 Report Indicates sensory equipment essential to access the curriculum
 Report indicates severe adaptive functioning delays
 Report indicates severe articulation difficulty
 Report indicates severe developmental delays
 Report indicates severe hearing loss.
 Report provides diagnosis of ...
 Report provides diagnosis of Asperger's Syndrome
 Report provides diagnosis of Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
 Report provides diagnosis of Autism
 Report provides diagnosis of Developmental Disability
 Report provides diagnosis of Learning Disability
 Report provides diagnosis of Oppositional Defiant Disorder
 Test results indicate very superior intellectual functioning

Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO)

Annually, in preparation for the provincial assessments, EQAO provides school districts with key information related to accommodations and exemptions. For current information, please visit: <http://www.eqao.com>





Accommodations

Below are accommodations:

Instructional	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anxiety/stress reducers • Ability grouping • Assistive technology • Audio texts • Augmentative and alternative communications systems • Buddy/peer tutoring • Carry and match system • Close-ended activities • Colour cues • Computer options • Concrete/hands-on materials • Contracts • Creative thinking tasks • Critical thinking tasks • Differentiated tasks • Dramatizing information • Duplicated notes • Extra time for processing • Fading prompts • First/Then • Forward/backward chaining • Functional tasks • Gesture cues • Graphic organizers • High structure • Increased challenge through higher level thinking skills • Large-size font • Leveled breaks • Manipulatives • Memory aids • Mind maps • More frequent breaks • Multi-sensory presentations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-verbal signals • Prompts to return student's attention to task • Note-taking assistance • Organization coaching • Partnering • Positive reinforcement • Pre-cueing • Preferred activities/items/topics • Product differentiation • Prompting (verbal, visual) • Prompting/modeling/redirection/fading • Provide choice • Reduced/simplified language • Reduced/uncluttered format • Reduction in the number of tasks used to practice a concept or skill • Rehearsal strategies • Reinforcement incentives • Repetition of information • Rewording/rephrasing of information • Sensory diet • Sensory objects/manipulative/toys • Shaping • Shaping/chaining • Small sequential steps • Social Narratives • Social skills coaching • Spatially cued formats • Tactile tracing strategies • Time-management aids • Tracking sheets • Verbal cues • Visual cueing • Visual supports/schedules • Word-retrieval prompts





Environmental	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acoustic treatment of workspace • Alternative work space • Area of individual leisure and social leisure • Assistive devices or adaptive equipment • Consistent classroom rules and routines • Minimizing of background noise • Hush ups • Minimal visual distractions • Office/work system • Predictable environment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preparation for transitions • Proximity to instructor • Quiet setting • Reduction of audio/visual stimuli • Sensory equipment • Sensory room • Special lighting • Strategic seating • Structured learning environment • Study carrel • Use of headphones • Visual supports
Assessment	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alternate products • Alternative settings • Alternative work location • Alternative work space • Alternative time to write tests • Assessing over multiple sessions • Assessment embedded in regular programming • Assessment paired with reinforcement • Assistive devices or adaptive equipment • Assistive technology software • Audio version • Augmentative and alternative communications systems • Braille • Breaks • Check for understanding of instructions • Checklists • Chunk time of assessment • Cloze • Colour contrasted materials • Colour cues • Computer options 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conferencing • Covered overlays • Daily logs • Dark lined paper • Demonstration of task • Enlarged worksheets • Extended time limits • Extra time for processing • Frequent breaks • Highlight key information on test • Intermittent reinforcement during assessment • Interpreter • Large print • Large-size font • Learning goals checklist (individualized) • Lighting • Manipulatives • Matching • Memory aids • Multiple choice • Oral responses • Performance-based tasks • Product differentiation • Prompts for time management





Assessment *(continued)*

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce quantity of test items • Reduced/uncluttered format • Reduction in the number of tasks used to assess a concept or skill • Rubric (individualized) • Scribing • Self-assessment checklist • Signing EA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sound cues to help retrieval • Success criteria checklist (individualized) • Tactile assessments • Uncluttered format • Verbatim scribing • Visual supports • Work samples |
|---|--|

Human Resources

- | | |
|--|--|
| Spec Ed Teacher
ITD/HH
ITB/LV
Teacher
Learning Resource Teacher
Learning Support Teacher
Student Success Teacher
Educational Assistant(s) | Social Worker
Speech/Language Pathologist
Physiotherapist
Psychologist
Psychological Associate
Psychoeducational Consultant
Occupational Therapist |
|--|--|

Human Resources Service Type

- | | |
|--|---|
| Direct Instruction
Instructional Support
Consultation
Reading Instruction | Resource Support
Personal Care
Behaviour Support
Technical Support |
|--|---|

Transition Type (Including ASD Transition Type)

- | | |
|---|---|
| Activity to Activity
Change in Grade Level
Class to Class
Elementary to Secondary School
Entry to School
Home to School/School to Home
Lunch to Class/Class to Lunch
Outside Agency to a School
Program to Program
School Entry to Class/Class to School
Exit
Secondary School to Apprenticeship | School to School
Secondary School to Community Living
Secondary School to Day Program
Secondary School to Supported Employment
Secondary School to World of Work
Secondary to Post Secondary Educational Institution
Setting to Setting
Subject to Subject |
|---|---|





Transition Plan Actions (Including ASD Transitions)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agenda • Agenda/Calendar • Agenda/Shared Calendars • Allow Early/Late Class Dismissal to Travel Halls When Less Congested • Alternative Settings • Apply learning styles information to current courses • Apprenticeship Program • Attend a college or university information session • Attend information session for high school • Body/Sensory Breaks • Calendars • Checklists • Choice Boards • Communication Books(s) • Complete college applications • Complete option sheet in consultation with teachers and high school Special Education Dept. • Complete university applications • Designate Resource Space for Equipment/Materials • Develop a coordinated plan • Develop parent/student knowledge of post-school options • Develop resume • Develop workplace communication skills and behaviour skills • Environment Adaptations • Establish community links re: housing and supported employment • Establish link with student in college/university in relevant program • Examine opportunities within the community (e.g. workshops, courses) • Expand work experience • Expand volunteer opportunities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • First-then board • FM System - transfer and training • Home base/safe place • Home/school communication books • Gather information from high school Special Education Dept. re: special education services • Gather specific information about colleges/universities and special needs departments • In-school meeting(s) • Initiate college visits/tours • Initiate job shadowing • Initiate part-time work • Initiate post-secondary research • Initiate post-secondary visits/tours • Initiate summer work • Initiate supported employment • Initiate university visits/tours • Investigate Ontario Youth • Investigate continuing and adult education • Learn to use OC Transportation Independently • Learn to use Transportation Independently • Model/Practice Desired Behaviour • Non-verbal cues • Organizational Aids • Orientation and mobility supports/training • Participate in IPRC review • Participate in a career fair • Participate in a high school tour/visit • Participate in a work placement visit • Participate in co-op experience • Participate in development of IEP • Participate in mentor program at college or university • Participate in school-work program |
|---|--|





Transition Plan Actions (Including ASD Transitions) *(Continued)*

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Participate in school-work program • Participate in work experience • Peer Assistance • Plan Course Selection • Positive Reinforcement • Power Card • Preferred Activity • Quiet/Calming Area • Relaxation Strategies • Review career selection activities (CHOICES, etc.) • Review course options • Review learning styles inventory • Review occupation information • Role Play • Shared School Information (All About Me Booklet) • Social Narratives 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Social Scripts • Social Skills Coaching • Specific Plan for Meeting • Communication Needs • Stories for Social Understanding • Student Meets Receiving Teacher(s) • Student Orientation (tour, map, locker practice) • Timer • Transfer Equipment • Undertake an internet search on - (field of interest) • Verbal Prompts • Visit to New School/Class • Visual Supports (timer, calendar, visual schedule)
---	---





Provincial and Demonstration Schools in Ontario

Purpose of the Standard

To provide the public with information about the Provincial and Demonstration Schools that are operated for students who are Deaf, blind, or Deafblind, or who have severe learning disabilities, which may include attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)

Provincial/Demonstration Schools

Provincial Schools and Provincial Demonstration Schools are operated by the Ministry of Education and are accessed through an application process that is initiated collaboratively between parents and the student's school. Provincial and Demonstration Schools provide alternative education placement and educational supports for students who are Deaf, blind, Deafblind or who have severe learning disabilities. Admittance to a Provincial School is determined by the Provincial Schools Admission Committee in accordance with the requirements set out in Regulation 296.

Provincial Schools provide residential and day programs. Transportation to Provincial Schools for students is provided by school boards.

All students attending either a Provincial or Demonstration school require an Identification Placement and Review Committee (IPRC) meeting conducted at the child's home school in the OCDSB. Programs at provincial schools are tailored to the needs of the individual students and:

- are delivered by specially-trained teachers;
- follow the Ontario curriculum and alternative expectations as outlined in the Individual Education Plans (IEP);
- offer a full range of programs at both elementary and secondary level

In addition, these schools:

- serve as regional resource centres for students who are Deaf, blind, or Deafblind;
- provide outreach and home visits to preschool for students who are Deaf or Deafblind;
- develop and provide learning materials and media for students who are Deaf or hard of hearing, blind or have low vision, or are Deafblind;
- provide school board staff with resource service; and,
- play a valuable role in teacher training





Current Statistics (2018/2019)

Type of School	School Name	Program	Number of students	Transportation (with escort)
Provincial	W. Ross MacDonald	Blind	0	Plane
Provincial	Ernest C. Drury	Deaf	0	Plane
Provincial	Sir James Whitney	Deaf	5	Highway coach
Provincial	Robarts School	Deaf	0	Plane
Demonstration	Trillium	Deaf	0	Plane
Demonstration	Sagonaska	Learning Disabled	0	Highway coach

Provincial Schools for the Deaf

The following Provincial Schools offer services for Deaf and hard-of-hearing students: Sir James Whitney School for the Deaf in Belleville (serving eastern Ontario), Ernest C. Drury School for the Deaf in Milton (serving central and northern Ontario), Robarts School for the Deaf in London (serving western Ontario), Centre Jules-Léger in Ottawa (serving francophone students and families throughout Ontario). These schools provide alternative placement for Deaf students from kindergarten through secondary. Programs provide opportunities to acquire and develop language, literacy, numeracy, and inquiry skills in American Sign Language (ASL) and English or French.

Schools for the Deaf

- provide rich and supportive bilingual/bicultural educational environments which facilitate students' language acquisition, learning, and social development through American Sign Language (ASL), English or French;
- operate primarily as day schools;
- provide residential facilities five days per week for those students who do not live within reasonable commuting distance from the school

The following are provided by resource services department at these schools:

- consultation and educational advice to the parent(s) of Deaf and hard-of-hearing children and school board personnel
- information brochures
- a wide variety of workshops for parent(s), school boards, and other agencies
- an extensive home-visiting program delivered to parent(s) of Deaf and hard-of-hearing pre-school children by teachers trained in pre-school and deaf education





Ernest C. Drury School for the Deaf

255 Ontario Street South

Milton, ON L9T 2M5

Tel: (905) 878-2851

TTY: (905) 878-7195

Fax: (905) 878-1354

www.psbnet.ca/eng/schools/ecd/index.html

Robarts School for the Deaf

1515 Cheapside Street,

London, ON N5V 3N9

Tel. and TTY: (519) 453-4400

Fax: (519) 453-7943

www.psbnet.ca/eng/schools/robards/index.html

Sir James Whitney School for the Deaf

350 Dundas Street West

Belleville, ON K8P 1B2

Tel. and TTY: (613) 967-2823

Fax: (613) 967-2857

www.psbnet.ca/eng/schools/sjw/index.html

Provincial School for the Blind and Deafblind

W. Ross Macdonald School is located in Brantford and provides education for blind, low vision, or deafblind students.

The school provides:

- a provincial resource centre for the visually impaired and deafblind children;
- support to local school boards through consultation and the provision of special learning materials, such as braille materials, audiotapes, and large-print textbooks;
- professional services and guidance to ministries of education on an inter-provincial, cooperative basis;

Programs at this school:

- are tailored to the needs of the individual student and are designed to help these students learn to live independently in a non-sheltered environment;
- are delivered by specially trained teachers;
- follow the Ontario curriculum developed for all students in the province;
- offer a full range of courses at the secondary level
- offer courses in special subject areas such as music, broad-based technology, family studies, physical education, and mobility training;
- offer a comprehensive life-skills program;
- provide assistance in preparing pre-school deafblind children for future education





W. Ross Macdonald School

350 Brant Avenue
 Brantford, ON N3T 3J9
 Tel: (519) 759-0730
 Fax: (519) 759-4741
<https://pdsbnet.ca/en/schools/w-ross-macdonald/>

Provincial Demonstration Schools

The Provincial Demonstration schools provide a specialized residential program for students with severe learning disabilities. The length of stay at a Provincial Demonstration School is typically one year in a highly specialized and resourced special program. It should be noted that the primary responsibility to provide appropriate educational programs for students with learning disabilities remains with school boards. Since Provincial Demonstrations Schools are to act as a resource to school boards rather than to function as a separate education system, every effort is made to help the student return to the school in their local community as soon as possible. Transition planning with the community school board is key to this process.

Each provincial demonstration school has an enrolment of no more than forty students. The language of instruction at the Amethyst, Sagonaska, and Trillium schools is English; at Centre Jules-Léger, instruction is in French.

Provincial Schools Branch Ministry of Education

255 Ontario Street South
 Milton, ON L9T 2M5
 Tel: (905) 878-2851
 Fax: (905) 878-5405
<https://pdsbnet.ca/en/>

Amethyst School

1090 Highbury Avenue
 London, ON N5Y 4V9
 Tel: (519) 453-4408
 Fax: (519) 453-2160
<https://pdsbnet.ca/en/schools/amethyst/>

Sagonaska School

350 Dundas Street West
 Belleville, ON K8P 1B2
 Tel: (613) 967-2830 ext. 320
 Fax: (613) 967-2482
<https://pdsbnet.ca/en/schools/sagonaska/>





Trillium School

347 Ontario Street South

Milton, ON L9T 3X9

Tel: (905) 878-8428

Fax: (905) 878-7540

<https://pdsbnet.ca/en/schools/trillium/>

Francophone School for the Deaf, Blind, DeafBlind and for those with Learning Disabilities

Centre Jules-Leger

281, rue Lanark

Ottawa, ON K1Z 6R8

Tel: (613) 761-9300

TTY: (613) 761-9302, (613) 761-9304

Fax: (613) 761-9301

<https://centrejulesleger.ca/en/>





Special Education Staff

Purpose of the Standard

To provide specific details on board staff to the Ministry and to the public.

Special Education Staff 2018-2019	Staff Qualifications	Elementary Panel FTE	Secondary Panel FTE
1. Teachers of Exceptional Students			
1.1 Learning Support Teacher / Learning Resource Teacher	Special Education Part 3 (Specialist)	242.75	38.67
1.2 Teachers of Specialized Classes	Minimum of Special Education Part 1	142	85.83
2. Other Special Education Teachers			
2.1 Itinerant Teachers of Blind / Low Vision	Minimum of Special Education Part 1, AQ - Teaching Students who are Blind	8.25	0
2.2 Itinerant Teachers of the Deaf and Hard of Hearing	Minimum of Special Education Part 1, AQ - Deaf Education	11.3	0
2.3 Itinerant Teachers of Social /Emotional Learning	Special Education Part 3 (Specialist)	3.0	0
2.4 Itinerant Teachers of Assistive Technology	Special Education Part 3 (Specialist)	4.0	2.0
2.5 Learning Support Consultants	Special Education Part 3 (Specialist)	14.0	3.0





3.0 Educational Assistants in Special Education		
Educational Assistants	Developmental Service Worker (DSW), Child and Youth Worker(CYW) diploma or an equivalent program	720.0
Other Professional Resource Staff		
4.1 Psychologists and Psychological Associates, Psychoeducational Consultants	Ph.D. or Masters, Psychologists and Psychological Associates are registered with College of Psychologists of Ontario. The Psychoeducational Consultants are supervised by a registered member of the College	28.8
4.2 Speech-Language Pathologists	Masters in Speech-Language Pathology, registration with CASLPO	29.0
4.3 Social Workers	Master of Social Work and registered with The Ontario College of Social Workers and Social Service Workers	28.8
Paraprofessional Resource Staff		
5.1 Orientation and mobility personnel	Orientation and Mobility Specialist	1.0
5.2 Transcribers (for blind students) Brailist	Certified Braille Transcriber or equivalent knowledge	0.5
5.3 Applied Behaviour Analysis (ABA) Coordinator	ABA certificate courses	1.0
5.4 Board Certified Behavior Analyst (BCBA)	Successful completion of the BCBA exam through the Behavior Analyst Certification Board	1.0





A. District Level Support

The following individuals / teams / personnel are available to support the staff in schools:

- Superintendent of Learning Support Services
- System Principals of Learning Support Services
- Managers of Learning Support Services / Mental Health and Critical Services
- Multi-Disciplinary staff
- Assistive Technology Team
- Learning Support Consultants
- Itinerant Teachers (Deaf / Hard of Hearing and Blind / Low Vision)
- Itinerant Educational Assistants
- Professional Student Services Personnel (Social Workers, Psychologists, Speech Language Pathologists)

District Level Staff Roles / Descriptions

All members of the LSS department work in collaboration with teaching personnel and parent(s)/guardian(s) to provide special education supports and services to meet the needs of students and schools. Under the direction of the superintendent and the leadership team, LSS is comprised of:

- Administrative and support personnel
- Braillist
- Educational assistants
- Itinerant teachers Assistive Technology
- Itinerant teachers of Autism
- Itinerant teachers of the Blind/ Low Vision
- Itinerant teachers of the Deaf/ Hard of Hearing
- Itinerant teacher of Learning Disabilities
- Learning Support Consultants
- Psychology staff
- Social Workers
- Speech Language Pathologists

Braillist

- produces Braille transcriptions, electronic Braille transcriptions, e-text, large print materials, and tactile diagrams according to specific requirements as requested by the ITB/LV staff for students;
- maintains the program's blind/low vision inventory of teaching materials and equipment, reference books, computers, and technological equipment;
- is responsible for conservation, storage and inventory of Braille texts in print and electronically;





Itinerant Teachers of Assistive Technology

- support students who have technology based claims through the Special Equipment Amount (SEA) Funding;
- provide consultative services to classroom teachers on assistive technology equipment and software;
- provide staff and student training on assistive technology equipment and software;
- support the implementation and training of Ministry Licensed software for assistive technology system-wide;

Itinerant Teacher Autism

- increases capacity of regular classroom teachers to meet the variety of needs of students with Autism; provides professional development and in class support;
- provides instructional and educational support services to students diagnosed with Autism, including support in the development of ABA strategies;
- consults with teachers and other LSS team members (e.g. ASD team, SLP,)
- provides professional development to all school staff including principals, EA's, ECE, teachers etc.;
- reviews and provide resources including research and assistive technology;
- works collaboratively with the Autism Spectrum Disorder team and the multi-disciplinary teams at individual schools;
- Supports IEP development, programming and transition plan development;

Applied Behaviour Analysis (ABA) Coordinator

- develops an ABA toolkit and resources to support ABA strategies for all schools;
- provides professional development and guidelines on how to use the toolkit and embed ABA strategies into the classroom;
- supports the dedicated space pilot; liaises with medical professionals in the community who provide IBI and ABA support (e.g. CHEO, Portia, etc.);
- supports all Autism initiatives such as; Autism awareness month, parent /guarding conferences and events;
- works collaboratively with the Autism Spectrum Disorder team and the multi-disciplinary teams at individual schools;
- Supports pilot projects and evidence-based social skills development initiatives;

Board Certified Behaviour Analyst (BCBA)

- works to support school staff in understanding how to support students with Autism and behavioural needs;
- provides professional development using the task list from the registered behavioural technician course;
- models and co-teaches the use of BCBA strategies;





- reviews and provides research related to emerging supports available for students with behavioural needs;
- works collaboratively with the Autism Spectrum Disorder team and the multi-disciplinary teams at individual schools;
- supports and mentors staff enrolled in the Registered Behaviour Therapy (RBT) course and oversees the implementation of these strategies into their regular school duties;

Itinerant Teachers of Blind/Low Vision

- direct instruction in Braille and other tactile learning strategies;
- develop accommodations/modifications and acquisition of curriculum materials (Braille, tapes, large print, etc.);
- provide orientation and mobility instruction (i.e., safe travel techniques);
- provide training in specialized equipment including computer hardware and software, optical aids, and other equipment used in the classroom;
- provide consultation and support to schools related to vision;
- provide consultative services and interpretations of vision reports to teaching staff;
- facilitates the transition of students from pre-school/outside agencies to elementary school and from elementary school to secondary schools;
- supports the development of IEPs, programming, and transition plans;
- monitors student needs and provides feedback to school related to supports required as student needs fluctuate;

Itinerant Teachers of the Deaf/Hard of Hearing

- provide assessment, direct instruction, and academic support services to students with hearing losses ranging from mild to profound (K to 12);
- provide consultative services to classroom teachers and school staff, and ongoing guidance to parent(s) of students who are deaf/hard of hearing;
- apply an Auditory-Verbal/ Oral approach to maximize the student's auditory potential, speech and language development, and participation alongside his/her hearing peers;
- provide training and ongoing management of specialized equipment used in the classroom;

Learning Support Consultants (K to 12)

- develop and deliver special education professional development for staff;
- assist school teams with all aspects of special education program and service delivery;
- promote current teaching methodologies and instructional practices which reflect the Ontario curriculum and Ministry documents and direction;





- identify and support best practices in all specialized classes and provide program support;
- central referral to specialized classes committee member;
- Supports IEP development, programming and transition plans

District Level Central Teams

The Assistive Technology Team

- provides support and training district-wide to schools on assistive technology purchased through Special Equipment Amount (SEA) funds;
- coordinates the ordering of SEA equipment;
- coordinates and facilitates training/professional development sessions on SEA equipment and software for students and staff;
- assists in the completion of special equipment applications;

The Autism Spectrum Disorder Team/ Developmental Disability Support Team

- provides direct and consultative program support to schools;
- facilitates transitions for students who are both within and new to the OCDSB;
- provides ongoing support to students with a diagnosis of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and a developmental disability (DD);
- assists in the development and implementation of IEPs, behavioural programs, communication strategies and assessment practices;
- provides ongoing support in the area of professional development in partnership with the CHEO School Support Program;
- supports new Ministry initiatives and pilots related to the field of Autism;
- responds to multi-disciplinary team requests for support as needed;
- provides professional development to the District and the community;
- supports PPM 140 and ABA practices in schools;
- provides resources to schools to support environmental accommodations and recommendations for sensory rooms / spaces;
- provides support to two specialized schools for students with developmental disabilities, Crystal Bay Centre for Special Education and Clifford Bowey Public School and all specialized classes for ASD and DD district-wide;
- provides program support for teachers and administration while working with parent(s)/guardian(s) and community agencies to facilitate new admissions;
- supports an intake process which provides information to schools and parents upon entering into the OCDSB;





The Behaviour Support Team / Social-Emotional Learning Teachers

- provides support to the Behavior Intervention Program (BIP), students, parent(s)/guardian(s), staff, and administration;
- provides consultation and classroom observations specific to students who present challenging behaviours;
- SELTs (Social-Emotional Learning Teacher) provide consultation and classroom observations specific to students demonstrating stress behaviour or challenging behaviour in Kindergarten to grade 3;

The Early Learning Team

- provides class wide and student specific support for Kindergarten educator teams
- the multidisciplinary team includes speech-language pathologists, psychology staff, social workers, educators and educational assistants
- consultation is available to address a range of concerns for Kindergarten students including, language, self-regulation, behavior, development, social skills and well-being
- all LSS Kindergarten supports are now accessed through a common referral process

The Gifted Support Team

- provides support to schools in the area of programming and planning for students who have been identified with giftedness;
- provides consultation and recommendations pertaining to giftedness identification procedures;
- creates awareness around supports and connects staff with a wide variety of supports available to support students with giftedness;

Itinerant Educational Assistants (IEA)

- provide consultative and direct services to students experiencing behavioural challenges, including the development of behavior support plans;
- provide consultation to school staff on behaviourally related issues;
- assist in the development of student plans (safety plans, safe plans, and Behaviour Management Plans);
- provides professional development in promoting positive behavior;

Psychology Professional Staff

- provides ongoing consultation to schools on student related issues;
- engages in early screening and intervention on learning, student development, and mental health;
- provides both direct or indirect support or intervention to students;
- provides assessments for students K - 12;





- plays an integral role as a school multi-disciplinary team member and is the key point person to respond to threat making, high risk behaviour, and tragic events within the school;
- collaborates with community partners and facilitates access to external resources, when appropriate;
- participates in central referral committees for specialized classes;
- engages in staff training in such areas as suicide prevention, mental health promotion, and behaviour management

Social Work Professional Staff

- consults with each assigned school to establish priorities with respect to students, staff and families;
- provides direct intervention with students, families and school staff directed at resolving or managing a range of social, mental health and/or behavioural issues affecting all students;
- provides crisis intervention typically focusing on assessment and follow up in regard to risk issues including suicide, violence and threat making behaviour
- participates in central referral committees for specialized classes;
- provides support to school staff and students in the aftermath of tragic events
- investigates truancy as mandated in the *Ontario Education Act and Regulations* and assists with student and parent re-engagement in school;
- provides counseling support of students in the SAL program where required;
- provides support to families facing financial hardship;
- may provide interventions at all levels of the Tiered-Approach to Intervention district-wide;

Speech-Language Pathology

In consultation with the LST and the principal of the school, speech-language pathologists provide an array of service to students from kindergarten through grade 12.

- scope of practice includes identification, prevention, assessment, consultation and programming/intervention of communication disorders in the areas including language, speech, communication, reading and writing, and augmentative and alternative communication;
- speech-language pathologists facilitate internal and external referrals as appropriate, provide professional development to educational staff and parents, and provide services at all tier levels;
- weighted services are provided to some OCDSB specialized program classes including: language learning disability, and specialized and integrated programs for students with developmental disabilities and Autism;
- OCDSB speech-language pathologists provide integral support to teams such as Early Learning and ASD / DD Teams;





Tragic Events Response Team

When a tragedy occurs, the school community may play a critical role in responding to the needs of students and staff. The psychology and social work staff at the OCDSB provide support services following a tragic event in the school community on an as-needed basis. Along with school staff, the psychology and social work staff support students, educational staff and parents / guardians in the aftermath of crisis by providing a safe haven, disseminating information, identifying individuals at risk, providing mental health services, linking individuals with community services, and supporting long-term recovery.

Urgent Care Team

A related service offered by the psychology and social work staff at the OCDSB is the Urgent Care Team. The Urgent Care Team comprises psychology staff and social workers who provide consultation to our professional services staff around individual students who may be experiencing extreme stress (e.g., suicidal ideation, extraordinary distress and/or psychotic symptoms). The Urgent Care Team also provides a liaison to the CHEO Emergency Department, who provide assessment and follow-up services.

B. School Level Support

The following supports are available within schools:

- School Principals (and Vice-Principals where applicable);
- Classroom Teachers
- Learning Support Teachers and Learning Resource Teachers
- Specialized Classroom Teachers / Staff
- Educational Assistants
- Early Childhood Educators (Elementary panel only)

School Level Staff Roles and Descriptions

School Principal

- ensures the development, implementation, and review of a student's Individual Education Plan (IEP) including a transition plan, according to provincial requirements is developed and followed;
- chairs Identification Placement and Review Committee (IPRC) meetings;
- supervises all school staff;
- consults with parent(s)/guardian(s) and with school board staff to determine the most appropriate program for students with special education needs;
- ensures that parent(s)/guardian(s) are consulted in the development of their child's IEP and that they are provided with a copy of the IEP;
- ensures the delivery of the program as set out in the IEP;





- ensures that appropriate assessments are requested if necessary and that parental/guardian consent is obtained;
- provides the parent guide, and other relevant documents to parent(s)/guardian(s);

Classroom Teacher

The role of the classroom teacher is to be the front line in the identification of students who may need accommodations/modification of program. Although not all classroom teachers have special education qualifications, they play a vital role in the delivery of services to all special education students. Within the regular classroom, the teacher works with all students to meet their individual needs.

Learning Support Teacher (LST) / Learning Resource Teacher (LRT)

- play a major role in the delivery of special education and deal with the overall administrative and educational needs of students requiring special education programs /services within the school;
- undertake a variety of roles including consulting with and assisting classroom and other special education teachers with early identification, curriculum differentiation and modification, assessment, intervention strategies, the development and coordination of IEPs and in-class or withdrawal support for exceptional learners;
- liaise with members of the multi-disciplinary team;
- provide the highest level of support offered in a school-based program;
- work with a variety of exceptional students who require intensive support in core academic areas;

Specialized Program Classroom Teacher

- provide learning opportunities tailored to each student's specific exceptionality, learning style, and special education needs as outlined on the student's IEP;
- Support students to progress at their appropriate level and reach their potential within the parameters of the Quality Program Indicators for the specialized program class placement;

Educational Assistant

Educational Assistants (EA) are supervised by the school principal in consultation with the classroom teacher. The role may vary from assignment to assignment but usually includes:

- assisting in crisis prevention and intervention;
- supporting the planning, organizing, and implementing of the behavior/social/instructional program in cooperation with the classroom teacher;
- assisting students in various ways, with safety, behaviour and/or medical needs;





- specialized skills and qualifications are required for specific assignments (e.g. Braille, American Sign Language, catheterization) with respect to the EAs assigned to schools;

School EA allocations are reviewed and approved by the school Superintendent of Instruction.

Early Childhood Educator (ECE)

- assists in implementing and planning education to Kindergarten children;
- assesses the development of Kindergarten children through observation and monitoring;
- upholds a classroom environment that is healthy physically, and social learning
- relays information to families;
- undertaking of duties assigned by the principal in regards to the Kindergarten program.





Staff Development

Purpose of the Standard

To provide details of the Board's professional development plans for special education staff to the Ministry and to the public.

The OCDSB Learning Support Services Staff Development Plan

The overall goal of the LSS Staff Development Plan is to build capacity of Special Education staff, classroom teachers, support staff, and administrators to provide them with access to information, materials, and skills necessary to implement and support programming for exceptional students. The OCDSB continues to focus on student achievement rooted in the Ontario Curriculum, EQAO assessments, and alternative programs and goals specific to the individual learner. These focus areas are also linked to the goals in the following District frameworks:

- [The OCDSB Strategic Plan](#)
- [The Exit Outcomes](#)

LSS Staff Development Plans incorporate feedback from school-based special education staff, (learning support teacher (LST), learning resource teacher (LRT), specialized classroom staff, and through an ongoing evaluation of system needs. In addition, the following are other ways staff provide input for staff development planning:

- written and verbal feedback;
- requests from school administrators and special education teachers for school-based in-service around specific school needs (including teaching staff);
- feedback from Learning Support Services (LSS) staff;
- requests from senior administration around in-service requests;
- requirements as prescribed in legislation and District policies and procedures;

In addition, we are required to follow specific regulations and mandates from the Ministry of Education. Priorities in the area of staff development are determined by Ministry of Education initiatives and Board initiatives. The following factors are considered:

- direction from the Director's Executive Council (DEC);
- changes in Board policy and procedures;
- system-level professional development focus;
- perceived needs as determined by LSS staff;
- requests from schools;
- requests from staff;





Professional Development Input from SEAC

- all recommendations for staff development are open for consideration;
- staff consider input received at each SEAC meeting;

Staff Development Budget

The LSS Department has spent the following on professional development. These figures are approximate. These figures do not reflect additional costs such as: travel, food, supplies etc.

Release and Professional Development	Amount spent
ABA Release	\$19,642.40
American Ed. Research Association Conference	\$326.85
American Ed. Research Association Membership	\$320.89
APPIC Match (Psychology)	\$391.26
ASD Webinar (Brett DiNovi)	\$81.14
Autism Awareness Conference	\$2519.90
Autism Certificate Course for Educators	\$700.00
Autism Intervenor Course	\$1,876.00
Autism Presentation to Staff & Parents (D.J. Weiss)	\$2,601.32
Autism & Stress	\$1,370.94
Autism Webinar (Dr. J. Weiss)	\$175.00
Behaviour Management Systems Training Release	\$39,225.36
Blind /Low Vision Workshop Release	\$1,715.05
Brain Based Learning /Behavioural Challenges	\$5,234.16
Bytown OPC	\$ 40.00
Cannabis in Schools	\$615.85
Cannabis Workshop	\$100.00
CASLPO	\$750.00
CPI Membership Renewals (2 staff)	\$350.00
CPI Trainer Certification	\$4,279.87
Deaf/Hard of Hearing Workshop Release	\$9,136.63
Empower Reading Program	\$132,898.17
Empower Reading Program Training Release	\$ 60,000.00





Geneva Centre for Autism - Webinars	\$373.00
Geneva Symposium (Autism)	\$12,136.00
Hanen Centre Training - SLP (3 staff)	\$2,903.55
ITAT Training Release	\$6,254.00
Inquiry Based Learning for Students with ASD	\$2,100.00
ILLD Workshop Release	\$ 3,530.45
New LST Training/Networking	\$2,325.33
Mental Health Conference	\$635.00
Mental Health Law for Children And Adolescents	\$615.85
National Association for Gifted Children Conference	\$1,460.60
NVCI training (Membership Fee- 2 staff)	\$350.00
Ontario Association for Behaviour Analysis Conf.	\$847.50
Ontario College of SLPs membership	\$271.20
Osgoode Law Professional Development	3412.85
SafeTalk (2 Sessions)	\$523.67
Stress and Autism	\$1,370.94
Registered Behaviour Technician Course (21 Staff)	\$7,093.00
Total	\$ 534,893.56

Principals and Vice-Principal Intern Program / Training Opportunities

- mandatory intern program for newly appointed principals and vice-principals;
- ongoing professional learning at District Operations Meetings;
- workshops organized for principals and vice-principals, e.g., Assistive Technology, IEP Online Training, Autism Spectrum Transition Planning, ABA, Learning For All and IEP development, etc.

New Teacher Induction Program

The Ministry of Education initiative ensures beginning teachers are matched with a teacher mentor as they join the teaching profession. Great Beginnings is the name of the OCDSB New Teacher Induction Program (NTIP). Through the various components of Great Beginnings, new teachers are supported as they build knowledge, skills and self-confidence. New teachers are paired with an experienced teacher mentor who provides support by building relationships to create a collaborative, collegial environment in which new teachers feel supported both emotionally and professionally. They also participate in an extensive and differentiated professional development





program designed to address the specific needs of these new professionals in the areas of classroom management, assessment and evaluation, teaching students with special education needs, and literacy and numeracy.

Special Education Workshops for OCDSB Staff

The Learning Support Services Department is committed to ongoing professional development for all staff. Learning Support Teachers from all schools were presented with professional development from central staff during their LST Network meeting. During the 2018-19 school year professional development opportunities were limited due to occasional teacher and occasional staff shortages. This year, the following workshops were offered to OCDSB staff:

- ABA in Action – Prompting, Reinforcement and Errorless Learning
- ABA School Team Training
- Anxiety, Stress and Autism – Considerations for Supporting your Students
- Art of Play
- ASD Mentorship
- ASD New Teacher Training
- ASD Pilot Program - Ozobots Training
- ASD Specialized Program, Elementary and Secondary Sessions
- ASD Resources Room Model – Best Practices and Conversation
- ASD Working with Challenging Behaviours and Teaching Independence
- ASIST (Applied Suicide Intervention Skills Training)
- Autism Awareness Conference
- Behavior from a Communication Perspective, Part 2
- Behaviour Intervention Program Professional Development for BIP Staff
- Behaviour Management Systems Training (BMS)
- Best Practices in Supporting Students with ASD
- Brain Based Learning and Behavioural Challenges
- Cannabis in Schools
- Communication Strategies for the Early Learner
- Addressing Mental Health in Autism -Presentation by Dr. Jonathan Weiss
- Early Learning Strategies
- EA Autism Trainings
- Empower – Comprehension and Vocabulary
- Empower – Decoding and Spelling, Gr. 2 – 5
- Empower – Decoding and Spelling, Gr. 6-8
- Empower – Training Review Gr. 2-5
- Exploring Autism - The Early Years
- Geneva Centre E-Learning Modules
- General Learning Program - Program Planning - TBC
- Guiding Cooperation through Reinforcement
- Inclusion – Making it work for Students who are Deaf/Hard of Hearing





- Intermediate DDP - Developing Communication and Language
- ILLD - Executive Functioning
- ILLD - Grade 6 Transitions
- Inquiry Play Based Learning for Students with ASD
- LD-SIP Collaborative Learning and Program Monitoring
- LD-SIP Kick off Meeting
- LLD Assistive Technology Workshop
- LST Network Sessions
- Math LD Inquiry
- Mental Health Law for Children and Adolescents
- Post-Secondary Transitions for Students with Autism Spectrum Disorder
- Registered Behaviour Technician Training
- SafeTALK – (Suicide Alertness for Everyone), (Tell, Ask, Listen, Keepsafe)
- SLIP - Speech Language Intervention Program
- Social Thinking and Intro to e-learning for ILLD
- Social Thinking for LD-SIP Sites
- Structured Teaching for Elementary Teachers
- Structured Teaching for Teachers
- Supporting Structured Teaching
- The Autistic Brain
- Three Strategies for Independence
- Tools for Social Understanding
- Training for New LSTs
- Transitions for Students with ASD
- Working with Students with Visual Impairment

Cost Sharing Arrangements

Some staff development is provided on a cost-sharing or partnership basis with other ministries or agencies. The following are examples of such cost-sharing arrangements:

- Ministry of Child and Youth Services – Child and Youth Workers;
- M.F. McHugh Education Centre (Care and Treatment Program);
- Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario – Connections Program with Autism Spectrum Disorders;
- Algonquin College – early health screening for vision, hearing, height etc.;
- Ottawa Catholic School District (OCSB);

Communication of Professional Development

In-service workshops are communicated to staff via the Ottawa-Carleton District School Board electronic messaging system and posted on our electronic registration system, ePLC. In some instances, invitations for training and workshops are sent to specific schools to reach a target audience.





Equipment

Purpose of the Standard

To inform the Ministry, Board staff members and other professionals, and parent(s) about the provision of individualized equipment for some students with special needs.

General Overview

Special Equipment Amount (SEA) may originate from two sources:

- Per Pupil Amount
- Claims-Based

Per Pupil Amount (Computers) component supports the purchase of all computers, software, computing related devices and required supporting furniture identified for use by students with special education needs, as well as all training and technician costs for all SEA equipment, in accordance with this guideline.

Claims-Based funding (Non-computers) is accessed through a claims-based process and supports the purchase of other non-computer based equipment, to be utilized by students with special education needs, including sensory, hearing, vision, personal care and physical assist equipment. Boards are responsible for the first \$800 in costs for Claims-Based funding per student per year (May 1st - April 30th).

Examples of Specialized Equipment for Use at School

The OCDSB strives to ensure that specialized equipment is provided for students who require it. Specialized equipment for use at school include:

- Sensory equipment
- Hearing support equipment
- Vision support equipment
- Personal care support equipment
- Physical assists support equipment
- Computer and software related equipment

A full overview of the [SEA Guidelines for 2018-2019](#) is outlined on the Ministry of Education website.





Funding Information and Allocation for Specialized Equipment

SEA provides funding to school boards to assist with the costs of equipment **essential** to support students with education needs where the need for specific equipment is recommended by a qualified professional. This equipment is to provide students with accommodations that are directly required and essential to access the Ontario curriculum and/or a board-determined alternative program and/or course and/or to attend school. All equipment purchased through SEA funding is the property of OCDSB, who reserves the right to make the final decision in purchasing, and allocating equipment for students. The OCDSB consistently researches and purchases the most relevant equipment (i.e. technology) to assist students. The OCDSB reserves the right to reassign SEA purchased equipment.


Determination of Need

The determination of need is based upon a recommendation by a qualified practitioner, as listed in the SEA guidelines, with input by school staff. The recommendation is based on equipment deemed essential to the student in order to access the curriculum as outlined in the IEP.

Required Documentation

Each SEA claim must include:

1. an assessment report from an appropriately qualified practitioner including a description of the condition the particular equipment is intended for, and a functional recommendation regarding the specific types of equipment essential for the student to access the curriculum
2. a copy of the quotes(s) and/or product identification of the equipment to be purchased (non-computer)
3. a current copy of the student's IEP signed by principal
4. an action plan from the school indicating the integration of the equipment into the student's program a consent form to release the report from the qualified practitioner
5. a copy of the student's report card (PPA only)





Eligible Specialized Equipment for Claims Based Funding

All equipment costs must be specialized equipment for individual or groups of students for use at school. Examples of such equipment are:

- Speech analyzers
- FM microphone systems for DHH students)
- Print enlargers (for low vision)
- Sound Amplification systems
- Computer hardware/software
- Individually modified desks or work tables
- Braille writers
- Symbol or letter voice translators
- Insulated booths and study carrels
- Communication aids (e.g., Boardmaker, speech synthesizers)
- Positioning devices

Portability

Equipment purchased by a school board with SEA funding is portable and may move with the student from school to school or from board to board within Ontario. If a student leaves the province, the equipment stays with the Board to be reallocated as needed.

Ministry Review

The Ministry of Education may conduct classroom, school and board visits of selected claims and reviews all required documentation in support of those selected SEA claims. The review ensures that the equipment is operational, in good repair and in regular use by the student. The Ministry also expects that the students and staff are able to operate the equipment properly and effectively

Itinerant Teachers of Assistive Technology (ITAT)





The Itinerant Teachers of Assistive Technology work with students and staff to support the integration of assistive devices. This support includes device/tool training as well as best implementation and pedagogical practices.

Ottawa-Carleton District School Board Special Education SEA Claim

In the 2018-2019 SEA year (May 1, 2018 – April 30, 2019) the OCDSB processed the following number of applications:

Claim Types	Number of students	Dollar Amounts Spent
Computer (Claims)		
Computers and support components (PPA)	630	803,948.85
Training	N/A	764,366.76
Technician	N/A	139, 500.00
Total		1, 707, 815.61
Non Computer (Claims)		
Number of students Below \$800	130	55, 944.29
Number of students Above \$800	230	824,669.71
Other Expenses	N/A	\$0
Total		880,614.00





Accessibility of School Buildings

Purpose of the Standard

To provide the Ministry of Education with further details of the Board's multi-year plan, which was previously submitted to the Ministry, for improving accessibility for students with physical and sensory disabilities, and to provide the public with this information.

The annual accessibility plan that is required under the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) is normally submitted to the Board of Trustees in June of each year for publication in September. You can locate the review at <http://www.ontario.ca/government/accessibility>

The public can obtain and access the Ottawa-Carleton District School Board [Accessibility Plan](#) on the OCDSB Board website. A hard copy is available by contacting Communications and Information Services at 596-8211, ext. 8310.

Based on the 2006 OCDSB Accessibility Audit, cost estimates were developed for each facility to meet AODA's 100% "barrier-free" expectations. The individual site estimates ranged between \$101,000 and \$2.2M.

In order to fulfill the accessibility needs required by the AODA by 2025, an annual revenue stream of \$4.2M, totaling in excess of \$80M between 2006 and 2025 is required.

Annual expenditures on accessibility for the past thirteen years are as follows:

2006/2007	\$	483,000.00
2007/2008	\$	948,902.00
2008/2009	\$	1,077,588.00
2009/2010	\$	703,488.00
2010/2011	\$	1,576,416.00
2011/2012	\$	764,984.00
2012/2013	\$	728,577.00
2013/2014	\$	542,998.00
2014/2015	\$	1,155,182.00
2015/2016	\$	1,091,119.00
2016/2017	\$	1,011,038.00
2017/2018	\$	974,023.00
2018/2019	\$	1,600,000.00 (approximate to date)





Transportation

Purpose of the Standard

To provide details of the Board's transportation policies to the Ministry and to the public.

Transportation providers must, in all respects, meet the requirements of federal and provincial legislation, regulations and standards governing student transportation using vans, school buses and public transit. They must also comply with relevant Ministry of Education requirements and Board policies and procedures governing student safety and transportation. The Ottawa Student Transportation Authority (OSTA) is responsible for the provision and administration of all Ottawa-Carleton District School Board (OCDSB) transportation services.

Information about OSTA may be found on their website at [Ottawa Student Transportation Authority website](#).

The Board encourages integration of students with special needs with other students in regular programs as much as possible. In the event integration is not possible, the Board agrees to provide specialized transportation for students with special education needs for whom the Board has received an acceptable medical certificate and/or the Learning Support Services Department has determined that regular transportation is not the best option for a student given the nature of the student's disability or safety concerns.

Under the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA), individual school transportation plans are required for students with disabilities to ensure that accessible and appropriate transportation services are provided to them. To request specialized transportation, a Student Request for Accessible Transportation and Personalized Accessibility Plan form must be completed in consultation with the parent(s)/guardian(s) of students with disabilities. Requests for students with disabilities must be approved by the Board's Learning Support Services Department. Medical requests should be directed to the school principal for approval by the school's Superintendent of Instruction. In addition, transportation operators must comply with all AODA requirements.

To ensure the safety of special needs students, drivers shall deliver each student into the care of a responsible adult. Should any student require assistance getting on, or off the bus, such assistance must be provided by the parent(s)/guardian(s) or school personnel. In the event that a responsible adult is not available, the driver shall report this to OSTA.





Older students in grades 9-12 are exempted from this requirement providing the parent/guardian has given written permission to OSTA, and the school concurs the student does not require supervision when on their own.

For some students with special needs it may be most appropriate for transportation to be provided separately from other students. School teams carefully consider these circumstances and document a request for solo transportation on the Student Request for Accessible Transportation and Personalized Accessibility Plan form. These requests require approval from the Superintendent of Instructions or the Manager of Learning Support Services.

Students in specialized program classes in schools outside their home communities, as supported by Board policy, may be provided transportation without reference to distance units. Students residing within 800m from their designated school may be assessed for their ability to walk to school, with support, on an annual basis. The Board provides transportation for special needs students enrolled in the Summer Learning Program or Care and Treatment (CTCC) programs, also known as, Section 23 programs.

Students attending provincial or demonstration schools are transported by the Board. Provincial and demonstration schools are operated for students who are deaf, blind, or deaf-blind, or who have severe learning disabilities, which may include attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

Drivers must complete a Vulnerable Sector Check and participate in sensitivity training and other specialized training when dealing with students with special needs. The OCDSB actively supports and participates in any region-wide school vehicle safety committee or initiatives with a view to improving the uniformity of school bus safety procedures and to assisting school bus drivers to improve their management of students.





Part 4: The Board's Special Education Advisory Committee (SEAC)

Purpose of the Standard

To provide details of the operation of the board's SEAC to the Ministry and to give members of the public information to which they are entitled.

The Role and Responsibilities of SEAC

- to advise the Board with respect to the establishment, development, and delivery of programs and services to exceptional pupils;
- to participate in the Board's annual review process of the Special Education Plan;
- to participate in the OCDSB annual budget process as it relates to special education by appointing a SEAC member to the Budget Committee;
- to encourage the public to bring special education issues to the attention of SEAC by requesting to delegate at SEAC monthly meetings;
- to pass motions which are presented as advice to the Board;
- to appoint a non-voting representative to the Committee of the Whole to ensure that the interests of students with special education needs are considered in Board deliberations;
- to review procedures and make recommendations;
- to organize and prepare meeting agendas prior to monthly SEAC meetings with a focus on current issues and requests for information;
- to respond to reviews of special education programs and services;
- to guide parent(s)/guardian(s) in policies and procedures relating to students with special needs and inform them of their rights and responsibilities, as requested;
- to create and maintain a guide of SEAC members and contact information;

SEAC Meetings

- occur on the second Wednesday of each month (except July and August) at 7:00 p.m., at the Ottawa-Carleton District School Board, 133 Greenbank Road, Ottawa (Nepean), Ontario;
- all members of the public are welcome and encouraged to attend;
- members of the public are encouraged to make their views known to SEAC by contacting representatives directly or by appearing as a delegation
- a delegation will be allocated time as follows:
 - up to four minutes where the request was made in advance of the meeting;
 - up to two minutes if the request was made after the publication of the agenda and prior to the start of the meeting;





Composition of SEAC

- consists of three trustees, representatives of local associations, and three community members committed to furthering the interests of students with special education needs;

For more information on the role of SEAC and the nomination process, see [Special Education Advisory Committee Policy P. 019.GOV](#). This can be located on our website at www.ocdsb.ca. A hard copy is available upon request. Please contact Communications and Information Services at 613-596-8211, ext. 8310.

SPECIAL EDUCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (OCDSB) MEMBERS 2018-19

OCDSB TRUSTEE MEMBERS

<i>Christine Boothby</i> , Trustee, Zone 2	613-809-4929
<i>Rob Campbell</i> , Trustee, Zone 5	613-808-8190
<i>Lyra Evans</i> , Trustee, Zone 9	613-868-0076

MEMBER ASSOCIATION REPRESENTATIVES

Association for Bright Children of Ontario (ABC)

Cathy Miedema (Member) cathymiedema@gmail.com

Autism Ontario, Ottawa Chapter

Katie Ralph (Member) kralp059@uottawa.ca
Samantha Banning (Alternate) samantha_banning@hotmail.com

Down Syndrome Association

Mark Wylie (Member) mwylie@sympatico.ca

Learning Disabilities Association of Ottawa-Carleton (LDAO)

Linda Barbetta (Member) lindageordie@gmail.com
Andrea Nelson (Alternate) andrea.webber@rogers.com

Ontario Association for Families of Children with Communication Disorders Ottawa-Carleton Chapter (OAFCCD)





Ian Morris (Member) ian.morris6655@gmail.com
Sean Popal (Alternate) sean.popal@gmail.com

Ottawa-Carleton Assembly of School Councils (OCASC)

Donna Owen (Member) donnamowen@hotmail.com
Safina Dewshi (Alternate) safina.dewshi@gmail.com

VOICE for deaf and hard of hearing children

Jim Harris (Member) danaandjim@sympatico.ca
Terry Warner (Alternate) terry.warner@sympatico.ca

VIEWS for the Visually Impaired

Lisa Paterick (Member) shafifamily1@hotmail.com
Johnna MacCormick (Alternate Member) tedandjohnna@me.com

COMMUNITY REPRESENTATIVES

Susan Cowin sue.cowin@gmail.com
Sonia Campbell-Nadon Snadon28@hotmail.com
Rob Kirwan theoletinman2@gmail.com

ASSOCIATION REPRESENTATIVES (NON-VOTING)

Ottawa-Carleton Elementary Teachers' Federation (OCETF)

Susan Gardner (Member) susan.gardner@ocdsb.ca
Wulf Hiedecker (Alternate) wulf.heidecker@ocdsb.ca

Professional Student Services Personnel

Nancy McLaren Kennedy (Member) nancy.mclaren.kennedy@ocdsb.ca
Connie Allen (Alternate) connie.allen@ocdsb.ca

Ontario Secondary School Teachers' Federation (OSSTF)

Jean Trant (Member), SSP jean.trant@ocdsb.ca
Catherine Houlden, Teachers catherine.houlden@ocdsb.ca
Kelly Granum (Alternate),
Occasional Teachers kelly.granum@ocdsb.ca

Ottawa-Carleton Elementary Operations Committee (OCEOC)

Nancy Dlouhy nancy.dlouhy@ocdsb.ca





Ottawa-Carleton Secondary School Administrators' Network (OCSSAN)

Kimberly Elmer (Member)

kimberly.elmer@ocdsb.ca

Student Senate

Anna Dahlgren

adahl1@ocdsb.ca

Staff Normally in Attendance at SEAC Meetings:

Peter Symmonds

613-596-8254

peter.symmonds@ocdsb.ca

Superintendent, Learning Support
Services

Amy Hannah

613-596-8713

amy.hannah@ocdsb.ca

System Principal, Learning Support
Services

Christine Kessler

613-596-8713

christine.kessler@ocdsb.ca

System Principal, Learning Support
Services

Stacey Kay

Manager of Learning Support Services

613-596-8136

stacey.kay@ocdsb.ca





Part 4- Coordination of Services With Other Ministries or Agencies

Purpose of the Standard

To provide the Ministry and the public with details of the board's strategies to ensure a smooth transition for students with special needs who are entering or leaving a school.

The OCDSB liaises and plans carefully for student transitions. When a student with special education needs enters a school or transfers to an OCDSB school from another board of education, the school principal will:

- facilitate the collection of pertinent documentation;
- ensure the successful admission or transfer of students from one program to another, in accordance with available resources.

It is the practice of the OCDSB to accept assessments accompanying students from other jurisdictions and apply them to the Ottawa-Carleton District School Board criteria when a student is being considered for a special education program or service. In order to use these documents and share them with the required individuals, a written consent is required from the parent/guardian. The assessments shared must be current and conducted by a qualified professional (according to the standards set by each profession). If additional assessments are needed to make an informed decision, in relation to the student's special education needs, they may be conducted by Board personnel.

Advanced special education planning is done for students with special needs who are arriving from or leaving for other programs. Here are some links to the different transition resources that we have created to support students, families, and schools:

[Special Needs Students in Transition: A Practical Guide for Schools and Parents](#)

[Planning for Successful Transitions](#)

[Autism and Transition Supports](#)

The current OCDSB student information database, Trillium, tracks all student information including special education programs and placements. The school location of OCDSB students in other facilities (i.e., Provincial and demonstration schools) is also recorded in Trillium.





Programs and Services	Description
Preschool nursery program	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liaison is made with preschool, nursery, and clinical programs in order to facilitate the student's entry into the school environment • consultation with parent(s)/guardian(s) is an integral part of the process; • completion of the kindergarten intake procedures in consultation with parent(s)/guardian(s).
Preschool programs for students who are deaf	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liaison is made with preschool, nursery, and clinical programs in order to facilitate the student's entry into the school environment; • consultation with parent(s)/guardian(s) is an integral part of the process; • completion of the kindergarten intake procedures in consultation with parent(s)/guardian(s); • for primary students who communicate using American Sign Language (ASL), the school principal may submit an application for the Specialized Deaf/Hard of Hearing program class, if appropriate.
Preschool speech and language program	<p>Preschool Speech/Language Initiative (First Words)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coordinated by Pinecrest-Queensway Community Health Centre in partnership with CHEO (including Children Treatment Centre services) and the City of Ottawa and funded by the Ontario Ministry of Children, Community and Social Services; • province-wide initiative designed to ensure that every preschool child has access to speech and language services focuses on prevention, early identification, and intervention strategies to promote speech and language development in children until the September they are eligible for Year 2 (senior kindergarten). Medically fragile children who can attend school, can be serviced until they are eligible for grade 1; • First Words and the OCDSB collaborated on a transition policy that results in a smooth and timely transfer, and informs parent(s)/ guardian(s) of next steps in the transition from preschool speech and language services to school based speech and language services. First Words and the OCDSB





Programs and Services	Description
Preschool speech and language program <i>(continued)</i>	<p>meet formally 1-2 times per year as part of the Transition to School sub-committee;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The transition policy enables speech-language pathologists to discuss the continuing speech and language needs of students for educational planning.
Family Reception Centre (FRC)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Schools may refer students to the Family Reception Centre (FRC) for assistance to determine a student's current stage of English-language development; • the FRC assists in developing a learner profile and providing program recommendations for English Language Learning (ELL) and English Literacy Development (ELD) students; • Learning Support Services ELL/ELD liaison consults with the FRC on an as-needed basis regarding ELL/ELD students with special education needs.
Ministry of Health	<p>Champlain Local Health Integration Network (LHIN)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides professional health services such as nursing and nutrition to students attending school who require such services (see Standard 7 — Specialized Health Support Services in School Settings); • in collaboration with parent(s)/guardian(s) and consultation with the OCDSB professional staff, schools apply for these services, through the principal, using a School Services Application Form provided by LHIN.
Ministry of Children, Community and Social Services	<p>Healthy Babies, Healthy Children</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A prevention/intervention initiative to screen all births, identify infants and families at risk, and provide home visiting for high-risk families; • the OCDSB is a member of the steering committee which guides the initiative locally; • services are provided in seven languages to a variety of multicultural populations.





Programs and Services	Description
CHEO	<p>Ontario Autism Program (East Ontario) Provides behavioural treatment to children and youth based on the principles of Applied Behaviour Analysis.</p> <p>CHEO Autism Spectrum Disorders School Support Program</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The OCDSB and the CHEO School Support Program are in partnership through Connections for Students Program; • Facilitates transitions of students from Ontario Autism Program (intensive services) to full time within the OCDSB; • The School Support Program can provide training and supports to staff who are supporting a student with ASD. <p>OCTC School</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Serves children with physical disabilities from four to eight years of age who have physical or therapy requirements that are difficult to meet in the community school; • liaison teachers work with schools staff to transition children with physical disabilities. <p>Development and Rehabilitation (Children's Treatment Centre services)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delivers and coordinates family-centered rehabilitative care which focuses on optimizing independence of children and youth up (to 18 years of age) with physical and/or developmental disabilities; • provide assessment, treatment, consultation, and education from a variety of specialists including orthopedic surgeons, developmental pediatricians, psychiatrist, and rehabilitation therapists (physiotherapy, occupational therapy, speech-language pathology, psychology, social work); • share relevant information with OCDSB staff. <p>School Health Professional Services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides professional health services such as occupational therapy, physiotherapy, and/or speech therapy to students attending school who require such services (see Standard 7 —





Programs and Services	Description
CHEO <i>(continued)</i>	<p>Specialized Health Support Services in School Settings)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • in collaboration with parent(s)/guardian(s) and consultation with the OCDSB professional staff, schools apply for these services, through the principal, using a School Services Application Form provided by CHEO <p>Coordinated Service Planning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports children and youth with multiple and/or complex special needs who require services from multiple agencies (e.g., medical, educational, developmental, social services) • CSP is a process to support families that are struggling to navigate and coordinate multiple services to ensure the best plan of care for their child/youth • referrals can be initiated by the family, school team, medical team or other community agency with parent/guardian consent
Ministry of Children, Community and Social Services	<p>Care and Treatment Programs</p> <p>These programs provide treatment services and education for students whose needs are such that they are unable to attend their community school.</p> <p>Programs available through Coordinated Referral to Care and Treatment Program classes are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario — Kindergarten Unit • Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario — Steps to Success • Crossroads Children's Centre • Roberts/Smart Centre • The Ottawa Royal Hospital • Ottawa Children's Aid Society (CAS) • Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Psychiatry (CHEO) • Back-On-Track <p>When students are returning from any of these treatment programs, a case conference is scheduled with the school team to provide an overview of all data / information gathered to best support a successful transition for the student back to their home school. For some of the programs, there are staff dedicated to support the transition.</p>





Programs and Services	Description
Ministry of Children, Community and Social Services <i>(continued)</i>	<p>The Coordinated Referral Committee is the centralized access point for all school referrals</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Committee members include representatives from all treatment programs in the Ottawa-Carleton region and a special education contact from each local board of education; • admission to the program is determined by the clinical partners based on information provided and based on its own assessment; • the clinical partners are responsible for contacting schools and parent(s)/guardian(s) and deciding the date of admission and demission; • transition to middle schools or residential moves is the responsibility of the sending community school to attend treatment plan meetings and pass information to the receiving community school; • the principal or designate is the key contact while the student attends day treatment programs, the next phase of treatment is reintegration into the community school or other program discussed during a treatment plan meeting once the student is re-registered and attending an OCDSB school, an IPRC may be convened by the school principal, if appropriate.
Ministry of Education	<p>Supervised Alternative Learning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is intended to re-engage young people 14 to 17 years of age who are not attending school and who are therefore at risk of not graduating as outlined in <i>Ontario Regulation 374/10</i>; • applications are considered by the Supervised Alternative Learning Committee, which is comprised of OCDSB staff and a trustee, as well as community representatives who are non-employees of the OCDSB; • regular contact with the student is maintained by a teacher and/or social worker from the sending school to ensure that the student conforms to the program requirements (Policy P.044.CUR).





Programs and Services	Description
Ministry of Children, Community and Social Services	Post 21 Programs for Students with Developmental Disabilities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • school personnel will encourage families to apply to Developmental Services Ontario. Their mandate is to help families access programs and services for adults with developmental disabilities and/or autism • after completion of the DSO application process, a case manager from Service Coordination is assigned to work with the student, parent(s)/guardian(s), and school personnel to collect information in order to match the needs of the student with an appropriate adult community program
Ottawa-Carleton District School Board	Home Instruction <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provided for students who are unable to attend school for medical reasons and have a doctor's written statement of need; • must be recommended by the school principal and approved by the appropriate supervisory officer and/or the Principal of Learning Support Services; • approved for up to a maximum of five hours per week of instruction; • may begin after the ninth week of consecutive absence from an elementary school and in the sixth week for a semestered secondary school; • may be provided for up to six months in any given school year (Policy P.070.SES and Procedure PR.559.SES).





OTTAWA-CARLETON
DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

2019 **SPECIAL EDUCATION REPORT**






In accordance with Regulation 306, school boards must submit a special education report on the delivery of special education programs and services to the Ministry of Education every two years on the odd year. The purpose of the report is to provide the Ministry of Education with a summary of the provision by the school board of special education programs and special education services.

The Ottawa-Carleton District School Board is committed to the principle that learning is a developmental process and children proceed through the stages of development at varying rates. The OCDSB is also committed to early identification and intervention to address learning needs. It is through this early identification and intervention process that learners remain confident about their abilities and are engaged in their learning journey.

The OCDSB's approach to special education and its special education delivery model are aligned with the Board's Mission Statement and Strategic Plan. Our mission, *Educating for Success: Inspiring Learning and Building Citizenship* forms the foundation of our work. Many components of our current Strategic Plan 2015-19 connect and support the delivery of our special education programs and services. Please refer to the following graphic for

detailed information about the [OCDSB Strategic Plan 2015-19](#).



Embedded in the OCDSB Strategic Plan 2015-19 are the [OCDSB Exit Outcomes](#). The OCDSB Exit Outcomes provide a framework of what all OCDSB staff want for all students graduating or leaving the OCDSB. The OCDSB Exit Outcomes are divided into two categories, characteristics and skills for students.





The OCDSB Special Education Service Delivery Model

The Service Delivery Model of the Ottawa-Carleton District School Board is based on a continuum of programs and services with placement options ranging from regular class with special education resource support to specialized program class placements and special education schools. Our goal is to strive to help every student reach their full potential, while fostering the highest level of independence possible for each student. In the OCDSB, we work with parents / guardians and district staff to determine the placement that best meets the student's needs, whether that is in a regular classroom, or for some students, in a specialized program class. We value collaboration and consultation with parents/guardians to best understand the strengths and needs of each learner.

The OCDSB places a strong emphasis on the inclusion of our students with special education needs. Our ongoing commitment is to provide the structures and support each student needs to foster their growth and development in programs, which foster integration and independence as defined for each student based on their areas of strength and need. The District expression of these supports is in the [Special Education Programs and Services Policy](#), which outlines how the variety of learning supports are delivered from K to 12.

The majority of students with special education needs are placed in a regular classroom. For most students, a classroom teacher, with the support of the school's learning support teacher (LST) or learning resource teacher (LRT) and/or central district staff, are able to provide individualized programming that allows the student to meet required expectations with success. The regular classroom is always an option that parents or guardians may consider, regardless of the student's needs. A regular classroom allows the student to be surrounded by peers, while receiving personalized programming that is outlined in the student's Individual Education Plan (IEP). The regular class is considered the most inclusive of placements in the OCDSB.

The OCDSB special education service delivery model is rooted in the tiered approach to intervention, as outlined in the Ministry of Education document; [Learning for All : A Guide to Effective Assessment and Instruction for All Students, K-12 \(2013\)](#). An overview of the tiered approach to intervention is summarized in the following chart:





OCDSB Special Education Programs

Special Education Placements established by the Ministry of Education

Regular class with indirect support

The student is placed in a regular class for the entire day, and the teacher receives specialized consultative services.

Regular class with resource assistance

The student is placed in a regular class for most or all of the day and receives specialized instruction, individually or in a small group, within the regular classroom from a qualified special education teacher.

Regular class with withdrawal assistance

The student is placed in the regular class and receives instruction outside of the classroom for less than 50 per cent of the school day, from a qualified special education teacher.

Special education class with partial integration


The student is placed by the IPRC in a special education class where the student-teacher ratio conforms to *Ontario Regulation 298, section 31*, for at least 50 per cent of the school day, but is integrated with a regular class for at least one instructional period daily.

Special education class full time

A student is placed by the IPRC in a special education class, where the student-teacher ratio conforms to *Ontario Regulation 298, section 31*, for the entire school day.

It should be noted that the first three program options listed above are supports provided in the regular classroom setting. In the OCDSB, these three special education programs involve either monitoring, withdrawal support, or specialized support from either a learning support teacher (LST), learning resource teacher (LRT) (in elementary settings only), or specialized indirect or direct support from itinerant teachers, such as:

- Itinerant Teacher of the Blind/Low Vision (ITB/LV)
- Itinerant Teacher of the Deaf/Hard of Hearing (ITD/HH)





A special education class, with either partial integration or full time designation, is referred to as specialized program classes in the OCDSB.

The specialized program classes include:

[Autism Spectrum Disorder Secondary Credit Program \(ASDSCP\)](#)

[Autism Spectrum Disorder Program \(ASDP\)](#)

[Behaviour Intervention Program \(BIP\)](#)

[Blind/Low Vision \(B/LV\)](#)

[Deaf/Hard-of-Hearing Program \(D/HH\)](#)

[Developmental Disabilities Program \(DDP\)](#)

[Dual Support Program \(DSP\)](#)

[General Learning Program \(GLP\) / Storefront](#)

[Gifted Specialized Program \(Elem/Sec\) *](#)

[Language Learning Disability Program \(LLD\)](#)

[Learning Disability Programs \(LDSIP / LDP\)](#)

[Physical Support Program \(PSP\)](#)

[Primary Special Needs \(PSN\)](#)

*Note: At the secondary level, gifted students wishing to attend congregated specialized gifted courses may apply directly to the designated secondary school that offers gifted as determined by their place of residence.

The OCDSB works collaboratively with provincial specialized programs that are available to qualifying students. In Ontario, the following provincial program options are possible:

- Care and Treatment Programs (CTCC)
- Provincial or Demonstration Schools
- Hospital or Treatment Centres

When students from OCDSB schools are referred or opt to attend one of the provincial programs, school staff and when appropriate district staff work to develop appropriate transition plans and supports.





OCDSB Special Education Services

The OCDSB has a wide variety of special education services. Services and supports are offered at all levels of the organization. Outlined below are the variety of services available to students, staff, parents / guardians at the school-level, district-level, and provincial level:

Special Education Services / Supports - School level

- School Principal or Vice-Principal (if applicable)
- Learning Support Teacher (LST)
- Learning Resource Teacher (LRT) (elementary only)
- Classroom Teacher
- Educational Assistant (EA)
- Early Childhood Educator (ECE)
- Parents / Guardians

Special Education Services / Supports - District level

- Learning Support Consultant (LSC)
- Psychologist/Psychological Associate
- Social Worker
- Speech Language Pathologist
- System Principals
- Manager of Learning Support Services
- Manager of Mental Health and Critical Services
- Discipline Supervisors (Psychology, Speech Language Pathologists, Social Workers)
- Itinerant Teacher of Blind/Low Vision and Itinerant Teacher of Deaf/Hard of Hearing
- SELT (Social / Emotional Learning Teacher)
- ITAT (Itinerant Teacher of Assistive Technology)
- Itinerant Emergency Educational Assistant
- Itinerant Educational Assistant
- Autism Spectrum Team
- Behavior Support Team
- Early Learning Team

Special Education Services / Supports - Provincial level

- Community Agencies
- Care and Treatment (CTCC)
- Local Health Integration Network (LHIN)
- Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO)
- Demonstration and Provincial Schools





SPECIAL EDUCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (SEAC) 12 JUNE 2019
COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (PUBLIC) 18 JUNE 2019

Report No. 19-063

Learning Disabilities Specialized Intervention Program (LD SIP)
Monitoring Results

Key Contact: Peter Symmonds, Superintendent of Learning Support Services, 613-596-8211 ext. 8254

PURPOSE:

1. This report outlines the program monitoring results for the Learning Disabilities Specialized Intervention Program (LD SIP), in accordance with Memo No. 18-080, Learning Disabilities Specialized Intervention Program (LD SIP) Update. Additional information regarding implementation is also presented for discussion purposes.

CONTEXT:

2. The LD SIP was initiated in September 2016 following Board approval of the recommendations in Report No. 16-036, Changes to the Program Structure of the Learning Disabilities Specialized Intensive Program (LD SIP).

The following structural changes to the LD SIP program were approved:

- The program shall be known as the Learning Disabilities Specialized Intervention Program (LD SIP) (Junior and/or Intermediate);
- The program delivery model be formalized as a half-day of specialized intensive program support for students for a one year duration; subject to consideration of individual student needs, and up to one additional year may be provided; and
- The program be offered to junior and/or intermediate aged students, as required, in a grade 5/6 (Junior) and grade 7/8 (Intermediate) configuration.

Criteria for LD SIP was developed using the expertise of a multi-disciplinary team and is reviewed annually. Broadly, the LD SIP specialized program class is designed to support students with at least average intellectual ability and evidence

of cognitive strengths accompanied by evidence of significant learning difficulties with regard to reading, written expression and/or mathematics based on evidence of the tiered approach to intervention, and where the student is expected to benefit from a specialized program class at that time.

The LD SIP focuses on intensive remediation and the development of compensatory strategies with the aim of maximizing student independence, academic achievement and well-being. Using curriculum as a vehicle, students:

- develop concepts, practice skills and strategies; and
- reflect on strategies in order to develop an understanding of themselves as learners.

Supports in the form of human and technical resources are provided to students to transition back to the regular program.

The LD SIP has several sites across the District each with a half time junior (grades 5 & 6) and intermediate (grades 7 & 8) class. Each class can accommodate up to 8 students and therefore 16 students per site (total of 112 student placements across the District). In alignment with the Geographic Model for specialized program class locations there is a LD SIP site in each of the five geographic zones with two sites in both the East and West zones. LD SIP sites for 2019-2020 and corresponding geographic zones are provided in the chart below.

LD SIP School Sites	Geographic Zones
Fallingbrook Community Elementary School	Far East
Queen Elizabeth Public School	East
Alta Vista Public School	East
Broadview Avenue Public School	West
D. Roy Kennedy Public School	West
Vimy Ridge Public School	South
Katimavik Elementary School	Far West

KEY CONSIDERATIONS:

3. Program Monitoring Plan


In January 2016, a two-year program monitoring plan for the LD SIP was developed in consultation with the LD Steering Committee (see Appendix A). In Year 1 (2016-2017), several formative evaluation activities were undertaken to monitor student well-being and the impact of the LD SIP program on students, including i) academic skills through compensatory strategies; ii) acquisition of executive functioning skills; and iii) self-awareness and self-advocacy skills. This information was gathered via a parent survey and staff focus groups. Additional data was gathered through research collaboration with Dr. Maria Rogers at the University of Ottawa. Program monitoring in Year II (2017-2018) consisted of conducting structured interviews with students and gathering achievement data from non-standardized student assessments. The results from these qualitative and quantitative program monitoring activities are presented below.

4. Quantitative Program Monitoring Data

I. Student Assessment Results

A non-standardized version of the Wechsler Individual Achievement Test, 3rd Edition (WIAT-III) was administered to students between grades five and seven at the LD SIP sites. Students were provided with the necessary accommodations outlined in their Individualized Education Plans (IEP) including the use of assistive technology, extended time limits, and virtual and/or concrete manipulatives. The assessments were administered in April-May 2018, over three sessions for reading, writing and math in order to accommodate the learning styles of LD learners. Results showed that students' average percentile scores increased significantly from their initial entry into the LD SIP to the time of testing (see Figure 1, next page).

Figure 1: LD SIP Pre/Post WIAT-III Scores, 2018



Highlights of the WIAT-III Testing Results:

- results showed an overall increase in pre and post test scores in each of the three different assessments of reading, writing and math;
- the reading assessment showed a statistically significant increase in percentile scores over time;
- the math assessment showed an average overall increase between pre-test and post-test scores as well; however, this difference was not statistically significant; and
- in writing, there were no statistically significant differences between the pre and post-tests in the essay composition; word count; or theme development subtests.

Results from the WIAT-III testing suggest that the LD SIP had an overall positive impact on academic achievement. However, caution should be exercised in interpreting these findings. Data was unavailable for several students on the pre-test (as it was not archived in historic electronic records), which may contribute to the lack of significant differences in certain subject areas. Also, the post-test was conducted using a modified, unstandardized version of the WIAT-III. Therefore, additional data should be collected before making any definitive claims about the efficacy of the LD SIP on academic achievement.


II. Parent/Guardian Survey

In the spring of 2017, an online survey was distributed to all parents/guardians of Ottawa-Carleton District School Board (OCDSB) students registered in the LD SIP program. The purpose of the survey was to assess parent/guardian perceptions about the impact of the LD SIP on their child in the following areas: i) wellbeing and overall satisfaction with school; ii) compensatory strategies for acquiring academic skills (reading, writing and/or math); iii) executive functioning skills; iv) understanding/use of assistive technology; and v) self-awareness and ability to self-advocate. Permission was also sought from parents/guardians to participate in a research study conducted in partnership with Dr. Maria Rogers at the University of Ottawa. In total, 25 parents/guardians responded to the survey, yielding a response rate of 20%.

Parent/Guardian Survey Highlights:

- the majority of parents/guardians reported seeing improvements in their child's reading and math skills (80% and 70%, respectively), and approximately half (47%) saw improvements in their child's writing (see Figure 2);
- nearly 2/3 of parents/guardians agreed that their child enjoyed attending school (67%), and/or were satisfied with the specialized class placement their child received (57%);
- approximately half of respondents agreed that their child's social skills; self-esteem and/or self-confidence towards school work improved;
- the majority of parents/guardians (42%) agreed that their child had a better understanding of their learning disability and/or of themselves as a learner;
- most respondents agreed that their child received adequate support in the specialized component of the LD SIP (58%), whereas 60% felt their child did not receive adequate support during the integration component; and
- approximately half of parents/guardians agreed that their child's IEP was effectively implemented in both the specialized class and/or the regular class components of the LD SIP.

Figure 2: LD SIP Parent Survey Improvement in Academic Skills



Results from the parent/guardian survey suggest generally that the LD SIP program had a positive impact on their child in terms of the program's goals. However, caution should be used when interpreting these findings as responses were required from more parents/guardians in order for the results to be reasonably representative of the population and/or to avoid biased results.


III. Assistive Technology Teacher Survey

In the spring of 2017, an assistive technology teacher survey was completed by 30 educators at LD SIP sites, including regular classroom teachers (i.e., integration teachers), LD SIP teachers, Learning Support Teachers (LST) and/or Learning Resource Teachers (LRT) and core French teachers. The majority of respondents taught in the regular program (English) and were fairly evenly distributed across the Junior and Intermediate panels. The purpose of the survey was to gather information about educators' experiences with assistive technology support for students in the LD SIP.


Assistive Technology Teacher Survey Highlights:

- the majority of teachers (77%) rated students' skills and understanding of assistive technology at least Level 3 (proficient) at their site (see Figure below);
- approximately 2/3 of teachers (i.e., 64%) agreed that their own skills and understanding of assistive technology were at least proficient; and
- several teachers indicated that they, or their LD SIP students, would benefit from additional assistive technology training in many areas.

Information from this survey was used to better understand and improve the assistive technology services being offered to all students with LD in the OCDSB. For example, anecdotal data from the survey suggests that assistive technology use can enable students with LD to better access the curriculum.

Please rate the LD SIP students at your site in terms of their general skill level and understanding of assistive technology: 

30 responses




IV. University of Ottawa Research Collaboration

In 2017, teachers of 90 students in the OCDSB's LD SIP completed standardized rating scales measuring students' social-emotional functioning at school, as well as their academic competencies (i.e., motivation, engagement and study skills). For most students, both the LD SIP teacher and the Integration teacher completed the battery of tests which asked teachers to rate their students in various areas including: i) self-regulation; ii) social competence; iii) empathy; iv) responsibility; v) teacher/student relationships; and vi) ADHD symptoms (i.e., inattention and/or hyperactivity/impulsivity). The purpose of the study was to describe the social-emotional functioning of students with LD and to compare the students' social-emotional functioning across two instructional contexts. Another major purpose was to compare LD SIP students' social-emotional functioning to established norms (i.e., students without special education needs).

Highlights from the University of Ottawa Study:

- the social-emotional and academic skills of students in the LD SIP were substantially below the norm in most areas;
- students were rated as displaying higher functioning in empathy, responsibility, and inattention in their specialized class component vs. the integration component of the LD SIP;
- students were also rated with higher levels of academic competency in the areas of motivation, engagement, and study skills in their specialized class component vs. the integration component of the LD SIP; and
- LD SIP teachers reported closer relationships with their students compared to integration teachers.

Implications from the University of Ottawa study suggest that integration teachers perceive the social-emotional needs of LD SIP students differently than specialized class teachers.



5. Qualitative Program Monitoring Data

I. Student Interviews

In the spring of 2018 several structured interviews were conducted with a small number of male and female students from both the junior and intermediate panels at all six LD SIP sites. The purpose of the interviews was to explore students' experience with the LD SIP program along several key areas of interest aligned with the program goals.

Main Themes from the Student Interviews:

LD SIP Class Experience

- smaller class size provided a calmer, less stressful environment where students had their own work-space, and where they were able to access supports such as sensory tools and/or assistive technology;
- students found it easier to ask for help in the LD SIP because of the slower instructional pace, less intense workload, and more in-depth instructions; and
- specialized program teachers checked-in more often with students providing them with individualized instruction and enabling them to complete their work in class, rather than assigning homework.

Integration Experience

- participants reported that the regular class was much larger, louder, busier and more crowded, making it challenging for students to stay focused at times. The class also operates at a faster pace and the work is more difficult, so it can be difficult for students to keep up;
- students must work more independently in the regular classroom; however, there is support if needed. Students learned to ask for help but they also expressed feeling self-conscious or embarrassed about help-seeking because they felt as though their peers were judging (i.e., stigma);
- participants reported that transitioning from the LD SIP to the regular class with their chromebooks and belongings was manageable when the class was close by; and
- students reported that they were able to interact and work with friends in the regular class, but sometimes they felt disconnected because they were not in the class full-time.

Use of Assistive Technology

- assistive technology was used regularly in the LD SIP for language, and for assignments in the regular class such as science, social studies, history and French;
- students reported using Google classroom, Read and Write (text-to-voice for reading, voice-to-text and word prediction or spell check for writing), Google Drive, Google Docs and Google Slides; and
- participants noted that the noise level in the regular class interfered with the voice-to-text technology and some students felt self-conscious using reading technology in front of their peers. Wearing headphones or working out in the hall was reported as helpful.

Executive Function, Awareness, and Self-advocacy

- students were able to use time in the LD SIP to complete assignments from the regular classroom;
- some students reported actively working on goal setting such as reviewing SMART goals for the month, which helped them stay organized and complete work on time. Other students stated that they developed effective systems to keep their lockers, binders, and computers organized;

- learning about their IEPs and developing self-advocacy cards has helped students understand how they learn and what helps them in their learning; and
- the skills they developed in the LD SIP were helping them in the regular class. For example, they learned to check in with the teacher when they did not understand a concept and to ask for what they needed.

II. Staff Focus Groups

In 2017-2018, several focus groups were conducted with various groups of the District's special education staff assigned to the LD SIP schools including: i) principals and vice-principals (1 group); ii) Learning Support Services staff (2 groups comprised of Psychologists, Speech Language Pathologists, Social Workers, Learning Support Consultants [LSC]; and Itinerant Teachers of Assistive Technology [ITAT]); iii) LD SIP teachers (1 group); and iv) LD SIP Integration Teachers including the Learning Resource Teachers (LRT) and/or Learning Support Teachers (LST) from each LD SIP site (2 groups).

Main Themes from the Staff Focus Groups:

Complexity of student needs requires acknowledgment

- LD SIP students have a variety of academic as well as social-emotional and behavioural needs; cognitive profiles varied considerably.

Need for increased definition and communication about program goals and how they are to be met within the classes, including:

- explicit programming for non-academic elements like executive function skills, self-advocacy skills, self-awareness and understanding of LD;
- specific resources to be used by all classes; and
- common assessment measures/checklists/criteria.
- Acceptance criteria/Exit criteria (whether students stay for 1 or 2 years); and
- Roles of LSS staff, LD SIP teachers, integration teachers, support teachers.

Additional time is required for professional collaboration.

- The increased demands and responsibilities that come with LD SIP class for LSS staff, LRTs, LSTs, integration teachers;
- Collaboration among LD SIP teachers and integration teachers and support teachers; and
- Collaboration between LSS professional staff and teachers, particularly at multi-disciplinary team meetings at LD SIP sites.

Additional instructional support is needed for students within the integration classes.

- LRT support for schools with LD SIP classes;
- Educational Assistant (EA) support for transitions and behaviour needs;
- In-school support for Core French teachers in effectively implementing differentiated instruction (e.g., LST support for programming and/or understanding IEP accommodations/modifications for LD SIP students); and
- Class sizes are very large when LD SIP students are integrated and non-core subjects can be challenging due to lack of structure, noise level.

Proactive timetabling and scheduling is important for making the program work and for building in collaboration times.

- LD SIP teacher role worked well when there were 2 half time teachers who worked half of the day in the LD SIP class and the other half in LRT role supporting students; and
- Need scheduled collaboration time with teaching partners.

6. Implementation Activities

Program Development

Following Board approval of the LD SIP model, a multidisciplinary committee of central LSS staff, school based educators and administrators was established to form goals and programming recommendations to prepare for the launch of the LD SIP.

During the first year of implementation (i.e., 2016-2017), significant release time was committed to bring LD SIP teams from each site to central: professional development; program planning; and program evaluation sessions. The LD SIP is supported by large team including the LD SIP teacher, LRT, LST, integration teachers, multidisciplinary and administrative teams; therefore, release time to support 7 to 9 team members from each site was provided. In addition to central activities, follow up release time was provided to each school team to support school based collaboration activities.

In year 2 (i.e., 2017-2018) and year 3 (i.e., 2018-2019) release activities have been restricted due to the challenges associated with the availability of replacement staff. Continued support to LD SIP teams has been provided through the LD Google Community, LSCs, ITATs and the multidisciplinary team assigned to each site. In addition, evaluation activities were structured to minimize the requirement for teacher release time.

Transition Supports

In order to facilitate successful transitions from the LD SIP to the receiving school the following activities were completed in each of the first two years of implementation:

- Updates to the Student Profile Summaries to reflect progress and strategies in the LD SIP;
- LSCs with responsibility for LD SIP attended IPRC meetings along with representatives from receiving schools;
- Students developed Self Advocacy Cards with support from members of multidisciplinary teams;
- Creation of transition packages containing a copy of the most recent IEP, the updated Student Profile, and Self Advocacy card. These packages were delivered to a contact teacher at each receiving school; and
- LD SIP teachers and LSTs liaised with personnel at the receiving school to share information and arrange for the students to visit the receiving school.


Significant central LSS staff resources were required to support school teams with transition activities from the LD SIP to the receiving school.

Enrolment Trends

Given that the LD SIP is currently in its third year of implementation (2018-2019), there is insufficient data available for traditional trend analysis. LSS will continue to monitor enrolment trends going forward in order to carry-out this type of analysis.

Nevertheless, the following key patterns are beginning to emerge from the available data:

- i) Referrals - The number of referrals to the LD SIP has increased from 31 in 2017-2018, to 66 in 2019-2020, representing an increase of 113%;
- ii) Placement Duration - The LD SIP model was approved as a one-year placement, with up to one additional year subject to individual student needs. Enrollment information from 2017-2018, shows that there were a total of 74 students in the LD SIP. Of these, 59% of eligible students continued for a second year in the specialized program class. This year, 78% of 66 eligible students opted to remain in the LD SIP next year (i.e., 2019-2020); and
- iii) Secondary Pathways - Secondary pathway analysis indicates that the majority of students exiting the LD SIP transition into either their community secondary school or a secondary LD specialized program class (see chart below). In 2017-2018, 64% of students graduating from eighth-grade transitioned to either a specialized LD secondary program placement, or to a placement in their community secondary school. Similarly, in 2018-2019, 63% of grade 8 students transitioned to either a specialized LD secondary program or placement in their community secondary school. Approximately 1/3 of grade 8 students exiting the LD SIP transitioned into adaptive sites both years.



Staffing Implications

i) Supporting Integration - The LD SIP model is unique among specialized program classes at the OCDSB in that students spend approximately 50% of their day in a low ratio class environment and approximately 50% of their day integrated into regular classes. The model is designed to allow students to receive intensive supports and the acquisition of compensatory strategies in the low ratio environment and support to application of these skills in the regular class environment. LD SIP sites host both a junior (i.e., grade 5/6) and intermediate (i.e., grade 7/8) class with up to 8 students in each. Schools are allocated 1.0 LD SIP

teacher (plus preparation time) as well as an additional 0.5 LRT position to support LD SIP students in the integrated setting.

ii) Staffing Challenges - The model has led to specific staffing challenges to ensure appropriate class size in the regular junior and intermediate classes that LD SIP students integrate. Specifically, students in the LD SIP are counted as specialized program class students; however, they spend approximately 50% of their day in regular classrooms and are not included in the Average Daily Enrolment (ADE) numbers that generate regular class teachers at the school site. In some cases this had the effect of creating very large class sizes when the LD SIP students were integrated.

Collaboration between Human Resources, Planning, Finance and LSS have allowed for solutions to mitigate these challenges. Namely, contingency staffing to facilitate additional regular classes at LD SIP sites where integration of LD SIP students creates unreasonable class sizes has annually been included in academic staffing and accounted for in the staff recommended budget. As required, staffing to create new regular junior or intermediate classes has been allocated following consultation between the LD SIP site principal and the HR staffing officer.

7. Next Steps

LSS will continue to monitor enrollment patterns in the LD SIP, including conducting traditional trend analysis when sufficient enrollment data becomes available in future. Other available sources of data such as the OSSLT, Grade 9 EQAO Math and/or secondary credit attainment could provide longitudinal achievement data for LD SIP students. These particular data sources would enable the District to track secondary academic outcomes, and yield more robust secondary pathway analyses for students leaving the LD SIP. Meanwhile, several other program monitoring options may also provide important information for program improvement and/or accountability purposes. For example, additional administrations of the modified WIAT-III assessment on a larger sample of students would provide valuable information regarding the impact of the LD SIP on academic achievement.

With respect to future professional development initiatives, based on the results of the program monitoring activities, support to integration teachers at LD SIP sites will be an area of priority. In addition, increased support through the ITAT team to focus on effective use of technology in the integration portion of LD SIP will be further targeted and evaluated. As well, review of the supports and human resources required to assist the educator teams receiving students returning from LD SIP will be an area of future development.

Feedback from school teams would indicate that additional resources, tools and guidelines are required to support students, parents and educator teams determine whether a second year in the LD SIP specialized program class is required. LSS staff will work collaboratively with school teams to develop guidelines that will be trialed during the 2019-2020 school year.

LSS will also continue to support the implementation of the Quality Program Indicators (QPI) Initiative. The QPI resource guide was designed to provide educators with a comprehensive overview of quality programming to meet the

specialized learning needs of students within both regular and specialized program classes.

8. Summary

The goal of the LD SIP is to provide a learning environment to target students' understanding of themselves as learners and compensatory skill development essential for ongoing academic growth. The design of the LD SIP service delivery model is intentional in meeting the stated goals.

Students spend part of the day in a low ratio class setting for intensive instructional time and for the introduction of compensatory strategies. The integration portion provides the opportunities for instruction in the regular class environment and supported practice of targeted compensatory strategies. Building skills, compensatory strategies and confidence through integration is crucial for student success upon return to the regular class environment and for access to the secondary pathway of their choosing. Through a gradual release of responsibility, students in the LD SIP learn to be increasingly independent while experiencing success.

The results of year 1 and year 2 of the LD SIP program monitoring plan indicate that students are making academic gains, acquiring compensatory strategies and developing metacognitive abilities. The integration portion of the model; however, is challenging for students and staff. To facilitate students' optimal learning, the program needs to have a component of explicit teaching as well as ample opportunities for generalization. Generalization of strategies is most successful when practiced in an authentic environment where challenges are anticipated. In order to enhance the opportunity for generalization during the integrated portion, continued professional development for staff is required to support the use of evidence-based instructional practices and interventions in the regular classroom environment.

RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS:

9. Program monitoring activities to date, have been completed using existing LSS department resources and by leveraging our partnership with Dr. Maria Rogers and the University of Ottawa.

COMMUNICATION/CONSULTATION ISSUES:

10. The program monitoring plan was developed in collaboration with the LD Review Steering Committee. The results of year 1 program monitoring activities were also previously shared with this committee along with an update of implementation activities. LD SIP implementation updates have been shared with Special Education Advisory Committee (SEAC) through the superintendent department update as well as through Memorandum No.18-080, Learning Disabilities Specialized Intervention Program (LD SIP) Update. A meeting with the LD Steering Committee will be convened to review the results of the Year 2 program monitoring plan.

STRATEGIC LINKS:

11. Program monitoring activities to support the implementation of the LD SIP directly aligns with the strategic objectives of learning, equity and well-being.

GUIDING QUESTIONS:

12. The following questions are provided to support the discussion of this item by the Committee:
- Will the next steps for continued program monitoring fulfill the information needs of the committee?
 - Have the program monitoring activities to date adequately engaged relevant stakeholder groups (i.e., students, parents/guardians, staff)
 - What factors should be considered in supporting student transitions from the LD SIP?

Peter Symmonds, Superintendent of
Learning Support Services

Camille Williams-Taylor, Director of
Education and Secretary of the Board

APPENDICES

Appendix A: LD SIP Program Monitoring Plan



Learning Disabilities

Specialized Intervention Program (LD-SIP)

Program Evaluation Plan

Learning Disabilities Specialized Intervention Program (LD-SIP) Program Evaluation Plan

I. Background

The Learning Disabilities Specialized Intervention Program (LD-SIP) was initiated in September 2016. This program was founded on several recommended changes to the service delivery model for students with LD, contained in OCDSB Report 15-157, *Review of Programs and Services for Students with Learning Disabilities in OCDSB Regular and Specialized Classroom Settings*¹. Appendix I contains the draft program logic model for the LD SIP.

In addition to the recommendations pertaining to students with LD in the regular program, the following structural changes to the LD-SIP program itself were approved:

- I. *The program shall be known as the Learning Disabilities Specialized Intervention Program (LD SIP) (Junior and/or Intermediate);*
- II. *The program delivery model be formalized as a half-day of specialized intensive program support for students for a one year duration; subject to consideration of individual student needs, up to one additional year may be provided; and*
- III. *The program be offered to junior and/or intermediate aged students, as required, in a grades 5/6 (Junior) and grades 7/8 (Intermediate) configuration.*

Although no formal public reporting commitments were made for the evaluation of the LD-SIP, Trustee discussion ensuing from Report 15-157 notes that:

“LD students who are placed in a regular classroom will be monitored on an ongoing basis to assess improvement, and mechanisms to obtain feedback from parents and students with respect to implementation of the Individual Education Plan (IEP) will be explored. In addition, anecdotal feedback from teachers and report card data will also be assessed to identify improvements.” - COW Discussion January 2016.

Further, there is strong pedagogical and administrative justification for the Learning Support Services Department to monitor the implementation of the LD-SIP initiative during its early stages in order to assess factors influencing the program’s effectiveness². To that end, the following document outlines an evaluation plan for the LD-SIP.

¹ For details see OCDSB Report 15-157, Committee of the Whole in January, 2016.

² Patton, M. Q., (1997). *Utilization-focused evaluation* (3rd Edition). Beverly Hills: Sage.


II. Evaluation Design

Participatory Evaluation Approach

The LD-SIP evaluation plan was developed based on the participatory approach to evaluation³. For example, an evaluation project team composed of several key stakeholders, including those responsible for implementing the LD-SIP, was organized in order to plan and to carry out the evaluation. The project team met in October 2016 to discuss several issues related to the LD-SIP evaluation, including, the methodological procedures, evaluation resources, reporting requirements/information uses, and budgetary constraints associated with this evaluation project. Feedback from the LD SIP Steering Committee was also sought as part of this process.

Given that the LD-SIP program has been in place for less than 1 year, the team agreed that the evaluation plan should employ a sequential (phased) approach, spanning over two years. The first phase will begin in 2016-2017 with the implementation of several formative evaluation activities. Phase II will occur in 2017-2018, where more specific summative outcomes-based evaluation activities will be undertaken. In order to effectively address the information needs of the LD-SIP stakeholders, the team also recommends that the evaluation plan should employ a mixed model design, including both qualitative and quantitative data collection methods. Figure 1 below summarizes the LD SIP evaluation design.

Figure 1 LD-SIP Evaluation Design



Formative/Summative Evaluation

A basic distinction in the field of program evaluation exists between formative and summative evaluation⁴. Whereas formative evaluation activities provide important insight into the barriers and facilitators to the program's success *during implementation*, summative evaluation activities are more appropriate for outcomes-based evaluation. As such, the project team has proposed several formative evaluation activities for Year I of the program (2016-2017), aimed at addressing information needs from different stakeholder

³Cousins, J.B., & Earl, L. (1995). Participatory evaluation in education: Studies in evaluation use and organizational learning (p.159–180). London: Falmer.

⁴ Alkin, M.C., (Ed.). (2004). Evaluation roots: Tracing theorists' views and influences. Thousand Oaks, CA, Sage.

LD-SIP Program Evaluation Plan

groups during program implementation (see Figure 1). Insight gathered from parents, students and teachers during program implementation will help to set the stage for more specific outcomes-based evaluation activities in Year II, when the program becomes well-bounded. These summative-type evaluation activities are being planned for 2017-2018.

III. Stakeholder Information Needs

Students/Parents

The project team has identified several information needs for Year I of the proposed LD-SIP evaluation. Information needs at the student and parent levels centre around examining the impact of the LD-SIP program on student wellbeing and overall happiness with school. For example, does the student enjoy being at school in the LD-SIP class? The impact of the new program structure on student outcomes will also be investigated, including: i) students' academic skills (reading, writing and/or math) through compensatory strategies; ii) acquisition of executive functioning skills; and iii) self-awareness and self-advocacy skills. A particular focus will also be on examining the impact of the integration component of the LD-SIP as well, such as the level of support students receive whilst in the regular classroom. Table 1 below summarizes the information needs identified for students, parents and staff.

Table 1: LD SIP Evaluation - Information Needs By Stakeholder Group

Stakeholders	Information being sought
Students/Parents	Impact of program on students' wellbeing.
	Impact of program on students' compensatory strategies for acquiring academic skills (reading, writing and/or math).
	Impact of program on students' use of assistive technology.
	Impact of program on students' executive functioning skills.
	Impact of program on students' self-awareness and ability to self-advocate.
Program Staff (LD-SIP teachers; Integration teachers; LRTs; and/or administrators)	Effectiveness of the development/delivery of academic program.
	Development, implementation and use of assistive technology.
	Impact of program on students' executive functioning skills.
	Impact of program on students' awareness of LD and ability to self-advocate.
	Barriers/Facilitators to the implementation of LD-SIP.
	Impact of program on students' compensatory strategies for acquiring academic skills (reading, writing and/or math).


DRAFT- LD-SIP Program Evaluation Plan

An online survey will be employed in order to obtain this information from parents, as well as to recruit participants for a series of student interviews. The student interviews will take place during regular school hours.

Program Staff

Information needs at the program staff level include several dimensions of program implementation from the perspective of LD-SIP teachers, as well as integration teachers and other school-based staff (e.g., Learning Resource Teachers; LSS professional staff; site administrators). For these particular stakeholders, the evaluation will examine several dimensions of development and/or delivery of academic programming through the use of focus groups. For example, do the student summary profiles help teachers plan and program for their students, and/or do teachers have adequate resources to program appropriately for their students? The impact of assistive technology will also be examined from a teacher perspective, such as the effectiveness of Google classroom's features and extensions. A major focus for program staff will also be on the facilitators and/or barriers to integration into the regular classroom. For example, do regular program teachers have the necessary professional training they require in order to program appropriately for these students?

Figure 2: LD SIP Implementation Evaluation Model



During roundtable discussions, LD SIP administrators (principals) will be asked about the facilitators and/or barriers to the implementation of LD-SIP at their site. Dimensions of interest from this stakeholder group include, but are not limited to: i) planning and implementing the LD-SIP classes at their particular school; ii) guidance and direction for both regular program and specialized program teachers; and iii) opportunities for

collaboration/communication amongst LD-SIP teachers, integration teachers/LRTs, and administration. This level of analysis will provide important insight about program implementation from the administrator perspective.

IV. Summary of Formative Evaluation Activities (2016-2017)

As noted earlier, the LD-SIP evaluation plan involves a phased approach, sequential two-year design where Year I of the plan will focus on several unique formative evaluation activities. The plan includes an intensive evaluation strategy with multiple stakeholder groups (i.e., parents, educators, students), as well as multiple and simultaneous methods of data collection (i.e., parent survey, staff focus groups, roundtable meetings, and/or structured interviews). These activities are summarized in Figure 2. Taken together these activities will provide valuable information to the District in supporting the second year of implementation. The evaluation is scheduled to take place in Spring 2017.



Appendix I: DRAFT Program Logic Model for Learning Disabilities Specialized Intervention Program (LD-SIP), OCDSB

Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Outcomes	Outcome Indicators
<p>FTE Teacher with Special Ed I & II qualifications</p> <p>Educational resources</p> <p>Assistive technology</p> <p>Speech-Language Pathologist consultation</p> <p>Psychologist consultation</p> <p>Social Worker consultation</p> <p>Learning Support Teacher consultation</p> <p>Specialized class setting</p> <p>Regular class setting</p>	<p>i) <i>Academic Programming:</i></p> <p>MOE Learning Skills and Work Habits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organizational skills Communication skills Social skills Self-regulatory skills Self-advocacy skills <p>ii) <i>Assessment/Evaluation:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial assessment for learning Ongoing assessment for learning Identification of additional issues <p>iii) <i>Consultation/ Collaboration:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parents Other school staff Multi-disciplinary team Community Agencies 	<p>Children in LD SIP receive ½ day instruction in a specialized classroom setting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 individual profile of strengths and weaknesses for each child (IEP) reviewed by team; meetings with parents throughout the school year (e.g., PT Interview); 1 individual transition plan; Additional consultation as necessary (Psychology, SLP, SW); 	<p>Personalized outcomes for program participants from start to end of academic year, and/or from admission to de-mission in LD-SIP program in the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> literacy/numeracy skills organizational skills communication skills social skills anxiety management anger management self-advocacy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Parent Surveys (post-intervention) Rubric measures (i.e., provincial report card learning skills/habits) Provincial assessment scores (i.e., EQAO) Social-Emotional measures (e.g., SEARS)